



**THE FEDERAL
REDISTRIBUTION
SOUTH AUSTRALIA**

Submission OB191

Anonymous 28

From: [REDACTED]
To: [FedRedistribution - SA](#)
Subject: [Redistribution SA - Submission] *WWW* [SEC=OFFICIAL]
Date: Thursday, 9 April 2026 9:19:42 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Australian Federal Government. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Form submitted: 09/04/2026 09:49 AM

, - Redistribution SA - Submission on the proposed South Australian redistribution

Personal details

First name:

Surname:

Email: [REDACTED]

Submission on the proposed South Australian redistribution details

Category:

Boundaries

Details:

Please do not increase the size of the Grey Electorate, SA. Including the City of Playford and the City of Salisbury in Grey suggests the Federal Governments complete lack of understanding of regional and remote Australia including SA (of which Grey covers). Playford and Salisbury are not regional nor remote and it is ludicrous to liken them to communities within the current Grey Electorate. For example, unlike residents of the current Grey Electorate, residents of Playford and Salisbury have timely, simple access to facilities those of us living the Grey Electorate simply do not. Please do not make the livelihood of remote and regional communities more complex by including electorates that are frankly part of Adelaide suburbia. I grew up in Adelaide and always considered Salisbury suburbia. Please explain the reasoning as to why this and Playford could in all good comprehension, be considered part of a regional/remote electorate. If the AEC determines that the electorate must increase in size, an alternative option would be to incorporate elements of the Light Regional Council that are currently within Spence. This approach would avoid introducing any new councils into Grey and result in a smaller increase to the electorates geographic size.
