



**THE FEDERAL
REDISTRIBUTION
SOUTH AUSTRALIA**

Submission OB376

Samuel Wittwer

From: [REDACTED]
To: [FedRedistribution - SA](#)
Subject: [Redistribution SA - Submission] *WWW* [SEC=OFFICIAL]
Date: Thursday, 23 April 2026 2:41:26 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Australian Federal Government. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Form submitted: 23/04/2026 02:41 PM

Wittwer, Samuel - Redistribution SA - Submission on the proposed South Australian redistribution

Personal details

First name: Samuel
Surname: Wittwer
Email: [REDACTED]

Submission on the proposed South Australian redistribution details

Category: Boundaries

Details:

I'd like to voice my concern about the electorate of Grey & Barker being made larger than it already is. Having been a resident of Grey from 2005 to 2019, the ability to actually catch up and speak with my local member, Rowan Ramsey was difficult at the best of time. Whilst a lot of correspondence is done electronically, there is an element of can't be everywhere. The same problem lies in Barker & to a lesser extent Mayo

I would prefer section of Spence proposed for O'Donoghue under Playford Council to remain in Spence at it does seem more logical. This could be balanced roughly by fully including the Light Council in Spence under O'Donoghue.

On the scope of thing, we really do need another seat just to reduce the area size of Barker and Mayo, as both campaigning wise and representative wise, it's a lot of the state to cover. I feel for the members trying to cover these electorates the best they can and can understand the frustration that they can't full be part of the community, like the city members are able to, and frequently need to decline events, or get crucified for excessive spending too.
