PUBLIC INQUIRY OF THE AUGMENTED ELECTORAL COMMISSION FOR QUEENSLAND

BEFORE: THE HON D COWDROY OAM QC (Chairperson of the

Australian Electoral Commission)

MR D KALISCH (Australian Statistician and Member of the Electoral Commission)

MR T ROGERS (Electoral Commissioner, Australian Electoral Commission)

MR B WORRALL (Auditor-General of Queensland)

MR S JACOBY PSM (Executive Director, Land and Spatial Information, Department of Natural Resources and Mines).

MR T RYAN (Australian Electoral Officer for Queensland, Australian Electoral Commission)

Held at the Brisbane Marriott Hotel, 515 Queen Street, Brisbane, QLD

On Wednesday, 13 December 2017 at 9.00am

1	THE CHAIRPERSON: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.
2	Welcome to the public hearing convened by the
3	augmented Electoral Commission for Queensland
4	into the proposed redistribution.
5	My name is Dennis Cowdroy. I am the Chair
6	of the augmented Electoral Commission. The other
7	members of the Australian Electoral Commission
8	present are: Mr David Kalisch, who is on my
9	right. Mr Kalisch is the Australian
10	Statistician. On my left is Mr Tom Rogers, who
11	is the Electoral Commissioner. The other members
12	who make up the augmented Electoral Commission
13	are: Mr Brendan Worrall, the Auditor-General of
14	Queensland, on my far right; and to my far left
15	is Mr Thomas Ryan, the Australian Electoral
16	Officer for Queensland; and on his right is
17	Mr Steven Jacoby, the Executive Director of Land
18	and Spatial Information in the Queensland
19	Department of Natural Resources and Mines.
20	Part IV of the Commonwealth Electoral Act
21	1918 sets out the requirements to be followed in
22	conducting redistributions.
23	This redistribution is necessary because
24	more than seven years has elapsed since the last
25	redistribution was determined.
26	In accordance with section 66 of the
27	Electoral Act, the Redistribution Committee for
28	Queensland has prepared a proposal for the

1	redistribution of Queensland into 30 federal
2	electoral divisions. The proposal, together with
3	the written reasons for the proposal required by
4	section 67 of the Electoral Act, was released by
5	the Redistribution Committee on 29 September of
6	this year.
7	In accordance with section 68 of the
8	Electoral Act, interested individuals and
9	organisations were invited to make written
10	objections to this proposed redistribution and to
11	provide written comments on those objections. A
12	total of 23 objections and six comments on
13	objections were received by the required time
14	frames.
15	The augmented Electoral Commission is
16	required by subsection 72(1) of the Electoral Act
17	to consider all objections lodged in relation to
18	the redistribution proposal and all comments on
19	objections.
20	The inquiry here today provides the
21	opportunity for members of the public to make
22	oral submissions concerning those objections.
23	The Electoral Act specifies how the
24	redistribution process is conducted and which
25	factors are to be taken into account.
26	Subsection 73(4) of the Electoral Act states
27	that the primary consideration for the augmented
28	Electoral Commission is that each electoral

1	division meets certain numerical requirements in
2	the form of a current enrolment quota and the
3	projected enrolment quota and acceptable
4	tolerances around those two quotas.
5	Subject to an electoral division satisfying
6	those numbers, subsection 73(4) also requires
7	that we have regard to communities of interest
8	within electoral divisions - that is, economic,
9	social and regional interests.
10	We are authorised to have regard to means of
11	communication and travel within the electoral
12	divisions and the physical features and area of
13	the electoral divisions.
L4	The boundaries of existing electoral
L5	divisions are also considered, although that is
16	of lesser importance. Boundaries may change.
17	Often there have to be compensating adjustments
L8	to make sure that the electoral divisions are
L9	within the numerical tolerances.
20	The inquiry today will be recorded, and
21	transcripts of the proceedings will be made
22	available as part of the augmented Electoral
23	Commission's report and will therefore be on the
24	Australian Electoral Commission website once the
25	report has been tabled in parliament.
26	We would ask people making submissions to
27	come to the lectern in front and please state

