



**THE FEDERAL
REDISTRIBUTION
SOUTH AUSTRALIA**

Submission OB100

Benjamin Close

From: [REDACTED]
To: [FedRedistribution - SA](#)
Subject: [Redistribution SA - Submission] *WWW* [SEC=OFFICIAL]
Date: Wednesday, 1 April 2026 2:43:00 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Australian Federal Government. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Form submitted: 01/04/2026 02:42 PM

Close, Benjamin - Redistribution SA - Submission on the proposed South Australian redistribution

Personal details

First name: Benjamin
Surname: Close
Email: [REDACTED]

Submission on the proposed South Australian redistribution details

Category: Names and Boundaries

Details:

First of all there is no reason to rename the Division of Grey. The Division has experienced little change and therefore change is not necessary.

Secondly I've prepared a list of changes I agree on and some that should be changed.

I agree there is no need to change Adelaide, Sturt or Hindmarsh. The changes to Boothby and Makin are good and should remain.

There is no need to change Grey. Grey in its current form is at quota and within tolerance. Also the Gawler River with Spence serves as a natural boundary and should remain. The changes to Spence should occur with the boundary with Barker.

Barker should take in the remainder of the Barossa Council from Spence. This moves the boundary to the Little Parra and North Parra rivers. Conversely Barker should not take in any of Mount Barker Council from Mayo. This leaves the boundary on the LGA border with Murray Bridge along the Bremer River which is a natural boundary.

Kingston and Mayo should also not engage in a territory swap. This unnecessarily elongates Kingston and unnecessarily shifts electors. The best option for the Mayo/Kingston boundary would be to move the eastern boundary of Kingston to the Onkaparinga LGA boundary along the northern edge of the Onkaparinga River.
