



**THE FEDERAL
REDISTRIBUTION
SOUTH AUSTRALIA**

Submission COB12

Greg Slattery

From: [REDACTED]
To: [FedRedistribution - SA](#)
Subject: [Redistribution SA - Submission] *WWW* [SEC=OFFICIAL]
Date: Tuesday, 5 May 2026 9:23:06 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Australian Federal Government. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Form submitted: 05/05/2026 09:22 PM

Slattery, Greg - Redistribution SA - Submission on the proposed South Australian redistribution (feedback on ideas)

Personal details

First name: Greg
Surname: Slattery
Email: [REDACTED]

Submission on the proposed South Australian redistribution details

Category: Names and Boundaries

Details: Our Federal electorate of Grey should stay as is. Retain the name. This current fashion of renaming everything after indigenous people should be stopped. There are other ways to recognize the sometimes significant contributions to society without erasing those previously recognized for various reasons - in this instance Governor Grey.

The area which is covered by this seat is ridiculously huge. It's important to have some equalization of population but this region is big enough as it is, and embracing suburban areas into the mix is not compatible. The needs of the rural sector are different to those of suburbia and one overworked and over travelled MP should not be burdened with suburban issues.

Ter area of Grey, the Catholic Diocese of Port Pirie and the Anglican Diocese of Willochra are roughly the same and boundaries similar except for the southern boundaries.

But the difference is that each of these religious leaders, the Bishops, only cater to a % of the population and a % of the districts as there aren't churches in each town. But the MP has to cater to 100% of the people and 100% of the land mass - a ridiculous situation.

Solution - leave the name as it is, and don't meddle with the boundaries unless the size of the electorate is reduceg
