



Comment on objection 28

Hon. David Hawker AO

4 pages

[REDACTED]

From: David Hawker [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, 24 May 2018 11:09 AM
To: FedRedistribution - SA
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Wakefield as an electoral name. [SEC=UNOFFICIAL]
Attachments: Hon JN Andrew AO - Letter acknowledging receipt of objection.pdf

The Commissioners,
SA Redistribution Secretariat,
Australian Electoral Commission

Dear Commissioners,
I write to strongly support the letter of my former colleague the Hon. Neil Andrew AO asking that Wakefield be retained as the name of a Federal Electorate in South Australia.
While it is true that a former Member for Wakefield the Hon. Charles Hawker was a relation of mine it is also remains a fact that Wakefield was a Federation Seat.
Neil has outlined in detail a compelling case for the retention of the name Wakefield.

Given that the number of seats in the House of Representatives has doubled since 1901, it would seem that retaining the name while allowing for new names for more recently created electorates can be accommodated.
Could I respectfully suggest his recommendation be accepted.
Yours sincerely,
David Hawker

Hon. David Hawker AO

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

From: FedRedistribution [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, May 11, 2018 7:28 PM
To: 'Neil Andrew' [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Wakefield as an electoral name. [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Dear Mr Andrew,

Thank you for your objection. Please find attached a letter acknowledging receipt.

Regards

SA Redistribution Secretariat
Australian Electoral Commission
T: (08) 8237 6504



From: Neil Andrew [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, 11 May 2018 5:51 PM
To: FedRedistribution - SA [REDACTED]
Subject: Wakefield as an electoral name. [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Dear Commissioners,

I write as a former member for the Electorate of Wakefield, to seek a reconsideration of the Commissions reported recommendation to remove the name of Wakefield from the list of Federal Electorate names in South Australia.

Obviously, my enthusiasm for the retention of “Wakefield” as an electorate name is in part driven by the simple fact that I was fortunate to represent the area from 1983 to 2004 and to have the honour of being elected as Speaker of the House of Representatives for two terms during my tenure.

Incidentally, in a quirk of both history and the electoral processes, the inaugural Speaker of the House of Representatives, Sir Frederick Holder, was the Member for Wakefield and 100 years later on the occasion of the centenary of Federation, I was fortunate to be Speaker.

Much more significantly, the electorate has been served by Parliamentarians who have made a unique contribution to our nation’s security and prosperity. The most notable of these would be Cabinet Minister, Sir Charles Hawker, who was tragically killed in the Kyeema air crash in Mt Dandenong, Victoria in 1938. Across the political divide he was recognized as a potential Prime Minister and acclaimed for his fortitude and courage. Despite the severity of the injuries he suffered in World War 1, he energetically pursued new initiatives in agricultural production and in the international marketing of rural products. His leadership on defence preparation is unchallenged. The Australian Dictionary of Biographies Volume 9 (MUP) 1983 attests to his effectiveness as a Cabinet Minister and to his integrity and independence which won him extraordinary respect. Such was his reputation that the name Wakefield is synonymous with the Hawker achievements. The abolition of the electorate of Hawker in South Australia, in 1993, regrettably meant that some of the recognition due to Sir Charles has been unintentionally lost.

The other Parliamentarian whose contribution to Australian society is most prominently identified with the electorate of Wakefield in recent times, is the former Minister for the Navy, Hon. Bert Kelly, who represented Wakefield from 1958 to 1977. Mirroring the example set by Sir Charles Hawker, Bert was a passionate advocate for rural Australia and an effective communicator. He is widely accredited with single handedly pursuing the cause of tariff reform when such proposals were fundamentally unpopular. In his quietly eccentric way, Bert Kelly persisted until the need for tariff reform became recognized by both Government and Opposition parties. Former Prime Minister Gough Whitlam not only lauded his courageous stand but travelled to Adelaide to attend his funeral and pay him a tribute. No one is suggesting the electorate should be named “Kelly” – that would have some unfortunate connotations – but the removal of the name “Wakefield” has the unintended consequence of diminishing his substantial contribution to our national prosperity.

“Wakefield” is a Federation seat with a Federation name. One might safely presume that at Federation it was widely recognized that the substantial contribution Edward Gibbon Wakefield had made to colonization and to land

tenure needed to be celebrated. His impact on the subdivision of land and on the creation of a land title system that would make it possible for settlers to independently acquire and develop an asset, has been fundamental in our emergence as an egalitarian society. As an author and as a Parliamentarian, Wakefield sought to cultivate colonial societies which would attract capital, encourage emigration and assure prosperity. He created a concept of land ownership which became the basis for South Australia's development as a "free" society and was subsequently widely adopted. This was seen as a remarkable achievement at Federation and remains so to this day.

Your reconsideration of the decision to rename the electorate of Wakefield would be appreciated.

Yours sincerely

Neil Andrew

Hon JN Andrew AO

DISCLAIMER:

If you have received this transmission in error please notify us immediately by return email and delete all copies. If this email or any attachments have been sent to you in error, that error does not constitute waiver of any confidentiality, privilege or copyright in respect of information in the email or attachments.



Hon J N Andrew AO

Dear Mr Andrew,

**REDISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL ELECTORAL DIVISIONS IN SOUTH AUSTRALIA:
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF OBJECTION RECEIVED**

I acknowledge receipt of your objection dated 11 May 2018 to the proposed redistribution of federal electoral divisions in South Australia. Your objections will be considered by the augmented Electoral Commission for South Australia as part of its deliberations.

The *Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918*, which authorises the redistribution process, requires that all objections lodged with the Australian Electoral Commission be made publicly available.

Copies of all objections will be available from Monday 14 May 2018 for public inspection on the AEC website at www.aec.gov.au/sa-redistribution and at the office of the Australian Electoral Officer for South Australia on Level 9, 1 King William Street, Adelaide.

Please note that while the names of persons and organisations that lodge written objections will be published, signatures and personal address details (including email addresses) will be removed prior to any publication.

From Monday 14 May 2018 the comments on objections period will commence. During this period any individual or organisation can make a comment on any of the objections lodged, including yours, until 6pm (ACST) on Friday 25 May 2018. Should you wish to make a comment; objections can be viewed as outlined above.

All objections are included in the report produced by the augmented Electoral Commission for South Australia. This report will be tabled in Parliament at the conclusion of this redistribution and made available on the AEC website.

For more information or to follow the progress of the South Australian redistribution go to www.aec.gov.au/sa-redistribution.

Yours sincerely

[Redacted]
[Redacted]
South Australian Redistribution Secretariat

11 May 2018