



---

# Redistribution of South Australia into electoral divisions

JULY 2018

*Report of the augmented Electoral Commission  
for South Australia*

---

*Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918*

Feedback and enquiries      Feedback on this report is welcome and should be directed to the contact officer.

Contact officer      National Redistributions Manager  
Roll Management and Community Engagement Branch  
Australian Electoral Commission  
50 Marcus Clarke Street  
Canberra ACT 2600  
  
Locked Bag 4007  
Canberra ACT 2601  
  
Telephone: 02 6271 4411  
Fax: 02 6215 9999  
Email: [info@aec.gov.au](mailto:info@aec.gov.au)

AEC website      [www.aec.gov.au](http://www.aec.gov.au)

Accessible services      Visit the AEC website for telephone interpreter services in other languages.  
  
Readers who are deaf or have a hearing or speech impairment can contact the AEC through the National Relay Service (NRS):

- TTY users phone 133 677 and ask for 13 23 26
- Speak and Listen users phone 1300 555 727 and ask for 13 23 26
- Internet relay users connect to the NRS and ask for 13 23 26

ISBN: 978-1-921427-63-3

© Commonwealth of Australia 2018

© South Australia 2018

The report should be cited as *augmented Electoral Commission for South Australia, Redistribution of South Australia into electoral divisions*.

The augmented Electoral Commission for South Australia (the augmented Electoral Commission) has undertaken a redistribution of South Australia. In developing the redistribution, the augmented Electoral Commission has satisfied itself that the electoral divisions meet the requirements of the *Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918* (the Electoral Act). The augmented Electoral Commission commends its redistribution for South Australia.

This report is prepared to fulfil the requirements of section 74 of the Electoral Act.

Augmented Electoral Commission for South Australia

The Hon Dennis Cowdroy OAM QC  
**Chair**

Mr David Kalisch  
**Member**

Mr Tom Rogers  
**Member**

Mr Martyn Hagan  
**Member**

Mr Andrew Richardson  
**Member**

Mr Michael Burdett  
**Member**

July 2018

# Contents

- iv About this report
- iv Abbreviations and glossary
- 2 Executive summary**
- 2 Background
- 3 Legislative requirements
- 4 Redistribution of South Australia
- 6 Elector movements
- 6 Implementation of this redistribution
- 7 Chapter 1: Background and context**
- 7 Compliance with legislative requirements
- 7 Background to this redistribution
- 10 Release of proposed redistribution of South Australia
- 10 Invitation for interested individuals and organisations to submit objections and comments on objections
- 12 Augmented Electoral Commission for South Australia
- 12 Consideration of objections and comments on objections by the augmented Electoral Commission
- 13 Inquiry by the augmented Electoral Commission into objections
- 14 Conclusion of consideration of objections by the augmented Electoral Commission
- 14 Statutory requirements for the making of a redistribution
- 15 Public announcement by the augmented Electoral Commission
- 15 Determination made by the augmented Electoral Commission for South Australia
- 16 Chapter 2: The augmented Electoral Commission's redistribution and reasons for the redistribution**
- 16 Augmented Electoral Commission's redistribution of South Australia
- 17 Abolition of an electoral division
- 18 Augmented Electoral Commission's approach to naming electoral divisions
- 22 Augmented Electoral Commission's approach to formulating electoral boundaries
- 29 Movement of electors between electoral divisions
- 30 Redistribution of South Australia – by electoral division
- 31 Chapter 3: Implementation of the redistribution**
- 31 When do the new electoral divisions apply?
- 32 Do I need to do anything to change my electoral division?
- 32 For how long will the new electoral divisions apply?
- 32 On which electoral divisions would a by-election be contested?
- 32 How do I find out if my electoral division has changed?
- 32 Do I need to know my electoral division to enrol or update my enrolment details?
- 33 Where can I find information about the new electoral divisions?
- 33 Where can I find information about the electoral divisions which applied at the 2016 federal election?
- 33 Is geospatial data for the new electoral divisions available?

## 34 **Appendices**

- 34 Appendix A: Summary of compliance with legislative requirements
- 36 Appendix B: Operation of statutory requirements for the making of a redistribution
- 38 Appendix C: Regional newspapers in which notices were published
- 39 Appendix D: Objections to the proposed redistribution of South Australia
- 44 Appendix E: Comments on objections to the proposed redistribution of South Australia
- 46 Appendix F: Augmented Electoral Commission's response to themes contained in objections, comments on objections and in submissions to the inquiry into objections
- 61 Appendix G: Inquiry into objections
- 62 Appendix H: Constructing electoral divisions
- 63 Appendix I: Announcement of the augmented Electoral Commission's proposed redistribution
- 65 Appendix J: Determination of electoral divisions in South Australia by the augmented Electoral Commission
- 66 Appendix K: Comparison of South Australian electoral division names
- 67 Appendix L: Guidelines for naming federal electoral divisions
- 68 Appendix M: General description of how electoral divisions are constituted

## Tables

- 8 Table A: Current enrolment quota and permissible range for South Australia
- 9 Table B: Projected enrolment quota and permissible range for South Australia
- 11 Table C: Options to make an objection or comment on an objection
- 12 Table D: Membership of the augmented Electoral Commission for South Australia
- 21 Table E: Names of South Australian electoral divisions retained by the augmented Electoral Commission
- 29 Table F: Summary of movement of electors between electoral divisions
- 30 Table G: Electors affected by a change in the name of an electoral division
- 30 Table H: Summary of electoral divisions
- 39 Table I: Objections which were solely concerned with locating the suburbs of Aberfoyle Park, Flagstaff Hill and Happy Valley in the proposed Division of Boothby
- 42 Table J: Objections which were solely concerned with locating the suburbs of Craighburn Farm and Hawthorndene in the proposed Division of Boothby
- 43 Table K: Objections which were concerned with issues not covered in the previous tables
- 44 Table L: Comments on objections which were solely concerned with locating the suburbs of Aberfoyle Park, Flagstaff Hill and Happy Valley in the proposed Division of Boothby
- 45 Table M: Comments on objections which were concerned with issues not covered in Table L
- 46 Table N: Objections, comments on objections and submissions to the inquiry relating to the abolition of an electoral division
- 47 Table O: Objections, comments on objections and submissions to the inquiry relating to the name of electoral divisions
- 50 Table P: Objections, comments on objections and submissions to the inquiry relating to the placement of electoral divisions and divisional boundaries

# About this report

This report outlines the redistribution of South Australia and the augmented Electoral Commission's reasons supporting this redistribution.

The report consists of the following sections:

- **Executive summary**
- **Chapter 1: Background and context**

This chapter outlines the legislative requirements of the redistribution from the release of the Redistribution Committee's proposed redistribution to the determination of the names and boundaries of electoral divisions by the augmented Electoral Commission.

- **Chapter 2: The augmented Electoral Commission's redistribution and reasons for the redistribution**

This chapter outlines the augmented Electoral Commission's redistribution and the reasons for this proposal. Also included is the augmented Electoral Commission's approach to formulating the names and boundaries of electoral divisions.

- **Chapter 3: Implementation of the redistribution**

This chapter outlines what the implementation of the redistribution means in practice for residents of South Australia.

- **Appendices**

# Abbreviations and glossary

Word or acronym	Meaning
ABS	Australian Bureau of Statistics
ACST	Australian Central Standard Time – ACST is equal to Coordinated Universal Time plus 9.5 hours (UTC +9.5)
AEC	Australian Electoral Commission
augmented Electoral Commission	augmented Electoral Commission for South Australia
augmented Electoral Commission for South Australia	The Electoral Commission, augmented by the members of the Redistribution Committee for South Australia
COB	comment on objections
current enrolment quota	(Number of electors enrolled in a state or territory on the day the redistribution commences) / (Number of members of the House of Representatives the state or territory is entitled to)  The current enrolment quota for this redistribution is 119,503 electors
EBMS	Electoral Boundary Mapping System – a modification of commercially available mapping software which automatically calculates the revised actual and projected enrolments when boundaries are moved
Electoral Act	<i>Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918</i>

Word or acronym	Meaning
Electoral Commission	The Electoral Commission is headed by a Chairperson, who is selected from a list of names of three eligible Judges submitted to the Governor-General by the Chief Justice of the Federal Court of Australia. The other members are the Electoral Commissioner and a non-judicial member, currently the Australian Statistician
Gazette	<i>Commonwealth Government Notices Gazette</i> – gazette notices contain a range of information about legislation, including proclamations and notices of Commonwealth government departments and courts, and other notices required under Commonwealth law
general election	a general election of the members of the House of Representatives
guidelines	Guidelines for naming federal electoral divisions
IA	inquiry participant at the inquiry into objections held in Adelaide
Joint Standing Committee on Electoral Matters	Joint Standing Committee on Electoral Matters – the role of this Committee of the Australian Parliament is to inquire into and report on such matters relating to electoral laws and practices and their administration as may be referred to it by either House of the Parliament or a Minister
OB	objection
projected enrolment quota	<p>(Number of electors projected to be enrolled in a state or territory at the projection time) / (Number of members of the House of Representatives the state or territory is entitled to)</p> <p>The projected enrolment quota for this redistribution is 122,731 electors</p>
projection time	<p>The projection time is generally the end of the period of three years and six months after the final determination of electoral division boundaries and names is published in the Gazette. There are circumstances where this time may be varied</p> <p>The projection time for this redistribution is Thursday 20 January 2022</p>
redistribution	<p>A redistribution of electoral divisions is the process where electoral divisions and their names and boundaries are reviewed, and may be altered, to ensure, as near as practicable:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ each state and territory gains representation in the House of Representatives in proportion to the population of the state or territory, and</li> <li>▪ there are a similar number of electors in each electoral division for a given state or territory</li> </ul>
Redistribution Committee	Redistribution Committee for South Australia
Redistribution Committee for South Australia	The Electoral Commissioner, Australian Electoral Officer for South Australia, the Surveyor-General of South Australia and Auditor-General of South Australia
SA1	Statistical Area Level 1 – SA1s are the smallest unit at which the ABS makes available disaggregated Census data. The SA1s which have been used in this redistribution are those which applied at the 2011 Census of Population and Housing
SA2	Statistical Area Level 2 – SA2s consist of one or more SA1s and wherever possible are based on officially gazetted state/territory suburbs and localities. In urban areas, SA2s largely conform to whole suburbs but can be a combination of suburbs. The SA2s which have been used in this redistribution are those which applied at the 2011 Census of Population and Housing

# Executive summary

This report outlines the augmented Electoral Commission for South Australia's (the augmented Electoral Commission's) redistribution of South Australia into 10 electoral divisions.

The augmented Electoral Commission has unanimously adopted all elements of the Redistribution Committee for South Australia's (Redistribution Committee's) proposed redistribution.

The augmented Electoral Commission has:

- abolished the Division of Port Adelaide,
- renamed the Division of Wakefield to 'Spence' in recognition of Catherine Helen Spence,
- retained the names of the Divisions of Adelaide, Barker, Boothby, Grey, Hindmarsh, Kingston, Makin, Mayo and Sturt, and
- altered the boundaries of all of South Australia's electoral divisions.