their name before they commence their

1	presentation. After the inquiry, we will
2	deliberate on what we have heard and also the
3	written comments.
4	May I make the following points: firstly, we
5	will endeavour to make a public announcement as
6	soon as practicable. We would ask speakers to be
7	as concise as possible. The purpose of the
8	hearing is to listen to your submissions and,
9	thereafter, for the Commission to consider your
10	comments. The hearing does not engage in
11	discussion concerning the merits of any proposal,
12	nor does it accept questions on the deliberations
13	of the Redistribution Committee nor of the
14	augmented Commission.
15	I understand the first speaker who would
16	like to address the augmented Electoral
17	Commission is Simone Karandrews, who has provided
18	an objection, and the objection is objection
19	number 22.
20	Is Ms Karandrews here? Yes. Would you like
21	to come forward, please, Ms Karandrews.
22	MS KARANDREWS: May I bring also one of my
23	respondents to
24	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, by all means, yes.
25	MS KARANDREWS: This is Wendy de Graaf.
26	THE CHAIRPERSON: If you wouldn't mind,
27	Ms Karandrews, would you mind, please, stating
28	your full name for the transcript, which is going

1	to be recorded.
2	And would you like your name to be recorded
3	as well, please?
4	If you can each state your names, please.
5	MS KARANDREWS: My name is Simone Karandrews.
6	MS DE GRAAF: And my name is Wendy de Graaf.
7	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Very well.
8	MS KARANDREWS: Thank you to the augmented Electoral
9	Commission for the invitation to attend today's
10	meeting.
11	I have prepared a submission on behalf of
12	many of the local residents in the Karana Downs,
13	Mount Crosby and Lake Manchester regions.
14	Our region has, obviously in your proposal
15	been suggested to move from the current electoral
16	region of Ryan to Blair.
17	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.
18	MS KARANDREWS: This region has previously been in
19	Blair and has moved back to Ryan in 2003.
20	We are a strong little community and we
21	particularly feel that we resonate strongly with
22	the surrounding Moggill and surrounding regions
23	of Ryan. We have a strong relationship with that
24	region. We don't feel that we have quite the
25	same relationship with the Ipswich region.
26	Obviously the Blair region being such a large
27	Ipswich, and surrounding region,
28	based-electorate, we felt that we strongly, as

1	I said, associate with the existing Ryan
2	electorate.
3	In my submission, I tried to prepare as much
4	as I could with regard to information available
5	upon your report. Looking at the electoral
6	numbers that would be moving, we felt, in my
7	submission, that the numbers from our region,
8	being relatively low population, would not
9	significantly change the quota, and we also do
LØ	not have a strong population growth in our
l 1	region.
12	MS DE GRAAF: To add to that, Blair has a very big
L3	growth sector coming about in the near future
L4	that has been recorded in statistics of the years
L5	to come. What we fear is that, by moving us to
L6	Blair, we could end up again being moved back or
L7	moved somewhere else again in the future.
L8	The residents of the area feel that we have
L9	been a portion of the area that has been
20	constantly shuffled around backwards and forwards
21	and, as far as state and federal, and even local
22	government, we do not get enough support because
23	it is sort of like, "Well, how long are we going
24	to be in this area", and therefore there is no
25	stability.
26	MS KARANDREWS: Further to that, we have put in my
27	submission to the Electoral Commission about the

community interest criteria. Part of that

1	obviously is the factors I mentioned previously:
2	that we identify strongly with the remainder of
3	the Brisbane region of our surrounds.
4	We also are very much demarcated by the
5	Brisbane River, being our locality boundary
6	between Brisbane and Ipswich. I very much tried
7	to emphasise in my submission our community of
8	interest criteria, which I believe strongly cater
9	to all of those particular conditions.
10	I think if I just reference that to my
11	submission, I basically had drawn on the fact
12	that we will lose our alignment with our local
13	and state boundaries. We also will be crossing a
14	natural feature, which is the Brisbane River, by
15	changing the electorate, and the Electoral Act
16	does say that it does need to provide strong
17	boundaries and, where possible, to avoid crossing
18	the Brisbane River.
19	If I go back to then have a look at the
20	other surrounding electorates of Brisbane, if
21	I have a look at the map, the regions of Oxley,
22	Moreton, Griffith and Brisbane have all used the
23	Brisbane River as a strong delineator between the
24	electorates.
25	I also have noted that, previously, there
26	has been a precedent where South Brisbane and

