

The Federal Redistribution 2006 NEW SOUTH WALES



Public Objection Number: 424

Name: Mrs Dawn WELSH

Page(s): 1

"Eulimore Springs", Eugowra. NSW 2806. 18th. July, 2006

Redistribution Committee for NSW P.O. Box 200014, World Square NSW 2002

Dear Sir,

I am a voter in the seat of Calare and wish to place on record a strong protest to the Proposed Redistribution of Federal Electoral Boundaries in New South Wales as advertised in the Sunday Telegraph July 2nd. 2006.

GWYDIR is a Federation Seat and for that reason alone should remain. Federation was a major part of our HERITAGE.

Already voters in this region have been, (in my opinion) disadvantaged with the abolition of the seat of Lachlan. We have been draged from the edge of the Orange State Electorate into the seat of Dubbo where we have no common interest. Our local Govt area is Cabonne which ajoins the Orange City boundary

Now with the proposed Federal Changes we stand to be serverely dis-advantaged again.

The present member for Parkes already has a huge land mass but with an Office in Broken Hill and one at Dubbo does a very good job on behalf of those in the electorate. Surely to expect that he or any one who may follow him, could get to know State Members and Local Government representives and electors in that area plus much of the present Gwydir is ludicrous.

In my memory we have gone from Calare to Hume, Hume to Parkes Parkes to Calare and now it is suggested we go into the massive seat of Parkes which would cover nearly half the land mass of the state

We in the country already suffer from the tyranny of distance when moved from one electorate area to another it is almost impossible to have an association with like minded persons.

We in the Cenral West can have little in common with those in the North West other than we live west of the mountains.

If as stated in the advertisement that New South Wales seats be reduced by one it is logical that it should be an inner city seat and all metropolitan seats be moved west or northwestly to absorb the numbers of electors in that seat. That way there would be almost no impact on their social or community areas as there would be in the proposed changes for those in Calare, Parkes or the proposed changes for those in Calare, Parkes or the proposed changes for those in Calare, Parkes or the proposed changes for those in Calare, Parkes or the proposed changes for those in Calare, Parkes or the proposed changes for those in Calare, Parkes or the proposed changes for those in Calare, Parkes or the proposed changes for those in Calare, Parkes or the proposed changes for those in Calare, Parkes or the proposed changes for those in Calare, Parkes or the proposed changes for those in Calare, Parkes or the proposed changes for those in Calare, Parkes or the proposed changes for those in Calare, Parkes or the proposed changes for those in Calare, Parkes or the proposed changes for those in Calare, Parkes or the proposed changes for those in Calare, Parkes or the proposed changes for those in Calare, Parkes or the proposed changes for those in Calare, Parkes or the proposed changes for the

GWYDIR should stay and an inner city seat should go.

Thank the you,

2 1 1111 2006

REDISTRIBUTION NEW

424

Yours faithfully,

(Mrs.) Dawn Welsh.

Kawn Welsh

We Would not object going into "Parkes" if it was taking in more populated areas South and not going North.