## Background

A redistribution of electoral divisions is the process where electoral divisions and their names and boundaries are reviewed, and may be altered, to ensure, as near as practicable:

- each state and territory gains representation in the House of Representatives in proportion to its population, and
- there are a similar number of electors in each electoral division for a given state or territory.

The *Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918* (the Electoral Act) makes provision for the conduct of redistributions, including procedures, processes and timelines to be followed and how and when public consultation is to occur.

A redistribution of electoral divisions in South Australia was required as the number of members of the House of Representatives that is to be chosen for South Australia at the next general election has decreased from 11 to 10.

The redistribution process commenced with a direction from the Electoral Commission on Monday 4 September 2017.

The Redistribution Committee released its proposed redistribution on Friday 13 April 2018. Interested individuals and organisations were invited to make written objections on the proposed redistribution and written comments on objections via notices published in:

- the *Commonwealth Government Notices Gazette* (the Gazette) on Friday 13 April 2018,
- the *Adelaide Advertiser* and *Weekend Australian* on Saturday 14 April 2018,
- the *Koori Mail* on Wednesday 2 May 2018, and
- eight regional newspapers.

Three hundred and twenty objections to the proposed redistribution were made available for public perusal on Monday 14 May 2018 and 42 written comments on objections were made available from Monday 28 May 2018. An inquiry into objections was held on Thursday 7 June 2018 in Adelaide at which 11 submissions were made.

The augmented Electoral Commission found the written submissions made throughout the redistribution process, together with the submissions presented by those participating in the inquiry, to be a valuable contribution and is appreciative of the time and effort expended by all those who contributed. The redistribution has been informed by a thorough consideration of these submissions and the augmented Electoral Commission has taken them into account in making its determination. However, the augmented Electoral Commission felt unable to implement some aspects of these submissions for the reasons set out in Chapter 2 and Appendix F of this report.

This redistribution complies with all relevant provisions of the Electoral Act.

## Legislative requirements

The Electoral Act requires South Australia to be divided into the same number of electoral divisions as the number of members of the House of Representatives to be chosen in South Australia at a general election. As South Australia is entitled to 10 members of the House of Representatives, the augmented Electoral Commission has redistributed South Australia into 10 electoral divisions.

In making its redistribution, the Electoral Act imposes two strict numerical requirements on the augmented Electoral Commission. These two numerical requirements provide an overall constraint to ensure that there are approximately equal numbers of electors in each electoral division so that each elector in South Australia has equality of representation in the House of Representatives.

All electoral divisions are required to be within the range of plus and minus 10 per cent of the current enrolment quota. At the end of Monday 4 September 2017, the day on which the redistribution commenced, 1,195,031 electors were enrolled in South Australia. The current enrolment quota was therefore 119,503 electors. As the Electoral Act requires electoral divisions to be within the range of plus and minus 10 per cent of this quota, the augmented Electoral Commission was required to construct electoral divisions which contain between 107,553 and 131,453 electors.

All electoral divisions are also required to be within the range of plus and minus 3.5 per cent of the projected enrolment quota at the projection time of Thursday 20 January 2022. As the number of electors projected to be enrolled in South Australia at this time is 1,227,310, and the projected enrolment quota is 122,731 electors, the augmented Electoral Commission was required to construct electoral divisions which are projected to contain between 118,436 and 127,026 electors on Thursday 20 January 2022.

In relation to each proposed electoral division, the augmented Electoral Commission is also required by the Electoral Act to give due consideration to:

- i. community of interests within the electoral division, including economic, social and regional interests,
- ii. means of communication and travel within the electoral division,
- iv. the physical features and area of the electoral division, and
- v. the boundaries of existing electoral divisions in South Australia, with this factor being subordinate to the consideration of i, ii and iv.

The augmented Electoral Commission can only balance the different criteria against each other so far as they affect each of the 10 electoral divisions in South Australia, and try and achieve the best balance overall. Given the primacy of the two numerical requirements, it is impossible to satisfy all the statutory criteria to the same extent in each electoral division.

## Redistribution of South Australia

The redistribution covers:

- which electoral division to abolish,
- the names of the 10 electoral divisions, and
- where to draw the boundaries between electoral divisions in South Australia to accommodate the abolition of an electoral division.

### **Abolition of an electoral division**

The augmented Electoral Commission has adopted the Redistribution Committee's proposal to abolish the Division of Port Adelaide.

The augmented Electoral Commission adopted the Redistribution Committee's proposed abolition for the reasons set out in Chapter 2 of this report.

### **Names of electoral divisions**

The Redistribution Committee proposed:

- retiring the name 'Port Adelaide',
- renaming the Division of Wakefield to 'Spence' in recognition of Catherine Helen Spence,
- retaining the names of the remaining nine electoral divisions.

A small portion of the total number of objections to the proposed redistribution, comments on objections and submissions to the inquiry referred to the names of electoral divisions.

Some of these supported the Redistribution Committee's proposed names while others argued that electoral divisions should retain the name they had at the commencement of the redistribution or offered a different name for consideration by the augmented Electoral Commission.

The augmented Electoral Commission unanimously agreed the arguments offered in support of altering the names of any of the 10 proposed electoral divisions were not substantive enough to warrant further change from the Redistribution Committee's proposal. South Australia's ten electoral divisions will therefore be known by the names proposed by the Redistribution Committee.

The augmented Electoral Commission adopted the Redistribution Committee's proposed names of South Australia's 10 electoral divisions for the reasons set out in Chapter 2 of this report.

### **Boundaries of electoral divisions**

In determining the boundaries of electoral divisions in a state or territory, the Electoral Act requires the augmented Electoral Commission to ensure the enrolment numbers for each electoral division remain within the two ranges of the permissible maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division.

South Australia's entitlement to members of the House of Representatives has decreased from 11 to 10 electoral divisions. As a consequence of abolishing an electoral division, the current enrolment quota and projected enrolment quota were increased, as were the ranges for the permissible maximum and minimum number of electors around these quotas. More electoral divisions fell outside these ranges than may otherwise have been the case. The augmented Electoral Commission was required, as was the Redistribution Committee, to abolish an electoral division and alter all of South Australia's electoral division boundaries to accommodate this and ensure that all electoral divisions met the requirements of the Electoral Act.

The augmented Electoral Commission noted that the Redistribution Committee's proposal met the requirements of the Electoral Act and proposed electoral divisions which:

- were more equitably balanced numerically across the state,
- could accommodate the differing rates of expected growth and decline across South Australia,
- kept together or improved existing communities of interest, in some cases represented by local government areas, suburbs and localities, where possible,
- used strong and readily identifiable features as electoral division boundaries, to the extent possible, and
- were consistent with elements of the 211 suggestions to the redistribution and 32 comments on suggestions which identified electoral boundary changes to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act.

Abolishing an electoral division will, of necessity, result in significant elector movement away from the abolished electoral division and will also require consequential boundary changes and elector movements across the state to ensure that all electoral divisions remain within the two permissible ranges. The augmented Electoral Commission noted that the Redistribution Committee's proposal met the requirements of the Electoral Act, and to the extent possible, provided electoral divisions that accommodated future growth while recognising and maintaining many communities of interest and using strong boundaries.

The augmented Electoral Commission carefully considered the Redistribution Committee's proposed redistribution, the objections to the proposed redistribution, comments on objections and further information provided in submissions at the inquiry in Adelaide. The augmented Electoral Commission unanimously agreed to adopt the Redistribution Committee's proposed electoral division boundaries without change.

## Elector movements

Overall, 255,784 electors enrolled in South Australia (or 21.40 per cent of all electors enrolled in South Australia on Monday 4 September 2017) will change their federal electoral division as a result of this redistribution.

In addition, the renaming of an electoral division will affect 116,179 electors enrolled in South Australia (or 9.72 per cent of all electors enrolled in South Australia on Monday 4 September 2017) as a result of this redistribution.

## Implementation of this redistribution

Changes to electoral divisions as a result of this redistribution will apply from the day on which a notice of determination is published in the Gazette. This notice was published on Friday 20 July 2018.

Electoral events will not be contested on these new federal electoral divisions until a writ is issued for a general election following the expiry or dissolution of the House of Representatives.

# Chapter 1: Background and context

This chapter outlines the legislative requirements of the redistribution from the release of the Redistribution Committee's proposed redistribution to the determination of the names and boundaries of electoral divisions by the augmented Electoral Commission.

1. A redistribution of electoral divisions is the process where electoral divisions and their names and boundaries are reviewed, and may be altered, to ensure, as near as practicable:
  - each state and territory gains representation in the House of Representatives in proportion to its population, and
  - there are a similar number of electors in each electoral division for a given state or territory.

## Compliance with legislative requirements

2. The *Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918* (the Electoral Act) specifies that a redistribution process should be undertaken when:
  - the number of members of the House of Representatives to which a state or territory is entitled has changed (relative population change)
  - the number of electors in more than one-third of the electoral divisions in a state (or one of the electoral divisions in the Australian Capital Territory or the Northern Territory) deviates from the average divisional enrolment by over ten per cent for a period of more than two months, or
  - a period of seven years has elapsed since the last redistribution process was determined.<sup>1</sup>
3. The procedures to be followed in conducting a redistribution process are also specified in the Electoral Act. Appendix A summarises the legislative requirements which have been followed in conducting this redistribution. Each of these requirements is discussed in further detail in this chapter.

## Background to this redistribution

4. The steps followed from the commencement of the redistribution of South Australia to the release of the Redistribution Committee for South Australia's (Redistribution Committee) proposed redistribution are outlined in Chapter 1 of the Redistribution Committee's report: *Proposed redistribution of South Australia into electoral divisions*.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Sub-section 59(2) of the Electoral Act specifies when a redistribution process should be undertaken.

<sup>2</sup> Redistribution Committee for South Australia, *Proposed redistribution of South Australia into electoral divisions*, April 2018, page 8–15

## Requirement to conduct a redistribution of electoral divisions in South Australia and commencement

5. On Thursday 31 August 2017, the Electoral Commissioner made a determination under sub-section 48(1) of the Electoral Act of the number of members of the House of Representatives each state and territory would be entitled to at the next general election.<sup>3</sup>
6. As a result of this determination, South Australia's entitlement decreased from 11 to 10 members of the House of Representatives.
7. In accordance with sub-section 59(1) of the Electoral Act, the Electoral Commission published a notice in the *Commonwealth Government Notices Gazette* (the Gazette) on Monday 4 September 2017 directing that a redistribution of South Australia into 10 electoral divisions commence.