West End were proposed to split, but there was

actually a precedent where the Brisbane River was

27

1	used as a reason for showing community of
2	interest and, for those reasons, should not be
3	split. I believe that that should also apply to
4	us and that we should continue the Brisbane River
5	as the demarcation between those electorates.
6	MS DE GRAAF: In adding to that, we feel that we
7	would not be needed to be moved if the other
8	boundaries were not imposing into Ryan. What we
9	would like to suggest is maybe those boundaries
L0	moving from Brisbane to Ryan could be limited to
l1	keep us within the Ryan area.
L2	MS KARANDREWS: The northern component of the Ryan
L3	electorate proposal was to also see a split,
L4	I believe, between the golf club - where the golf
L5	would continue to be within one electorate of
L6	Ryan and the remains of the golf club would have
L7	then move through to Dickson. I just wondered
L8	whether there may be some allowance for review of
L9	the northern reach of the Ryan electorate to
20	basically compensate for us to be able to keep
21	within the region in which we exist now.
22	MS DE GRAAF: I would just like to reiterate the same
23	thing for our community.
24	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you very much.
25	MS KARANDREWS: Thank you very much.
26	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, we've made notes of what you
27	have said and that will be certainly considered.

As I said, it is all being transcribed and we

- will be looking at it carefully.
- 2 MS KARANDREWS: Thank you very much.
- 3 MS DE GRAAF: Thank you very much.
- 4 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Thank you for your
- 5 trouble in coming.
- 6 MS DE GRAAF: Thank you.
- 7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, indeed.
- 8 The next person is Ms Wendy de Graaf.
- 9 MS DE GRAAF: Oh, that was me.
- 10 THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry. Yes, sorry, I missed
- 11 that. Alan Gaskell?
- 12 MR McGARRIGLE: No, it's Alan McGarrigle.
- 13 THE CHAIRPERSON: McGarrigle, I'm sorry, it's the
- 14 handwriting.
- 15 MR McGARRIGLE: I don't have anything else to say.
- 16 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Mr McGarrigle, you have the
- 17 same community of interest, do you?
- 18 MR McGARRIGLE: Yes, I do, and I actually did not
- 19 propose to make a statement.
- 20 THE CHAIRPERSON: No, you don't have to. It is all -
- 21 we have --
- 22 MR McGARRIGLE: But as per your meeting conduct
- 23 comments, I can't thought I might have a
- 24 question at some stage.
- 25 THE CHAIRPERSON: Look, we are really here to hear
- 26 what the views are of the residents, but thank
- 27 you for that.
- I think the next person is Michelle Lawson.

- 1 Would you mind coming up, please, Ms Lawson.
- 2 MS LAWSON: Good morning.
- 3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Good morning, Ms Lawson. Could you
- 4 just state for the record, Ms Lawson, your full
- 5 name.
- 6 MS LAWSON: My name is Michelle Janette Lawson, for
- 7 the record.
- 8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.
- 9 MS LAWSON: Good morning. I would like to state my
- 10 reasons for objecting to the proposed move of our
- 11 local area from the federal seat of Brisbane into
- 12 the federal seat of Ryan.
- 13 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.
- 14 MS LAWSON: My husband and I moved into Canberra
- 15 Drive in the Oakleigh community precinct of
- 16 Ashgrove nearly 20 years ago. We love our
- 17 community and, over nearly two decades, have very
- 18 much enjoyed being involved in anything and
- 19 everything local, from the kindergarten to the
- 20 wonderful Oakleigh State School to the church
- 21 youth group, to Neighbourhood Watch, street
- 22 parties and more.
- We are the proud parents of two children.
- Our 14-year-old daughter is one of two known
- 25 cases in the world with her ultra rare
- 26 disability. Our 11-year-old son has an autism
- 27 spectrum diagnosis. We are a family whose needs
- are many and varied and often exceedingly

