



**THE FEDERAL  
REDISTRIBUTION  
SOUTH AUSTRALIA**

# Submission OB319

Ann Rodda

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [FedRedistribution - SA](#)  
**Subject:** [Redistribution SA - Submission] \*WWW\* [SEC=OFFICIAL]  
**Date:** Tuesday, 21 April 2026 3:35:09 PM

---

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the Australian Federal Government. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Form submitted: 21/04/2026 03:35 PM

# Rodda, Ann - Redistribution SA - Submission on the proposed South Australian redistribution

## Personal details

**First name:** Ann  
**Surname:** Rodda  
**Email:** [REDACTED]

## Submission on the proposed South Australian redistribution details

**Category:** Boundaries

**Details:**

My opinion is to keep Grey as a predominantly rural electorate and definitely do not enlarge the area. . The proposed change has 2 drawbacks  
1) the area that the government representative has to cover is already huge, and difficult for that person to effectively get around to actually meeting with constituents and being present at local functions, so they can represent and lobby on the various issues, which mainly relate to farming, grazing, fishing and big industry as in Whyalla and Port Pirie.  
2) Including suburban areas with different issues, to the existing task, has the real potential for overloading the elected representative and leaving a huge area of South Australia without an effective advocate.  
I wonder if number crunchers in city offices have a realistic view on what actually happens in rural areas and what a huge task it already is, for 1 person to effectively represent the people.

---