### Current enrolment quota

8. Section 65 of the Electoral Act requires the Electoral Commissioner, as soon as practicable after the redistribution process commences, to determine the current enrolment quota or average divisional enrolment using the following formula:

$$\frac{\text{Number of electors enrolled in South Australia as at the end of the day on which the redistribution commenced (Monday 4 September 2017)}}{\text{Number of members of the House of Representatives to which South Australia is entitled}}$$

9. In calculating this quota, sub-section 65(2) of the Electoral Act provides that:
  - where the result includes a fraction that is less than 0.5, the number is rounded down to the nearest whole number, or
  - where the result includes a fraction that is equal to or greater than 0.5, the number is rounded up to the nearest whole number.
10. Table A shows the figures used to calculate the current enrolment quota and the resultant range of the permissible maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division (discussed further in the section titled 'Statutory requirements for the making of a redistribution').

**Table A: Current enrolment quota and permissible range for South Australia**

Number of electors enrolled in South Australia as at the end of the day on which the redistribution commenced (Monday 4 September 2017)	1,195,031
Number of members of the House of Representatives to which South Australia is entitled	10
Current enrolment quota for South Australia	119,503
Permissible maximum number of electors in an electoral division (current enrolment quota + 10 per cent)	131,453
Permissible minimum number of electors in an electoral division (current enrolment quota – 10 per cent)	107,553

11. As part of the redistribution process, the augmented Electoral Commission for South Australia (the augmented Electoral Commission) is required to ensure that the number of electors in an electoral division is within the range of plus 10 per cent and minus 10 per cent of the current enrolment quota (see Appendix B).

<sup>3</sup> A copy of this determination is available on the Australian Electoral Commission (AEC) website.

12. The number of electors at the commencement date of Monday 4 September 2017 at both the electoral division and Statistical Area Level 1 (SA1) level were published on the AEC website when the invitation for interested parties to submit suggestions and comments on suggestions was made.<sup>4</sup>

### Enrolment projections and projected enrolment quota

13. When determining a redistribution, the augmented Electoral Commission is required by paragraph 73(4)(a) of the Electoral Act to ensure, as far as practicable, that the number of electors enrolled in the electoral division at the projection time will be within the range of plus 3.5 per cent and minus 3.5 per cent of the projected enrolment quota (see Appendix B). This quota is calculated using the following formula:

$$\frac{\text{Estimated total number of electors enrolled in South Australia at the projection time (Thursday 20 January 2022)}}{\text{Number of members of the House of Representatives to which South Australia is entitled}}$$

14. For the purposes of this redistribution, projected enrolment has been calculated by the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS). These projections were subsequently reviewed by AEC officers.
15. Projected enrolment at the projection time of Thursday 20 January 2022, together with the processes used by the ABS to calculate these projections, were published on the AEC website when the invitation for interested parties to submit suggestions and comments on suggestions was made. The projections were made available at both the electoral division and SA1 level.
16. Table B shows the figures used to calculate the projected enrolment quota and the resultant range of the permissible maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time (discussed further in the section titled ‘Statutory requirements for the making of a redistribution’).

**Table B: Projected enrolment quota and permissible range for South Australia**

Estimated total number of electors enrolled in South Australia at the projection time (Thursday 20 January 2022)	1,227,310
Number of members of the House of Representatives to which South Australia is entitled	10
Projected enrolment quota for South Australia	122,731
Permissible maximum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time (projected enrolment quota + 3.5 per cent)	127,026
Permissible minimum number of electors in an electoral division at the projection time (projected enrolment quota – 3.5 per cent)	118,436

<sup>4</sup> See Appendix H for a discussion of how the AEC uses SA1s. SA1s are the smallest unit at which the ABS makes available disaggregated Census data. At the time of the 2011 Census, there were 54,805 SA1s with populations in the range of 200–800. SA1s, which are part of the Australian Statistical Geography Standard, are defined by the ABS and remain stable between censuses. The SA1s used for this redistribution were defined for the 2011 Census.

## Release of proposed redistribution of South Australia

17. Sub-section 66(1) of the Electoral Act requires the Redistribution Committee to make a proposed redistribution, with section 67 requiring that written reasons for the proposed redistribution be provided.
18. In its report *Proposed redistribution of South Australia into electoral divisions*, the Redistribution Committee outlined its proposed redistribution of South Australia and in Chapter 2 and Appendix F explained the reasons behind its proposal.<sup>5</sup> On Friday 13 April 2018, this report was made available on the AEC website and in each AEC office located in South Australia.
19. In addition, and as required by sub-section 68(1) of the Electoral Act, the following information was also made available at each AEC office in South Australia from Friday 13 April 2018:
  - maps showing the names and boundaries of each proposed electoral division in South Australia, and
  - copies of the 211 suggestions made to the redistribution and 32 comments on suggestions which were received.

## Invitation for interested individuals and organisations to submit objections and comments on objections

20. The Redistribution Committee is required by sub-sections 68(1) and 68(2) of the Electoral Act to draw attention to the redistribution material able to be viewed at AEC offices in South Australia and to invite written objections relating to the proposed redistribution of South Australia and written comments on objections via:
  - a notice published in the Gazette on a Friday,
  - a notice published in two newspapers circulating throughout South Australia, and
  - notices published in such regional newspapers circulating in regions affected by the proposed redistribution as determined by the Redistribution Committee.
21. The notice was published in the Gazette on Friday 13 April 2018. Newspaper notices were published in:
  - the *Adelaide Advertiser* and *Weekend Australian* on Saturday 14 April 2018,
  - the *Koori Mail* on Wednesday 2 May 2018,<sup>6</sup> and
  - eight regional newspapers (see Appendix C for details of where and when notices were published).

<sup>5</sup> Redistribution Committee for South Australia, op. cit., page 22–43 and 62–83

<sup>6</sup> *The Koori Mail* is the national Indigenous newspaper and is published fortnightly.

22. In accordance with section 68 of the Electoral Act, these notices included a brief outline of the proposed redistribution, where to view the proposed redistribution, how to participate in the process and where to find further information.<sup>7</sup> As required by paragraph 68(1)(d) of the Electoral Act:
- the notices published in the *Adelaide Advertiser* included maps showing the names and boundaries of each proposed electoral division in South Australia, and
  - the notices published in the regional newspapers listed in Appendix C included maps showing the names of boundaries of proposed electoral divisions in areas covered by the newspaper's circulation.<sup>8</sup>
23. Table C presents information on the options for making an objection or comment on an objection, and the extent to which these options were used.

**Table C: Options to make an objection or comment on an objection**

Options	Objections		Comments on objections	
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
Form upload on AEC website	13	4.05%	23	54.76%
Email	301	93.77%	18	42.86%
Mail	5	1.56%	1	2.38%
Fax	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
In person	2	0.62%	0	0.00%
<b>Total</b>	<b>321</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>100.00%</b>

24. Interested individuals and organisations were able to submit written objections from Friday 13 April 2018 until 6pm ACST on Friday 11 May 2018, the 4th Friday after the publication of the Gazette notice.<sup>9</sup> During this time, 321 objections were received by the Electoral Commission (see Appendix D).
25. As required by sub-section 69(2) of the Electoral Act, copies of these objections were made available to members of the public for perusal at the office of the Australian Electoral Officer for South Australia in Adelaide from Monday 14 May 2018, the 5th Monday after the publication of the Gazette notice. The objections were also made available on the AEC website on this date.
26. Interested individuals and organisations were able to submit written comments on objections received from Monday 14 May 2018 until 6pm ACST on Friday 25 May 2018, the 6th Friday after the publication of the Gazette notice.<sup>10</sup> During this time, 42 comments on objections were received by the Electoral Commission (see Appendix E).
27. As required by sub-section 69(4) of the Electoral Act, copies of these comments on objections were made available to members of the public for perusal at the office of the Australian Electoral Officer for South Australia in Adelaide from Monday 28 May 2018, the 7th Monday after the publication of the Gazette notice. The comments on objections were also made available on the AEC website on this date.

<sup>7</sup> A disc included in *Proposed redistribution of South Australia into electoral divisions* provided the material which sub-section 68(1) of the Electoral Act specifies is to be made available at each office of the AEC in the state/territory undergoing redistribution.

<sup>8</sup> This requirement is specified by sub-paragraph 68(1)(d)(iv) of the Electoral Act.

<sup>9</sup> This requirement is specified by paragraph 68(2)(a) of the Electoral Act.

<sup>10</sup> This requirement is specified by paragraph 68(2)(b) of the Electoral Act.

# Augmented Electoral Commission for South Australia

- 28. Sub-section 70(1) of the Electoral Act specifies that, for the purposes of a redistribution of South Australia, an augmented Electoral Commission for South Australia is established. The membership of the augmented Electoral Commission is specified by sub-section 70(2).
- 29. The membership of the augmented Electoral Commission for South Australia is outlined in Table D.

**Table D: Membership of the augmented Electoral Commission for South Australia**

Position on the augmented Electoral Commission	Name	Basis for membership
Chair	The Hon. Dennis Cowdroy OAM QC	Chairperson of the Electoral Commission
Member	Mr David Kalisch	non-judicial member of the Electoral Commission
Member	Mr Tom Rogers	Electoral Commissioner
Member	Mr Martyn Hagan	Australian Electoral Officer for South Australia
Member	Mr Michael Burdett	Surveyor-General of South Australia
Member	Mr Andrew Richardson	Auditor-General of South Australia

Note: Shading indicates the members of the Redistribution Committee (chaired by Mr Rogers).

- 30. The augmented Electoral Commission is responsible for:
  - considering all objections to the Redistribution Committee’s proposed redistribution and all comments on objections which were received by the specified lodgement times,
  - developing a proposed redistribution of South Australia in accordance with the requirements of the Electoral Act,
  - conducting an inquiry into objections, should one be required,
  - determining the names and boundaries of electoral divisions in South Australia, and
  - making the reasons for the augmented Electoral Commission’s determination available for public perusal.
- 31. The augmented Electoral Commission met on Thursday 7 June 2018.<sup>11</sup>

## Consideration of objections and comments on objections by the augmented Electoral Commission

- 32. The augmented Electoral Commission is required by sub-section 72(1) of the Electoral Act to consider all objections to the proposed redistribution and comments on objections which were received by the required specified lodgement times.

<sup>11</sup> As Mr Cowdroy was not present at this meeting, in accordance with paragraph 71(3)(a) of the Electoral Act Mr Rogers presided.

33. In formulating its redistribution of South Australia, the augmented Electoral Commission considered each of the 320 objections to the proposed redistribution and 42 comments on objections received from those who reside:<sup>12</sup>
  - in South Australia and are directly affected by the implementation of the redistribution, and
  - in other Australian states and territories and have an interest in the operation of Australia's democratic processes.
34. The augmented Electoral Commission found the written submissions made throughout the redistribution valuable and appreciates the time and effort expended by all those who contributed.
35. Chapter 2 and Appendix F outline the key themes contained in these objections and comments on objections, and how the augmented Electoral Commission responded to them, having regard to the requirements of the Electoral Act.