1	unusual. Because of that, I have had far more
2	than the average voter interaction with our local
3	councillor, state and federal members of
4	parliament.
5	When I discovered the proposal to move our
6	pocket of Oakleigh and Dorrington from the
7	federal seat of Brisbane into the seat of Ryan,
8	I was appalled. There was a lot of discussion
9	about this within our community. We have already
10	been subjected to our electorate being moved at a
11	local and a state level. The consensus is that
12	the boundary changes have not benefited our
13	community and why I decided to lodge a written
14	objection to this proposed boundary change, as
15	did quite a number of my fellow community members
16	and Oakleigh residents.
17	One person who lodged an objection to the
18	proposed move was Sylvia Richmond. Sylvia is one
19	of my fellow Oakleigh State School parents. Due
20	to her work commitments, Sylvia is unable to
21	appear in person today, but has asked me to read
22	the following statement on her behalf. I quote:
23	My point with this proposed move is
24	the same as the problem with us being moved out of Ashgrove and into the
25	Ferny Grove electorate at a state level.
26	The issues that concern a predominantly Ferny Grove person are
27	not really similar to those we in Oakleigh are concerned with.
28	Basing distribution on population does not account for our local area and
	what needs to be addressed by those

1	who represent us. The fact that they
2	keep thinking they can carve up Oakleigh and Ashgrove in a dozen different ways for school catchments,
3	such as the three different high
4	school catchments for our local area alone, state representation, federal
5	representation, and council wards is ridiculous.
6	I do not understand how any of the issues that concern us get addressed
7	by representatives who are located further away from the city than we
8	are.
9	I have been an Oakleigh State School mum for
10	nearly a decade between P&C, the school
11	fundraising team, the tuck shop, the fair, the
12	capital works action group and more. There is
13	little at Oakleigh I have not yet contributed to.
14	It is a wonderful community and, because of its
15	popularity, it is an enrolment-managed school.
16	You have to live within Education Queensland
17	catchment boundaries for automatic entry to
18	Oakleigh. Nearly all of that catchment falls
19	into the federal seat of Brisbane.
20	Because of the army base, from Oakleigh, you
21	are not actually able to access the seat of Ryan
22	without first commuting through the seat of
23	Brisbane.
24	Oakleigh faces Brisbane City to its east.
25	Most of the population of Ryan is in the western
26	suburbs, which is in completely the opposite
27	direction. Most of the needs of someone living
28	in the western suburbs are very different to the

1	issues relevant to us in Oakleigh. All of our
2	local facilities - Dorrington Park, the Ashgrove
3	library, shops, post office, bus stops, train
4	stations, and I could go on - are in the seat of
5	Brisbane. I believe those amenities having
6	disparate representation to many of their daily
7	users is inefficient and not in our best
8	interests.
9	Most days I can be found at least once,
10	along with many of our friends, both furry and
11	human, at the off-leash dog park in Dorrington
12	Park. We dog-park mates are a tight-knit bunch.
13	Our daily meet-ups give lots of opportunities to
14	hear the views of others on a seemingly
15	never-ending variety of topics. We are never
16	lost for conversation.
17	As it stands, we are all in the federal
18	electorate of Brisbane. If we have an issue, as
19	has happened previously, we are all going to go
20	to the one place for representation. Having us
21	potentially split across electorates would dilute
22	our contact with elected members and their
23	understanding of our needs and wants. We have
24	seen this happen at a local and state level and
25	do not want it to happen again.
26	The Oakleigh precinct is a small area. The
27	number of residents in our community does not

