



**THE FEDERAL
REDISTRIBUTION
SOUTH AUSTRALIA**

Submission OB363

Peter Jans

From: [REDACTED]
To: [FedRedistribution - SA](#)
Subject: [Redistribution SA - Submission] *WWW* [SEC=OFFICIAL]
Date: Thursday, 23 April 2026 8:01:51 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Australian Federal Government. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Form submitted: 23/04/2026 08:01 AM

Jans, Peter - Redistribution SA - Submission on the proposed South Australian redistribution

Personal details

First name: Peter
Surname: Jans
Email: [REDACTED]

Submission on the proposed South Australian redistribution details

Category: Names and Boundaries

Details:

I am opposed to both the name change of the Grey Electorate in South Australia and the proposed boundary change which would see the inclusion of 'capital city suburbs'. While Grey does have 'city sized' towns within its boundary there is a major difference between them and those proposed to be included in the boundary change. At least those large centers have a 'country town' attitude to things like transport (goods and people) medical, schools, shopping, water, electricity and roads. Grey by the numbers is still within the numerical numbers by % currently in use. Instead of trying to manipulate the numbers within that % range because of 'fears' of population 'surges' in other places the electoral commission would be better served to investigate the probability of the need to increase the number of representatives within the parliament so they can realistically and truly serve the people who elected them. The proposed boundary change will not improve the the workload of the Electorate member, in fact it will only lessen the chance of those already living in the electorate of getting a reasonable and equitable representation at the Federal level where there are other regions of Australia that are distinctly 'Rural' in nature.
