



**THE FEDERAL
REDISTRIBUTION
SOUTH AUSTRALIA**

Submission OB296

Brenton Sutton

From: [REDACTED]
To: [FedRedistribution - SA](#)
Subject: [Redistribution SA - Submission] *WWW* [SEC=OFFICIAL]
Date: Saturday, 18 April 2026 8:46:14 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Australian Federal Government. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Form submitted: 18/04/2026 09:16 AM

Sutton, Brenton - Redistribution SA - Submission on the proposed South Australian redistribution

Personal details

First name: Brenton
Surname: Sutton
Email: [REDACTED]

Submission on the proposed South Australian redistribution details

Category: Names
Details: Keep Grey I dont favour the lumping in of Riverlea & outer Adelaide suburbs proposal having the new name look just because it is new so someone thinks it needs a new name. Why have the whole area try and remember their changed electorate name when voting away from home when those being considered to be incorporated into the existing Grey potentially are to be the ones effected by an electoral name change regardless of where they go.

From: [REDACTED]
To: [FedRedistribution - SA](#)
Subject: [Redistribution SA - Submission] *WWW* [SEC=OFFICIAL]
Date: Saturday, 18 April 2026 9:49:49 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Australian Federal Government. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Form submitted: 18/04/2026 10:19 AM

Sutton, Brenton - Redistribution SA - Submission on the proposed South Australian redistribution

Personal details

First name: Brenton
Surname: Sutton
Email: [REDACTED]

Submission on the proposed South Australian redistribution details

Category: Boundaries

Details: I get your dilemma of keeping viable numbers within an electoral boundary BUT Birds of a feather flock together is a true & tried fact. Your problem is solved by simply drawing a simple line around a micro centre of macro population density to balance your criteria into my electorate of Grey macro area with micro population density.
PROBLEM SOLVED NO .. ONLY STARTING
Riverlea & outer Adelaide are essentially BIG city living transplants with little rural outlook other than a holiday home or holiday outing before returning to the flock. Our elected member would have VERY diverse callings on his time & emphasis & would need to have an office presence in this proposed chunk in group to balance the boat & I am sure such a large centred population base would demand & expect his attention. Also, as I have experienced, one would be a Blind Freddy not to expect a candidate in the near future to arise from this denser population centre with population centred interests to have us real rural people hanging off their electorate..
Not sure what the answer BUT to me this is a crap idea. I know it comes down to balancing representation BUT you somehow have to realise representation with land mass has to be in the equation & not just people. It is a lot easier I would think shuffling these developing areas into Adelaide greater to have representation in mass population small geographic rather than add this LUMP of population onto Grey.