significantly impact on either Brisbane or Ryan,

1	but our quality of life is critically important
2	to us. I do believe that this proposed change
3	can be easily undone, leaving our community
4	intact and without affecting the state-wide
5	solution.
6	Thank you for your time.
7	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you very much. Thank you for
8	your assistance, Ms Lawson.
9	Is Mr Trevor Evans here? Would you like to
10	speak, Mr Evans?
11	MR EVANS: No, I was just here to observe.
12	THE CHAIRPERSON: Very well, yes.
13	MR EVANS: A number of the dot points relate to my
14	electorate so I just thought I would
15	THE CHAIRPERSON: Certainly. Thank you. Thank you
16	for your attendance, anyway.
17	In that case, the next speaker is Mr Mark
18	Yore. Yes, come forward, Mr Yore. Perhaps you
19	could just state for the transcript, Mr Yore,
20	please, your full name.
21	MR YORE: Yes, if you can give me just a moment.
22	Hi, my name is Mark Andrew Yore, and I guess
23	I am one of those terrible election geeks, as
24	I am sure some of the names are familiar to you,
25	who know a lot more than I do.
26	First off, though, I would like to thank the

members of the committee on their attempts to

answer the majority of individual submissions as

27

1	contained in appendix F of the report. This is a
2	very, very, very welcome change to the process.
3	By actually sitting there indexing the individual
4	issues, identifying who made them and providing a
5	response, it has made the job a lot easier.
6	There was an issue where the committee felt
7	the need to twice comment on particular
8	contributors, noting:
9	The following suggestions were
L0	submitted by individuals who were not residents of Queensland at the time of
11	the lodgement.
12	I believe that the comment was gratuitous, the
13	Act not specifying a resident's qualification,
L4	and there were no other demographic observations
L5	made. I know that it did annoy a number of the
16	outside contributors.
L7	I would like speak now about minor boundary
18	changes, in particular, Collinsville, which is
19	part of Whitsunday Regional Council and located
20	in Capricornia, which is based in Rockhampton.
21	The majority of the electorate of Dawson,
22	based in Mackay, is closer. You virtually have
23	to drive through Mackay to get to Collinsville.
24	It has direct transport links with Bowen and
25	Mackay, both part of Dawson.
26	As we spoke before about the need for
27	regional communities to be integrated, this is ar
28	example of quite a few communities that feel a

1	little bit apart from their local region.
2	Another example is Moreton Island, which is
3	currently in the federal seat of Bonner, located
4	in south-east Brisbane, the state seat of
5	Redcliffe, and the Brisbane City Council ward of
6	Deagon, none of which share any common area
7	except Moreton Island.
8	My suggestion was to either move it to
9	Petrie, so it and Redcliffe are located in the
10	same geographic area, or Lilley, in conjunction
11	with the council ward.
12	The new transport links from Redcliffe are
13	currently underway and will commence next year,
14	and air transport is principally from Redcliffe
15	Aerodrome, Archerfield Airport to Brisbane
16	Airport, none of which are in Bonner. It is a
17	relatively small population of between 200 and
18	250 people, but the ability to not have to drive
19	between federal and state offices a distance of
20	around 60 kilometre would be helpful.
21	The regional cities: the draft
22	redistribution stated, in section 89:
23	Following the modelling and analysis
24	of submissions, the Redistribution Committee formed the opinion that
25	attempts to unify regional cities in a single electoral division could not be
26	achieved at this time.
27	As a number of submissions actually detail how
28	this could be achieved. I do not believe that

1	that was a sufficient justification for it. One
2	of the prime examples of that was the immigration
3	of the - I am just trying to remember the name of
4	the suburb now which was transferred from
5	Leichhardt into Kennedy. It is seven kilometres
6	from the CBD of Cairns and yet it is in a seat
7	effectively based in Mount Isa.
8	The unfortunate thing - I will mention this
9	as an aside - is that Leichhardt is the only seat
10	in Queensland which shares only one common
11	border, that with Kennedy. The opportunity to
12	shift electors between it is, therefore, only
13	limited to one seat. No other seat in Queensland
14	has only one electorate to move the population
15	into.
16	I also believe the redistribution did give
17	due consideration to the requirements of the
18	Electoral Act, when looking at section (iv),
19	where it states:
20	The boundaries of existing electoral
21	divisions in Queensland, with this factor being subordinate to the
22	consideration (i), (ii) and (iv)
23	In a number of cases, it was more important, it
24	appeared, to maintain existing electorate
25	boundaries than to fix a number of errors with
26	communities of interest guidelines and transport
27	guidelines.
28	In terms of the regional cities, electoral