## Inquiry by the augmented Electoral Commission into objections

36. Sub-section 72(3) of the Electoral Act requires the augmented Electoral Commission to hold an inquiry into an objection unless it is of the opinion:
  - the matters raised in the objection were raised, or are substantially the same as matters that were raised, in:
    - suggestions relating to the redistribution lodged with the Redistribution Committee; or
    - comments on suggestions lodged with the Redistribution Committee; or
  - the objection is frivolous or vexatious.
37. Analysis of the 320 objections to the proposed redistribution indicated the matters to be inquired into as:
  - abolition of the Division of Port Adelaide
  - boundary of the proposed Division of Boothby
  - electoral division(s) in which the suburbs of Aberfoyle Park, Flagstaff Hill and Happy Valley are located
  - electoral division(s) in which the suburbs of Aldinga Beach, Port Willunga and Sellicks Beach are located
  - electoral division(s) in which the suburbs of Craighburn Farm and Hawthorndene are located
  - name of the proposed Division of Hindmarsh
  - name of the proposed Division of Spence
38. The manner in which inquiries into objections is to be conducted by the augmented Electoral Commission is specified in sub-sections 72(4) to 72(9) of the Electoral Act.
39. The augmented Electoral Commission held one inquiry on Thursday 7 June 2018 in Adelaide.
40. Invitations to attend the inquiry were sent to those who made objections to the proposed redistribution or commented on objections. Notices of invitation were placed in *The Adelaide Advertiser* and *The Weekend Australian* on Saturday 2 June 2018.

<sup>12</sup> While 321 objections to the proposed redistribution were submitted, OB268 was withdrawn prior to the end of the period in which objections could be made and therefore was not considered by the augmented Electoral Commission.

41. Appendix G lists those who made submissions to the inquiry and a transcript is included with this report. The augmented Electoral Commission found the submissions presented by those participating at the inquiry to be a valuable contribution and is appreciative of the time and effort expended by all those who contributed.
42. The augmented Electoral Commission's response to the matters raised at the inquiry is summarised in Chapter 2 and Appendix F.

## Conclusion of consideration of objections by the augmented Electoral Commission

43. Sub-section 72(2) of the Electoral Act requires the augmented Electoral Commission to conclude its consideration of the objections before the expiration of 60 days after the close of comments on objections. As comments on objections closed on Friday 25 May 2018, the augmented Electoral Commission was required to conclude its consideration by Tuesday 24 July 2018.
44. Consideration of objections by the augmented Electoral Commission was concluded on Monday 25 June 2018.

## Statutory requirements for the making of a redistribution

45. Section 73 of the Electoral Act requires the augmented Electoral Commission to adhere to specific criteria in determining boundaries of electoral divisions.
46. The augmented Electoral Commission endeavoured to ensure that the number of electors in each South Australian electoral division would:
  - meet the requirement of sub-section 73(4) of the Electoral Act for the number of electors in an electoral division to not be less than 90 per cent or more than 110 per cent of the current enrolment quota of 119,503 electors (Table A indicates the number of electors enrolled in each electoral division in South Australia must therefore be between 107,553 and 131,453), and
  - meet the requirement of paragraph 73(4)(a) of the Electoral Act for the number of electors projected to be in an electoral division to not be less than 96.5 per cent or more than 103.5 per cent of the projected enrolment quota of 122,731 electors at the projection time of Thursday 20 January 2022. (Table B indicates the number of electors projected to be enrolled in each electoral division in South Australia at the projection time of Thursday 20 January 2022 must be between 118,436 and 127,026)
47. The augmented Electoral Commission also gave due consideration to the requirements of paragraph 73(4)(b) of the Electoral Act:
  - i. community of interests in the electoral divisions including economic, social and regional interests,
  - ii. means of communication and travel in the electoral division,
  - iv. the physical features and area of the electoral divisions, and
  - v. the boundaries of existing electoral divisions, with this factor being subordinate to consideration of factors i, ii and iv.
48. Further details regarding these requirements are in Appendix B.
49. Appendix H outlines the mechanics of constructing electoral divisions.

## Public announcement by the augmented Electoral Commission

50. Once its inquiries into objections are completed, sub-section 72(10) of the Electoral Act requires the augmented Electoral Commission to:
  - make a proposed redistribution of the state, and
  - make a public announcement.
51. While the augmented Electoral Commission is able to choose the means by which this public announcement is issued,<sup>13</sup> sub-section 72(12) of the Electoral Act requires the following content:
  - the substance of the augmented Electoral Commission's findings or conclusions on the initial objections to the Redistribution Committee's proposed redistribution,
  - the augmented Electoral Commission's proposed redistribution of the state/territory, and
  - a statement whether, in the opinion of the augmented Electoral Commission, its proposed redistribution is significantly different from the Redistribution Committee's proposed redistribution.<sup>14</sup>
52. The augmented Electoral Commission did not consider their proposed redistribution to be significantly different to that of the Redistribution Committee. The text of the augmented Electoral Commission's public announcement, which was made on Tuesday 26 June 2018, is at Appendix I.

## Determination made by the augmented Electoral Commission for South Australia

53. In accordance with sub-section 73(1) of the Electoral Act, the names and boundaries of electoral divisions for a territory are determined when the augmented Electoral Commission publishes a notice in the Gazette.
54. The text of the notice published in the Gazette on Friday 20 July 2018 is at Appendix J.

<sup>13</sup> Paragraph 72(10)(b) of the Electoral Act states the announcement may be made by a statement to the media or by other expeditious means.

<sup>14</sup> In the event the augmented Electoral Commission considers their proposed redistribution to be significantly different to that of the Redistribution Committee, paragraph 72(12)(d) of the Electoral Act specifies the inclusion of additional information in the public announcement.

# Chapter 2: The augmented Electoral Commission's redistribution and reasons for the redistribution

This chapter outlines the augmented Electoral Commission's redistribution and the reasons for this redistribution. Also included is the augmented Electoral Commission's approach to formulating the names and boundaries of electoral divisions.

## Augmented Electoral Commission's redistribution of South Australia

55. The augmented Electoral Commission was required to redistribute South Australia into 10 electoral divisions.
56. The augmented Electoral Commission has adopted all elements of the Redistribution Committee's proposal, as outlined in *Proposed redistribution of South Australia into electoral divisions*, without change.
57. There are three components to the augmented Electoral Commission's redistribution:
  - which electoral division to abolish,
  - the names of the 10 electoral divisions, and
  - where to draw the boundaries between electoral divisions in South Australia to accommodate the abolition of an electoral division.

### **Abolished electoral division**

58. The augmented Electoral Commission has adopted the Redistribution Committee's proposal to abolish the Division of Port Adelaide.

### **Names of electoral divisions**

59. The augmented Electoral Commission has adopted the Redistribution Committee's proposed names without change.
60. The augmented Electoral Commission has:
  - retired the name 'Port Adelaide',
  - renamed the Division of Wakefield to 'Spence' in recognition of Catherine Helen Spence, and
  - retained the names of the remaining nine electoral divisions.

61. This is consistent with the 'Guidelines for naming federal electoral divisions' and the sentiments expressed in many objections to the proposed redistribution, comments on objections and submissions to the inquiry.
62. The augmented Electoral Commission notes that, as a result of these name changes, the number of South Australia's electoral divisions named after women has increased from one to two.
63. Appendix K presents some summary information about the names of South Australia's electoral divisions and how this compares to electoral division names in place at the start of this redistribution.

## **Boundaries of electoral divisions**

64. With respect to the boundaries of electoral divisions in South Australia, the augmented Electoral Commission was required to:
  - abolish an electoral division as South Australia's resident population relative to that of other states and territories means that its entitlement to electoral divisions has decreased from 11 to 10, and
  - alter the boundaries of nine of South Australia's electoral divisions which did not meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act.
65. As a consequence of ensuring each of South Australia's 10 electoral divisions met the numerical requirements, and accounting for the other factors to be considered under the Electoral Act, the augmented Electoral Commission has made changes to the boundaries of all of South Australia's electoral divisions.
66. The make-up of each of South Australia's 10 electoral divisions by Statistical Area Level 2 (SA2) is outlined in Appendix M.<sup>15</sup>

## Abolition of an electoral division

67. Abolition of an electoral division was required as South Australia's entitlement to members of the House of Representatives decreased from 11 to 10. The Redistribution Committee developed its proposed redistribution by adjusting the outer Divisions of Barker and Grey, both of which needed to gain electors, and then proceeded to work inwards. As a consequence of making the necessary adjustments to ensure that all electoral divisions would meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act, the Redistribution Committee unanimously proposed abolishing the existing Division of Port Adelaide with electors transferred to the proposed Divisions of Adelaide, Hindmarsh, Makin and Spence.<sup>16</sup>
68. Objections to the proposed redistribution, comments on objections and submissions made to the inquiry:
  - supported the proposed abolition of the Division of Port Adelaide,<sup>17</sup>

<sup>15</sup> Wherever possible, SA2s are based on officially gazetted state/territory suburbs and localities. In urban areas SA2s largely conform to whole suburbs and combinations of whole suburbs, while in rural areas they define functional zones of social and economic links.

<sup>16</sup> Redistribution Committee for South Australia, *op. cit.*, page 26

<sup>17</sup> This was argued by: OB240 – Darren McSweeney, OB318 – Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division), COB5 – Martin Gordon, COB40 – Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division) and IA8 – Martin Gordon.

- argued the Division of Port Adelaide should not be abolished, with arguments made that the electoral division covered an area experiencing high rates of population growth,<sup>18</sup> or
  - argued the Division of Sturt should be abolished on the basis that the electoral division encompassed the slowest growing parts of the Adelaide metropolitan area.<sup>19</sup>
69. The augmented Electoral Commission observed that abolishing an alternative electoral division, along with the adjustments to the boundaries of other electoral divisions necessary to accommodate such a change, would not result in an improved outcome for South Australia. In addition, the augmented Electoral Commission did not consider the arguments offered were substantive enough to warrant change from the Redistribution Committee's proposal.
70. The augmented Electoral Commission therefore concluded the Redistribution Committee's proposal should stand unchanged and the Division of Port Adelaide which existed prior to the commencement of the redistribution on Monday 4 September 2017 is abolished.

## Augmented Electoral Commission's approach to naming electoral divisions

71. The naming of federal electoral divisions has been the subject of a number of recommendations from parliamentary committees. The 'Guidelines for naming federal electoral divisions' (the guidelines) were developed by the AEC from recommendations made by the Joint Standing Committee on Electoral Matters in 1995 in *Electoral Redistributions: Report on the Effectiveness and Appropriateness of the Redistribution Provisions of Parts III and IV of the Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918*. The guidelines were offered to interested persons when this redistribution was advertised, and are publicly available on the AEC website (see Appendix L).

### Renaming of electoral divisions in South Australia

72. The guidelines note that the names of electoral divisions should not be changed or transferred to new areas without very strong reasons. Any decision to alter the name of an electoral division is therefore one which is not taken lightly.
73. The augmented Electoral Commission received several objections to the proposed redistribution, comments on objections and submissions to the inquiry relating to the names of electoral divisions.
74. Where an alternative to the Redistribution Committee's proposal was advocated, the augmented Electoral Commission considered whether modifications should be made to address the alternative. The following paragraphs, together with Appendix F, outline the augmented Electoral Commission's decision with respect to the alternatives offered.

