



**THE FEDERAL  
REDISTRIBUTION  
SOUTH AUSTRALIA**

# Submission OB233

Ruth Robinson

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [FedRedistribution - SA](#)  
**Subject:** [Redistribution SA - Submission] \*WWW\* [SEC=OFFICIAL]  
**Date:** Saturday, 11 April 2026 8:43:08 AM

---

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the Australian Federal Government. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Form submitted: 11/04/2026 09:13 AM

# Robinson, Ruth - Redistribution SA - Submission on the proposed South Australian redistribution

## Personal details

**First name:** Ruth  
**Surname:** Robinson  
**Email:** [REDACTED]

## Submission on the proposed South Australian redistribution details

**Category:** Names and Boundaries

**Details:** Whilst acknowledging the contribution by Dr O'Donoghue, I think a renaming of Grey is not necessary or wise. Electors get confused enough already between state and federal seats, and with any boundary changes. This would only cause more confusion. We have been Grey for as long as I can remember and there is no good reason to change. Grey is a huge geographical area already, and is predominantly rural, as the report acknowledges. It should not be, and need not be, increased in size and thereby introduce peri-urban areas which will increase the complexity for any Member representing the electorate, and risk diminishing their effectiveness as an advocate for the rural areas. There is very little feeling of community connectedness between the rural areas, and Adelaide's fringe. To lump those areas together just because Spence is over-weighted, is to disadvantage Grey.

---