1	enrolment at the time of the 2016 local
2	government elections was: Cairns Regional
3	Council, 99,479; Townsville City Council,
4	125,147; Mackay Regional Council, 76,756;
5	Rockhampton Regional Council, 54,340; Gladstone
6	Regional Council, 40,522; and Fraser Coast
7	Regional Council, comprising Maryborough and
8	Hervey Bay, which are both in separate federal
9	electorates, 73,185.
10	The idea that each of those could provide a
11	core basis for a federal electorate was
12	effectively put in the too-hard basket. For
13	reference, the population of similar south-east
14	Queensland regional cities are: Ipswich City
15	Council, 116,169; Toowoomba City Council,
16	110,214; and Redland City Council, 103,783.
17	Ipswich City Council is split between the
18	electorates of Wright, Blair and Oxley; Redland
19	City Council is wholly within Bowman; and
20	Toowoomba City Council is almost completely
21	contained within the electorate of Groom.
22	In the majority of these cases, a natural
23	electorate based on the major population area
24	within single or multiple local government areas
25	could be easily drawn; for example, the
26	electorate of Dawson, by adding Mackay Regional
27	Council and Whitsunday Regional Council, would
28	actually meet the criteria.

1	I note again that the AEC maintains it
2	cannot be achieved at this time. However,
3	I believe it is important for the AEC to
4	establish their position on when it can be done,
5	and if building electorates in Queensland based
6	on regional communities of interest is a priority
7	within the Act.
8	As I mentioned, from a mapping point of
9	view, the big problem in Queensland is that
10	Leichhardt shares its boundaries with only one
11	seat, that of Kennedy. I believe that, in the
12	short term especially, it is important to provide
13	another access point to the seat of Leichhardt,
14	because, at the moment, simply transferring
15	electors along that boundary as the seat of
16	Leichhardt grows in Cairns and Kennedy shrinks -
17	which is what the population projections are
18	telling us - will simply mean that Kennedy will
19	get closer and closer to the CBD of Cairns, which
20	is not an optimal solution.
21	One issue with the redistribution lies in
22	the inability to read the likely direction the
23	Redistribution Committee will take towards
24	submissions. My suggestion would be for the AEC
25	to treat redistributions on an ongoing basis,
26	calling for public comment as circumstances
27	change.
28	Certainly this can be done with seat names,

1	to provide a list for future use. Major
2	developments, such as Caloundra South in Fisher,
3	which is adding another 50,000 people, should
4	also be flagged well in advance of the time set
5	for redistribution.
6	I know it is not the easiest job to prepare
7	the information for a redistribution and to
8	balance the competing interest, but at least
9	having an indication of which way the
10	Redistribution Committee is thinking over that
11	seven-year period, or shorter, would be very,
12	very helpful. Thank you.
13	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you very much for your
14	contribution, Mr Yore.
15	Is there any other person who wished to make
16	any submissions to the public hearing? If there
17	is not, and it appears there is no-one else, we
18	will conclude the hearing.
19	I stress to those who have come here that we
20	will give the submissions you have made, both
21	orally and in writing, careful consideration.
22	They will not be ignored. Whether they can be
23	met, in whole or in part or not at all, I don't
24	know at this stage, but I am very grateful, and
25	the augmented Commission thanks you indeed for
26	your attendance and your contribution. Thank
27	you.
28	We will now conclude the hearing and we will

1		conti	nue d	our delli	perations.	Inank	you for	your
2		atten	dance	₽.				
3	AT 9	9.32AM,	THE	HEARING	ADJOURNED	ACCORD:	INGLY	
4								
5								
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								