### Retiring the name 'Port Adelaide'

75. In proposing to abolish the existing Division of Port Adelaide, the Redistribution Committee considered whether it would be appropriate to rename another electoral division 'Port Adelaide', however considered there was insufficient justification to do so.<sup>20</sup>

<sup>18</sup> OB3 – Domenic Barrett, OB312 – Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch) and IA1 – Anton van Bavel – Australian Labor Party argued against the abolition of the Division of Port Adelaide.

<sup>19</sup> OB3 – Domenic Barrett and IA1 – Anton van Bavel – Australian Labor Party argued for the abolition of the Division of Sturt.

<sup>20</sup> Redistribution Committee for South Australia, op. cit., page 27

76. Objections to the proposed redistribution, comments on objections and submissions made to the inquiry:
- supported retiring the name 'Port Adelaide',<sup>21</sup> or
  - argued there should be an electoral division named 'Port Adelaide' as the geographic area of Port Adelaide played a significant role in South Australia's early European settlement and has historical and ongoing significance to the Kaurra people.<sup>22</sup>
77. The augmented Electoral Commission considered that the arguments offered in support of retaining the name 'Port Adelaide' were not substantive. In addition, the augmented Electoral Commission observed that retiring the name is consistent with the following guidelines:
- locality or place names should generally be avoided, and
  - the names of federal electoral divisions should not duplicate those of existing state electoral divisions, noting that this name was revived at the 1998 state boundary redistribution and was first represented again in 2002.<sup>23</sup>
78. The augmented Electoral Commission therefore concluded the Redistribution Committee's proposal should stand and the name 'Port Adelaide' will be retired.

### Renaming the proposed Division of Hindmarsh

79. The Redistribution Committee proposed retaining the name of the Division of Hindmarsh.<sup>24</sup>
80. Objections to the proposed redistribution, comments on objections and submissions made to the inquiry:
- supported retention of the name 'Hindmarsh',<sup>25</sup>
  - argued the Division of Hindmarsh, on the boundaries proposed by the Redistribution Committee or on different boundaries, should be renamed 'Port Adelaide' or 'Port of Adelaide' to maintain the connection between the Port Adelaide area with the electoral division it is located in,<sup>26</sup> or
  - argued the Division of Hindmarsh should be renamed to reflect the strong Aboriginal links with Port Adelaide and the Le Fevre Peninsula.<sup>27</sup>
81. The augmented Electoral Commission considered that the arguments offered in support of altering the name of the Division of Hindmarsh were not substantive enough to warrant change from the Redistribution Committee's proposal.
82. The augmented Electoral Commission therefore concluded the Redistribution Committee's proposal should stand and the electoral division will continue to be known as the Division of Hindmarsh.

21 Support was indicated by OB240 – Darren McSweeney, OB287 – Jeff Waddell and IA8 – Martin Gordon.

22 This was advocated by OB184 – Electoral Reform Society of South Australia, OB315 – City of Port Adelaide Enfield and IA2 – Gary Johanson.

23 The electoral district profile of Port Adelaide, published by the Electoral Commission SA, can be viewed at [www.ecsa.sa.gov.au](http://www.ecsa.sa.gov.au)

24 Redistribution Committee for South Australia, op. cit., page 30

25 This was advocated by OB312 – Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch), COB5 – Martin Gordon, COB35 – The Hon Christopher Pyne MP, IA1 – Anton van Bavel – Australian Labor Party and IA8 – Martin Gordon.

26 This was argued by: OB184 – Electoral Reform Society of South Australia, OB295 – Port of Adelaide Branch of the National Trust SA, COB29 – Dr Mark Mulcair and IA2 – Gary Johanson.

27 This was argued by OB295 – Port of Adelaide Branch of the National Trust SA.

## Renaming the proposed Division of Spence

83. The Redistribution Committee proposed renaming the Division of Wakefield to 'Spence' in honour of Catherine Helen Spence (1825–1910) for her work as an advocate for female suffrage and electoral reform.<sup>28</sup> This alteration was proposed as:
- following the abolition of the Division of Port Adelaide, the proposed Division of Wakefield differed significantly from the existing Division of Wakefield, changing from a hybrid urban/rural electoral division to one with a predominantly urban focus, and
  - the guidelines refer to considering the name of the electoral division where the socio-demographic nature has changed significantly.<sup>29</sup>
84. A number of objections to the proposed redistribution, comments on objections and submissions made to the inquiry supported renaming the Division of Wakefield to 'Spence'.<sup>30</sup> Those in favour of this renaming argued:
- support for the Redistribution Committee's reasoning to change the name based on the change in the nature of the electoral division from a hybrid rural-urban electoral division to a predominantly urban electoral division,<sup>31</sup>
  - support for the Redistribution Committee's proposal to recognise the work of Catherine Helen Spence by naming a federal electoral division after her,<sup>32</sup> and/or
  - questioning whether Edward Gibbon Wakefield is an appropriate person after whom to name a federal electoral division.<sup>33</sup>
85. In contrast, a number of objections to the proposed redistribution, comments on objections and submissions made to the inquiry argued for the retention of the name 'Wakefield' and/or argued that the electoral division should not be named 'Spence'.<sup>34</sup> The arguments advanced by those in favour of retaining the name 'Wakefield' included:
- recognising the contribution that Edward Gibbon Wakefield made to the state of South Australia,<sup>35</sup>
  - 'Wakefield' was one of the first federal electoral division names in South Australia, being first used at the 1903 federal election,<sup>36</sup>
  - disagreeing with the Redistribution Committee that the proposed Division of Wakefield has changed significantly in character as a result of proposed boundary changes,<sup>37</sup> and
  - recognising the contributions made to Australian society by former members for the Division of Wakefield.<sup>38</sup>

28 Redistribution Committee for South Australia, op. cit., page 29–30

29 *ibid.*, page 29

30 This was advocated by: OB240 – Darren McSweeney, OB287 – Jeff Waddell, COB3 – Malcolm Mackerras AO, COB5 – Martin Gordon, COB33 – Michael Burke, COB35 – The Hon Christopher Pyne MP and COB40 – Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division).

31 This support was indicated by: COB33 – Michael Burke, COB35 – The Hon Christopher Pyne MP and COB40 – Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division).

32 This support was indicated by COB3 – Malcolm Mackerras AO and COB40 – Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division).

33 This was argued by COB33 – Michael Burke and COB35 – The Hon Christopher Pyne MP.

34 This was advocated by: OB1 – Ian Rohde OAM JP, OB184 – Electoral Reform Society of South Australia, OB312 – Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch), OB320 – Hon J N Andrew AO, COB7 – Kim Kelly, COB28 – Hon. David Hawker AO, COB34 – Ivan Venning, COB39 – Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch), IA1 – Anton van Bavel – Australian Labor Party, IA8 – Martin Gordon and IA10 – Hon Neil Andrew AO.

35 This was referred to by: OB1 – Ian Rohde OAM JP, COB7 – Kim Kelly, COB39 – Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch), IA8 – Martin Gordon and IA10 – Hon Neil Andrew AO.

36 This was argued by: OB312 – Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch), OB320 – Hon J N Andrew AO, COB28 – Hon. David Hawker AO, COB34 – Ivan Venning, COB39 – Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch) and IA10 – Hon Neil Andrew AO.

37 This view was expressed by: OB312 – Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch) and COB39 – Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch).

38 OB320 – Hon J N Andrew AO, COB7 – Kim Kelly, COB28 – Hon. David Hawker AO, COB34 – Ivan Venning and IA10 – Hon Neil Andrew AO made this point.

86. One objection argued that a single member electorate should not be named after Catherine Helen Spence as she was an advocate for proportional representation.<sup>39</sup>
87. The augmented Electoral Commission considered that the arguments offered in support of retaining the name 'Wakefield' were not substantive enough to warrant change from the Redistribution Committee's proposal. The augmented Electoral Commission considered the change in the nature of the electoral division was sufficient to warrant a name change for the former Division of Wakefield.
88. The augmented Electoral Commission therefore concluded the Redistribution Committee's proposal should stand and the electoral division will be known as the Division of Spence.

### Renaming of the remaining electoral divisions

89. The Redistribution Committee proposed retaining the names of nine electoral divisions in South Australia – the Divisions of Adelaide, Barker, Boothby, Grey, Hindmarsh, Kingston, Makin, Mayo and Sturt.<sup>40</sup>
90. Objections to the proposed redistribution, comments on objections and submissions to the inquiry advocated:
  - retaining the names 'Adelaide', 'Barker', 'Boothby', 'Grey', 'Kingston', 'Makin' and 'Mayo',<sup>41</sup>
  - retaining the name 'Sturt',<sup>42</sup>
  - retiring the name 'Sturt',<sup>43</sup>
  - renaming the Division of Sturt to 'Spence',<sup>44</sup> and
  - renaming the Division of Grey to 'Spence'.<sup>45</sup>
91. In the absence of strong arguments in support of altering the names of South Australia's electoral divisions, the augmented Electoral Commission concluded the Redistribution Committee's proposal should stand.
92. The augmented Electoral Commission has retained the names of the electoral divisions indicated in Table E.

**Table E: Names of South Australian electoral divisions retained by the augmented Electoral Commission**

Names of electoral divisions		
Adelaide	Grey	Makin
Barker	Hindmarsh	Mayo
Boothby	Kingston	Sturt

39 This argument was made by OB184 – Electoral Reform Society of South Australia.

40 Redistribution Committee for South Australia, op. cit., page 30

41 This was advocated by OB312 – Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch) and COB35 – The Hon Christopher Pyne MP.

42 This was advocated by COB35 – The Hon Christopher Pyne MP and COB40 – Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division).

43 This was advocated by OB312 – Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch).

44 This was advocated by OB312 – Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch) and COB39 – Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch).

45 This was advocated by IA8 – Martin Gordon.

## Augmented Electoral Commission's approach to formulating electoral boundaries

93. In deciding whether to amend the Redistribution Committee's proposal to incorporate a concept submitted in an objection, comment on objection or submission to the inquiry, the augmented Electoral Commission was mindful whether the suggested amendment would improve the Redistribution Committee's proposal. As the augmented Electoral Commission's formulation of electoral divisions must conform to the requirements of the Electoral Act, potential amendments were also analysed with respect to the requirements of sub-section 73(4) of the Electoral Act.
94. The primary requirement was to ensure each electoral division remains within the permissible maximum and minimum number of electors around the projected enrolment quota (see Table B) and the current enrolment quota (see Table A). In modifying the boundaries of electoral divisions proposed by the Redistribution Committee, the augmented Electoral Commission was therefore required to ensure that each electoral division in South Australia continued to fall within the permissible ranges for the maximum and minimum number of electors in an electoral division.
95. Similarly, when considering adjusting the boundary of an electoral division to better reflect one community of interest, the augmented Electoral Commission observed that such an adjustment could prompt concerns about one or more different communities of interest.

### Adjusting the boundaries of existing electoral divisions

96. In constructing their proposal, the Redistribution Committee considered it would result in electoral divisions which:
  - are more equitably balanced numerically across the state,
  - can accommodate the differing rates of expected growth and decline across South Australia,
  - keep together or improve existing communities of interest, in some cases represented by local government areas, suburbs and localities, where possible, and
  - use strong and readily identifiable features as electoral division boundaries, to the extent possible.<sup>46</sup>
97. The Redistribution Committee made changes to the boundaries of all of South Australia's electoral divisions.<sup>47</sup>
98. The augmented Electoral Commission considered the Redistribution Committee's proposal and agreed with what was proposed. Where an alternative to the Redistribution Committee's proposal was advocated, the augmented Electoral Commission considered whether modifications should be made to address the alternative. The following paragraphs, together with Appendix F, outline the augmented Electoral Commission's decisions with respect to the alternatives offered.

### The boundary of the proposed Division of Boothby

99. As a result of the proposed abolition of Port Adelaide and the consequential adjustments required to ensure that all of South Australia's electoral divisions would meet the two numerical requirements of the Electoral Act, a number of changes were proposed to the boundaries of the Division of Boothby. The Redistribution Committee proposed the Division of Boothby:

<sup>46</sup> Redistribution Committee for South Australia, op. cit., page 6

<sup>47</sup> *ibid.*, page 6

- transfer the suburbs of Aberfoyle Park and Flagstaff Hill and part of the suburb of Happy Valley into the proposed Division of Kingston,<sup>48</sup>
  - transfer the suburbs of Craighburn Farm and Hawthorndene and part of the suburb of Coromandel Valley to the proposed Division of Mayo,<sup>49</sup>
  - gain the suburbs of Black Forest, Clarence Park, Kings Park and part of the suburbs of Forestville and Millswood from the Division of Adelaide, and
  - gain the suburbs of Ascot Park, Edwardstown, Glenelg, Glenelg East, Glenelg South, Glengowrie, Morphettville, Park Holme, Plympton Park, South Plympton, and part of the suburbs of Glenelg North, Glandore and Somerton Park, from the Division of Hindmarsh.<sup>50</sup>
100. A large portion of the objections to the proposed redistribution, comments on objections and submissions to the inquiry were concerned with the proposed Division of Boothby.<sup>51</sup>
  101. Some objections to the proposed redistribution, comments on objections and submissions to the inquiry supported the Redistribution Committee's boundary for the proposed Division of Boothby,<sup>52</sup> with reference made to supporting the inclusion of the entirety of the City of Holdfast Bay in the proposed electoral division.<sup>53</sup> Others argued for further changes to be made, such as transferring the suburb of Novar Gardens and parts of the suburbs of Camden Park and Glenelg North from the proposed Division of Hindmarsh to the proposed Division of Boothby so as to include the suburb of Novar Gardens in the same electoral division as the suburb of Glenelg.<sup>54</sup>
  102. Differing views were also presented as whether the entire City of Mitcham should be located in the Division of Boothby in order to keep the local government area in its entirety in the one electoral division or whether additional suburbs from the City of Mitcham should be located in the Division of Mayo to satisfy the numerical requirements of the Division of Mayo.<sup>55</sup>
  103. Objections to the proposed redistribution and comments on objections argued the boundaries of the Division of Boothby, as they existed at the start of this redistribution, should not be changed or that suburbs already located in the Division of Boothby should remain in the Division of Boothby.<sup>56</sup>
  104. Those arguing for these changes did so on the basis of improving the community of interest in the proposed electoral division.
  105. The augmented Electoral Commission observed that those opposing the proposed boundary did not make sufficiently substantive arguments which addressed all of the criteria of the Electoral Act.

48 This matter is dealt with later in this Chapter.

49 This matter is dealt with later in this Chapter.

50 Redistribution Committee for South Australia, *op. cit.*, page 33, 35 and 38

51 See, for example, Tables I, J and L.

52 For example, those which indicated support for uniting the City of Holdfast Bay in the Division of Boothby, or for the southern boundaries of the proposed Division of Boothby or for the boundaries between the proposed Division of Boothby and the proposed Divisions of Adelaide and Hindmarsh.

53 This was supported by OB287 – Jeff Waddell, OB308 – Stephen Patterson MP, OB318 – Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division), COB40 – Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division) and IA5 – Helen Ronson.

54 This was advocated by: OB285 – Dr Mark Mulcair, OB308 – Stephen Patterson MP, OB310 – Laura Coppola, OB312 – Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch), OB318 – Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division), COB5 – Martin Gordon and COB40 – Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division).

55 Locating the entirety of the City of Mitcham in the proposed Division of Boothby was argued by OB287 – Jeff Waddell. Transferring additional suburbs to the proposed Division of Mayo was advocated by: OB312 – Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch), OB321 – Charles Richardson, COB5 – Martin Gordon, COB39 – Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch) and COB42 – Charles Richardson.

56 This was argued by: OB279 – Raymond Mercure, OB284 – Robyn Hudson, COB15 – Andy Partridge, COB19 – MA Roberts and PM Roberts, COB27 – Yvonne Riddell and COB31 – Mr Robert A Baker.

106. On the boundaries in place at the commencement of the redistribution, alterations were required to both of the Divisions of Boothby and Kingston to enable the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act to be met.
107. The augmented Electoral Commission noted:
  - the primary factor for consideration in determining electoral divisions is to ensure the two numerical requirements of the Electoral Act would be met, and
  - adjustments to enable the advocated changes to be made would necessitate changes to adjoining electoral divisions which could result in additional community of interest concerns and in some cases would be outside the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act.
108. The augmented Electoral Commission concluded the Redistribution Committee's proposal was sound and should be adopted without change. The boundary of the Division of Boothby will be as proposed by the Redistribution Committee.

### The electoral division(s) in which the suburbs of Aberfoyle Park, Flagstaff Hill and Happy Valley are located

109. The Redistribution Committee proposed transferring the suburbs of Aberfoyle Park and Flagstaff Hill from the Division of Boothby to the proposed Division of Kingston and uniting all of the suburb of Happy Valley in the proposed Division of Kingston.<sup>57</sup> Ensuring the proposed Divisions of Boothby and Kingston both met the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act, and maintaining the strong natural boundary between the proposed Divisions of Boothby and Kingston at the Boral quarry and Majors Road, led the Redistribution Committee to propose these changes.<sup>58</sup>
110. The Redistribution Committee proposed changes to the Division of Boothby as a consequence of the loss of an electoral division and the flow on effect to adjust boundaries to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act. As the Division of Mayo needed to gain electors for it to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act, it was considered the southern suburbs within the Division of Kingston were the most appropriate locations from which the proposed Division of Mayo could gain electors.<sup>59</sup>
111. These alterations resulted in a further deficit of electors for the Division of Kingston and required additional adjustments to be made for the electoral division to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act. Electors from the suburbs of Aberfoyle Park, Flagstaff Hill and Happy Valley share a community of interest and were able to be united in the one electoral division by transferring them to the proposed Division of Kingston.<sup>60</sup>
112. Some objections to the proposed redistribution and comments on objections and submissions to the inquiry supported transferring the suburbs of Aberfoyle Park, Flagstaff Hill and Happy Valley to the proposed Division of Kingston.<sup>61</sup>

<sup>57</sup> Redistribution Committee for South Australia, *op. cit.*, page 35

<sup>58</sup> *ibid.*, page 35

<sup>59</sup> *ibid.*, page 38

<sup>60</sup> *ibid.*, page 38

<sup>61</sup> This was supported by OB52 – Daniel Hughes, OB312 – Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch), COB29 – Dr Mark Mulcair, COB39 – Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch) and IA1 – Anton van Bavel – Australian Labor Party.

113. Other objections and comments on objections and submissions to the inquiry advocated that:
- some or all of the suburbs of Aberfoyle Park, Flagstaff Hill and Happy Valley should be located in the Division of Boothby and not the Division of Kingston. These objections and comments on objections noted a stronger community of interest link between the suburbs of Aberfoyle Park, Flagstaff Hill and Happy Valley with the suburb of Blackwood and the City of Mitcham area and argued there was little community of interest with those suburbs located in the Division of Kingston,<sup>62</sup> or
  - some or all of the suburbs of Aberfoyle Park, Flagstaff Hill and Happy Valley should be located in the Division of Mayo and not the Division of Kingston in order to meet the numerical requirements associated with subsequent movements.<sup>63</sup>
114. The augmented Electoral Commission observed that not all of those opposing the proposed boundary made sufficiently substantive arguments which addressed all of the criteria of the Electoral Act. On the boundaries in place at the commencement of the redistribution, alterations were required to both of the Divisions of Boothby and Kingston to enable the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act to be met.
115. The augmented Electoral Commission noted:
- the primary factor for consideration in determining electoral divisions is to ensure the two numerical requirements of the Electoral Act would be met, and
  - adjustments to enable the advocated changes to be made would necessitate changes to adjoining electoral divisions which could result in additional community of interest concerns and in some cases would be outside the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act.
116. The augmented Electoral Commission concluded the Redistribution Committee's proposal was sound and should be adopted without change.
117. The suburbs of Aberfoyle Park, Flagstaff Hill and Happy Valley will be located in the Division of Kingston.

### The electoral division(s) in which the suburbs of Craighburn Farm and Hawthorndene are located

118. The Redistribution Committee proposed transferring the suburbs of Craighburn Farm and Hawthorndene from the Division of Boothby to the proposed Division of Mayo.<sup>64</sup> These changes were proposed as the Division of Mayo, as it existed at the commencement of this redistribution:
- needed to gain electors to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act, and
  - shared boundaries with six other electoral divisions, five of which also needed to gain electors in order to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act.<sup>65</sup>

62 Objections which argued this are those displayed in Table I, OB135 – Yvonne Fourmy, OB255 – Richard Vear, OB284 – Robyn Hudson, OB297 – Mrs H M Robertson, OB318 – Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division) and OB319 – Daryl Parslow. Comments on objections which argued this are those displayed in Table L, COB5 – Martin Gordon, COB30 – Rhys Roberts OAM, COB32 – Dr Dorothy Turner, COB38 – Steve Murray MP, COB40 – Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division), COB41 – William Gough, and COB42 – Charles Richardson. Participants who argued this at the inquiry were: IA3 – Nicolle Flint MP, IA5 – Helen Ronson, IA7 – Yvonne Riddell and IA8 – Martin Gordon.

63 This was advocated by: OB287 – Jeff Waddell, COB5 – Martin Gordon and COB29 – Dr Mark Mulcair.

64 Redistribution Committee for South Australia, op. cit., page 35

65 *ibid.*, page 40

119. As a consequence of the proposed abolition of the Division of Port Adelaide and the subsequent distribution of electors into the proposed Divisions of Adelaide and Hindmarsh, the proposed Division of Boothby gained electors from the Divisions of Adelaide and Hindmarsh. This resulted in the number of electors in the proposed electoral division exceeding the upper limits required by the Electoral Act. The Redistribution Committee proposed dealing with this surplus by transferring electors to the adjoining Divisions of Kingston and Mayo which were required to gain electors in order to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act.<sup>66</sup>
120. A significant number of objections to the proposed redistribution, comments on objections and submissions to the inquiry advocated the suburbs of Craighburn Farm and/or Hawthorndene should be retained in the Division of Boothby and not transferred to the Division of Mayo. The reasons supplied by those in favour of this retention argued that the suburbs of Craighburn Farm and/or Hawthorndene share a strong community of interest link with the suburb of Blackwood and the City of Mitcham area, as opposed to the regional localities in the Division of Mayo.<sup>67</sup>
121. One objection to the proposed redistribution advocated that the suburb of Craighburn Farm should be located in the same electoral division as the suburb of Blackwood due to strong community, transport, retail and social links between these suburbs.<sup>68</sup>
122. Other objections and comments on objections argued that the suburb of Hawthorndene should be located in the Division of Mayo along with the suburb of Glenalta. Arguments were made that the suburb of Hawthorndene shares a strong community of interest with the suburb of Glenalta, as well as to satisfy the numerical requirements for the Division of Mayo.<sup>69</sup>
123. The augmented Electoral Commission observed that a number of those opposing the proposed boundary did not make sufficiently substantive arguments which addressed all of the criteria of the Electoral Act. On the boundaries in place at the commencement of the redistribution, alterations were required to both of the Divisions of Boothby and Mayo to enable the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act to be met.
124. The augmented Electoral Commission noted:
- the primary factor for consideration in determining electoral divisions is to ensure the two numerical requirements of the Electoral Act would be met,
  - adjustments to enable the advocated changes to be made would necessitate changes to adjoining electoral divisions which could result in additional community of interest concerns and in some cases would be outside the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act,
  - the suburbs of Coromandel Valley, Craighburn Farm and Hawthorndene share communities of interests, and
  - part of the suburb of Coromandel Valley was already located in the Division of Mayo.
125. The augmented Electoral Commission concluded the Redistribution Committee's proposal was sound and should be adopted without change.
126. The suburbs of Craighburn Farm and Hawthorndene will be located in the Division of Mayo.

<sup>66</sup> *ibid.*, page 35

<sup>67</sup> This was advocated by the objections displayed in Table J, OB135 – Yvonne Fourmy, OB265 – Henrik Wallgren, OB298 – Craighburn Farm petition of 87 people, OB318 – Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division), COB5 – Martin Gordon, COB8 – Neil McNish, COB22 – Carolyn Hemer, COB30 – Rhys Roberts OAM, IA3 – Nicolle Flint MP, IA5 – Helen Ronson and IA9 – Karen Hockley.

<sup>68</sup> This was advocated by OB303 – Sam Duluk MP.

<sup>69</sup> This was advocated by OB272 – Archbishop John Hepworth, OB303 – Sam Duluk MP, OB318 – Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division), COB5 – Martin Gordon, COB42 – Charles Richardson and IA8 – Martin Gordon.

## The electoral division(s) in which the suburbs of Aldinga Beach, Port Willunga and Sellicks Beach are located

127. The Redistribution Committee proposed transferring electors in the suburbs of Aldinga Beach, Port Willunga and Sellicks Beach from the Division of Kingston to the proposed Division of Mayo.<sup>70</sup>
128. These changes were proposed to ensure that the Division of Mayo met the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act. The Division of Mayo, as it existed at the commencement of this redistribution on Monday 4 September 2017, shared boundaries with six other electoral divisions, five of which also needed to gain electors in order to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act. As a result of transferring that portion of the Barossa Council located in the Division of Mayo to the proposed Division of Barker, the Redistribution Committee was required to make a number of adjustments to the proposed Division of Mayo.<sup>71</sup>
129. Objections to the proposed redistribution, comments on objections and submissions to the inquiry advocated that all or some of the suburbs of Aldinga, Aldinga Beach, Port Willunga and Sellicks Beach should be retained in the Division of Kingston and not transferred to the Division of Mayo. Common means of communication and transport, a desire to minimise the movement of electors between electoral divisions and a commonality of interest between those living in the suburbs of the Division of Kingston formed the basis of these arguments.<sup>72</sup>
130. Other objections to the proposed redistribution and submissions to the inquiry supported the southern boundary of the proposed Division of Kingston,<sup>73</sup> as proposed by the Redistribution Committee.
131. The augmented Electoral Commission observed that those opposing the location of the suburbs of Aldinga Beach, Port Willunga and Sellicks Beach did not make sufficiently substantive arguments which addressed all of the criteria of the Electoral Act. On the boundaries in place at the commencement of the redistribution, alterations were required to both of the Divisions of Kingston and Mayo to enable the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act to be met.
132. The augmented Electoral Commission noted:
  - the primary factor for consideration in determining electoral divisions is to ensure the two numerical requirements of the Electoral Act would be met,
  - adjustments to enable the advocated changes to be made would necessitate changes to adjoining electoral divisions which could result in additional community of interest concerns and in some cases would be outside the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act, and
  - the suburbs of Aldinga, Aldinga Beach, Port Willunga and Willunga share communities of interest and the Redistribution Committee's proposal unites the locality of Aldinga in its entirety within one electoral division.
133. The augmented Electoral Commission concluded the Redistribution Committee's proposal was sound and should be adopted without change.
134. The suburbs of Aldinga Beach, Port Willunga and Sellicks Beach will be located in the Division of Mayo.

70 Redistribution Committee for South Australia, *op. cit.*, page 40

71 *ibid.*, page 40

72 This was argued by: OB255 – Richard Vear, OB318 – Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division), OB319 – Darryl Parslow, COB5 – Martin Gordon, COB29 – Dr Mark Mulcair, COB40 – Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division), COB42 – Charles Richardson and IA8 – Martin Gordon.

73 This matter was indicated by OB240 – Darren McSweeney and IA1 – Anton van Bavel – Australian Labor Party.

## The electoral division(s) in which the City of Unley is located

135. The Redistribution Committee proposed that, in order to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act, the City of Unley would not be united in one electoral division but would be spread across the proposed Divisions of Adelaide, Boothby and Sturt.<sup>74</sup> Specifically, the suburbs of Black Forest, Clarence Park, Kings Park and part of the suburbs of Forestville and Millswood were proposed to be transferred from the Division of Adelaide to the proposed Division of Boothby.<sup>75</sup>
136. Some objections to the proposed redistribution, comments on objections and submissions to the inquiry supported the boundaries of the proposed Division of Adelaide, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee.<sup>76</sup>
137. Other objections to the proposed redistribution, comments on objections and submissions to the inquiry advocated:
  - some or all of the suburbs of Black Forest, Clarence Park, and Kings Park, and part of the suburbs of Forestville and Millswood should be located in the Division of Adelaide and not in the Division of Boothby. The basis of these submissions was a shared community of interest between these suburbs in the City of Unley and suburbs in the City of Prospect, and also that Cross Road remain the southern boundary of the proposed Division of Adelaide,<sup>77</sup>
  - moving those parts of suburbs in the City of Unley located to the south of Mitchell Street, Park Street and Wattle Street from the proposed Division of Adelaide to the Division of Boothby due to the community of interest links this area shares with the suburbs located to the south of Cross Road in the City of Mitcham,<sup>78</sup> and
  - moving the portion of the City of Unley east of Fullarton Road, from the proposed Division of Sturt to the proposed Division of Boothby, to enable a stronger boundary of Fullarton Road and Glen Osmond Road as opposed to the railway line and tram line around the suburbs of Kings Park and Millswood.<sup>79</sup>
138. The augmented Electoral Commission observed that those opposing the proposed location of the City of Unley did not make sufficiently substantive arguments which addressed all of the criteria of the Electoral Act. On the boundaries in place at the commencement of the redistribution, alterations were required to both of the Divisions of Adelaide and Boothby to enable the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act to be met.
139. The augmented Electoral Commission noted:
  - the primary factor for consideration in determining electoral divisions is to ensure the two numerical requirements of the Electoral Act would be met, and
  - adjustments to enable the advocated changes to be made would necessitate changes to adjoining electoral divisions which could result in additional community of interest concerns and in some cases would be outside the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act.

74 Redistribution Committee for South Australia, op. cit., page 33, 35 and 43

75 *ibid.*, page 33

76 This support was indicated by OB312 – Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch), COB40 – Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division) and IA1 – Anton van Bavel – Australian Labor Party.

77 This was advocated by: OB285 – Dr Mark Mulcair, OB318 – Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division), COB36 – Hugh Sutton, COB37 – Meredith Sutton and IA4 – Hugh Sutton.

78 This was advocated by OB2 – Luka Rinaldi.

79 This was argued by OB285 – Dr Mark Mulcair.

- 140. The augmented Electoral Commission concluded the Redistribution Committee’s proposal was sound and should be adopted without change.
- 141. The suburbs of Black Forest, Clarence Park, Kings Park and those parts of the suburbs of Forestville and Millswood south of the Adelaide-Glenelg tram line and Belair railway line will be located in the Division of Boothby.

## Movement of electors between electoral divisions

- 142. The Redistribution Committee noted that, as a consequence of abolishing an electoral division and ensuring the proposed redistribution meets the numerical requirements and the other factors required to be considered under the Electoral Act, the Redistribution Committee proposed changes to all electoral divisions in South Australia.<sup>80</sup>
- 143. The augmented Electoral Commission was also obligated to construct electoral divisions that met the two numerical ranges permitted by the Electoral Act.
- 144. As the augmented Electoral Commission adopted the Redistribution Committee’s proposal without amendment, the extent of elector movements between electoral divisions is unchanged from that proposed by the Redistribution Committee.<sup>81</sup>
- 145. Table F outlines the extent of elector movements resulting from the augmented Electoral Commission’s redistribution.

**Table F: Summary of movement of electors between electoral divisions**

	Number	Percentage
Electors remaining in their electoral division	939,247	78.60%
Electors transferred to another electoral division	255,784	21.40%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,195,031</b>	<b>100.00%</b>

- 146. The Redistribution Committee noted that, as a result of its proposal to rename the Division of Wakefield to ‘Spence’, more than nine per cent of South Australian electors were proposed to live in an electoral division with a new name.<sup>82</sup> As the augmented Electoral Commission adopted the Redistribution Committee’s proposal without amendment, the number of electors affected by a change in electoral division name is unchanged from that proposed by the Redistribution Committee.

80 Redistribution Committee for South Australia, op. cit., page 31

81 ibid., page 31

82 ibid., page 31

147. Table G displays the number of electors affected by a change in the name of an electoral division.

**Table G: Electors affected by a change in the name of an electoral division**

	Number	Percentage
Electors whose electoral division is renamed	116,179	9.72%
Electors whose electoral division retains its name	1,078,852	90.28%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,195,031</b>	<b>100.00%</b>

## Redistribution of South Australia – by electoral division

148. For each of the electoral divisions in South Australia, Table H presents:

- initial enrolment based on enrolment figures as at Monday 4 September 2017,
- percentage variation from the current enrolment quota,
- projected enrolment as at Thursday 20 January 2022,
- percentage variation from the projected enrolment quota, and
- the approximate area of each electoral division.

**Table H: Summary of electoral divisions**

Electoral division	Enrolment as at Monday 4 September 2017		Projected enrolment as at Thursday 20 January 2022		Approximate area
	Number	Percentage variation from the current enrolment quota	Number	Percentage variation from the projected enrolment quota	
Adelaide	119,793	0.24%	124,114	1.13%	86.38 km <sup>2</sup>
Barker	117,394	-1.76%	120,468	-1.84%	65,206.36 km <sup>2</sup>
Boothby	122,901	2.84%	125,502	2.26%	115.62 km <sup>2</sup>
Grey	119,682	0.15%	120,331	-1.96%	908,595.60 km <sup>2</sup>
Hindmarsh	120,587	0.91%	122,634	-0.08%	122.42 km <sup>2</sup>
Kingston	116,847	-2.22%	119,268	-2.82%	165.67 km <sup>2</sup>
Makin	119,451	-0.04%	122,135	-0.49%	162.40 km <sup>2</sup>
Mayo	118,942	-0.47%	123,713	0.80%	9,135.12 km <sup>2</sup>
Spence	116,179	-2.78%	123,954	2.00%	532.15 km <sup>2</sup>
Sturt	123,255	3.14%	125,191	1.00%	97.75 km <sup>2</sup>
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,195,031</b>		<b>1,227,310</b>		

149. Numerical summaries of the electoral divisions are provided in Appendix M. These summaries are provided to assist electors to identify if their electoral division has been altered as a result of this redistribution.

# Chapter 3: Implementation of the redistribution

This chapter outlines what the implementation of the redistribution means in practice for residents of South Australia.

150. In accordance with sub-section 73(1) of the Electoral Act, the electoral divisions described in this report came into effect from Friday 20 July 2018 as this is the day on which the augmented Electoral Commission published a notice in the Gazette determining the names and boundaries of electoral divisions in South Australia.
151. However, members of the House of Representatives will not represent or contest these electoral divisions until after a writ is issued for a general election following the expiration or dissolution of the House of Representatives.
152. In practice, this means:
  - electors will continue to be represented by the members of the House of Representatives who were elected at the most recent federal general election on Saturday 2 July 2016 or at a by-election held after this date,
  - members of the House of Representatives represent the electoral divisions which were in place at the most recent federal general election on Saturday 2 July 2016,
  - where relevant, allowances for members of the House of Representatives are calculated based on the electoral divisions in place at the most recent federal general election,
  - from Friday 20 July 2018, enrolment statistics will be published based on the electoral divisions described in this report,<sup>83</sup> and
  - from Friday 20 July 2018, members of the House of Representatives are able to request copies of the electoral roll for any electoral division which includes all or part of the electoral division for which they were elected.<sup>84</sup>

## When do the new electoral divisions apply?

153. While the new names and boundaries of electoral divisions apply from Friday 20 July 2018, elections will not be contested on these new electoral divisions until a writ is issued for a general election following the expiration or dissolution of the House of Representatives.

<sup>83</sup> Section 58 of the Electoral Act requires the Electoral Commissioner to publish on a monthly basis, via a notice in the Gazette, the number of electors enrolled in each electoral division, the average divisional enrolment for each state and territory and the extent to which each electoral division differs from the average divisional enrolment.

<sup>84</sup> Item 15 of sub-section 90B(1) of the Electoral Act specifies the information from the electoral roll which can be supplied to a member of the House of Representatives whose electoral division is affected by a redistribution.

## Do I need to do anything to change my electoral division?

154. Individuals do not need to take any action where their electoral division changes as the result of a redistribution. Section 86 of the Electoral Act requires the AEC to:
- transfer the elector to the new electoral roll, and
  - notify the elector their electoral division has changed.<sup>85</sup>

## For how long will the new electoral divisions apply?

155. Sub-section 73(1) of the Electoral Act provides that the new electoral divisions apply until the determination of the next redistribution via publication of a notice in the Gazette. This determination may be made as a result of sub-section 73(1) or sub-section 76(6) of the Electoral Act.

## On which electoral divisions would a by-election be contested?

156. By-elections are conducted using the electoral divisions which existed prior to the determination of the redistribution, as provided by sub-sections 73(6) and 73(7) of the Electoral Act.

## How do I find out if my electoral division has changed?

157. You can check your enrolment by using the AEC's online enrolment verification facility available at: [www.aec.gov.au/check](http://www.aec.gov.au/check) For privacy reasons, your electoral enrolment will only be confirmed if the details you enter are an exact match to your details on the electoral roll.
158. Alternatively, you can contact the AEC on 13 23 26 or use the 'Find my electorate' tool on the AEC website at [www.aec.gov.au/electorate](http://www.aec.gov.au/electorate), which will enable you to find:
- which federal electorate you live in,
  - a profile and map of your electoral division, and
  - the name of the incumbent member of the House of Representatives for your electoral division.

## Do I need to know my electoral division to enrol or update my enrolment details?

159. No, all you need to do is provide the details of your residential address and the AEC will tell you which electoral division you are enrolled for. You can enrol by using:
- the AEC's online enrolment service available at: [www.aec.gov.au/enrol/](http://www.aec.gov.au/enrol/),
  - the single page online form available from the AEC website,
  - completing and printing a PDF enrolment form available from the AEC website and returning it to the AEC, or
  - picking up an enrolment form at any AEC office or Australia Post outlet and returning it to the AEC.

<sup>85</sup> Sub-section 86(3) of the Electoral Act provides for this notification to be via a notice published in a newspaper or a notice delivered to the elector's address.

Where can I find information about the new electoral divisions?

160. Maps and descriptions of the new electoral divisions are available on the AEC website.

Where can I find information about the electoral divisions which applied at the 2016 federal election?

161. Maps and descriptions of previous electoral divisions are available on the AEC website.

Is geospatial data for the new electoral divisions available?

162. Individual state/territory boundaries are available on the relevant redistribution page and on the *Maps and Spatial Data* page of the AEC website.

# Appendices

## Appendix A: Summary of compliance with legislative requirements

Provision of the Electoral Act	Requirement	Compliance
ss.68(1)	Maps showing the names and boundaries of each proposed electoral division, copies of suggestions and comments on suggestions and reasons for the proposed redistribution to be made available in each AEC office in the state	The required information was made available in the AEC office in South Australia from Friday 13 April 2018
ss.68(1) and 68(2)	Invitation to peruse maps showing the names and boundaries of each proposed electoral division, copies of suggestions and comments on suggestions and reasons for the proposed redistribution and to make written objections and written comments on objections	Gazette notice published on Friday 13 April 2018 Newspaper notices were published in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <i>The Adelaide Advertiser</i> and <i>The Weekend Australian</i> on Saturday 14 April 2018,</li> <li>▪ <i>Koori Mail</i> on Wednesday 2 May 2018, and</li> <li>▪ eight regional newspapers</li> </ul>
para 68(2)(a)	Objections close at 6pm on the 4th Friday after publication of the Gazette notice	Objections closed at 6pm ACST on Friday 11 May 2018
ss.69(2)	Objections made available for public perusal starting on the 5th Monday after publication of the Gazette notice	Objections were made available in the office of the Australian Electoral Officer for South Australia and on the AEC website on Monday 14 May 2018
para 68(2)(b)	Comments on objections close at 6pm on the 6th Friday after publication of the Gazette notice	Comments on objections closed at 6pm ACST on Friday 25 May 2018
ss.69(4)	Comments on objections made available for public perusal starting on the 7th Monday after publication of the Gazette notice	Comments on objections were made available in the office of the Australian Electoral Officer for South Australia and on the AEC website on Monday 28 May 2018
ss.72(1)	Consideration of all objections and comments on objections received by the statutory timeframe	The augmented Electoral Commission considered each of the 320 objections and 42 comments on objections received
ss.72(3)	Inquiry/inquiries into objections held (if required)	An inquiry into objections was held in Adelaide on Thursday 7 June 2018
ss.72(2)	Consideration of objections is to conclude before the expiration of 60 days after the close of comments on objections	Consideration of objections by the augmented Electoral Commission was concluded on Monday 25 June 2018

Provision of the Electoral Act	Requirement	Compliance
para 72(10)(b)	The augmented Electoral Commission announces the proposed redistribution	The augmented Electoral Commission announced its proposed redistribution on Tuesday 26 June 2018
ss.72(12) and 72(13)	Further objection period – if required	A further objection period was not required
ss.73(1)	Determination of names and boundaries of electoral divisions published in the Gazette	The names and boundaries of electoral divisions were determined by a notice published in the Gazette on Friday 20 July 2018
s.74	Reasons for the determination are stated in writing	The augmented Electoral Commission's reasons for the determination are stated in Chapter 2 and Appendix F of this report

## Appendix B: Operation of statutory requirements for the making of a redistribution

Section 73 of the Electoral Act requires the augmented Electoral Commission to abide by the following requirements:

- make a determination of the names and boundaries of the electoral divisions of South Australia by a notice published in the Gazette,
- ensure the number of electoral divisions South Australia is divided into equals the number of members of the House of Representatives to be chosen in South Australia at a general election, and
- abide by the following requirements:

*(4) In making the determination, the augmented Electoral Commission:*

*(a) shall, as far as practicable, endeavour to ensure that the number of electors enrolled in each Electoral Division in the State or Territory will not, at the projection time determined under section 63A, be less than 96.5% or more than 103.5% of the average divisional enrolment of that State or Territory at that time; and*

*(b) subject to paragraph (a), shall give due consideration, in relation to each proposed Electoral Division, to:*

*(i) community of interests within the proposed Electoral Division, including economic, social and regional interests;*

*(ii) means of communication and travel within the proposed Electoral Division;*

*(iv) the physical features and area of the proposed Electoral Division; and*

*(v) the boundaries of existing Divisions in the State or Territory;*

*and subject thereto the quota of electors for the State or Territory shall be the basis for the proposed redistribution, and the augmented Electoral Commission may adopt a margin of allowance, to be used whenever necessary, but in no case shall the quota be departed from to a greater extent than one-tenth more or one-tenth less.*

*(4A) When applying subsection (4), the augmented Electoral Commission must treat the matter in subparagraph (4)(b)(v) as subordinate to the matters in subparagraphs (4)(b)(i), (ii) and (iv).*

These statutory requirements are expressed in a hierarchical order.

The purpose of paragraph 73(4)(a) of the Electoral Act is suggested by its history. It has undergone some transformation since the *Commonwealth Electoral Legislation Amendment Act 1983* stipulated that boundaries were to be drawn, as far as practicable, to achieve equal numbers of electors in each of a state's electoral divisions three-and-a-half years after a redistribution. By 1984 'it was observed that the three-and-a-half year rule had in some areas forced the adoption, on purely numerical grounds, of boundaries which took little account of perceived community of

interest'.<sup>86</sup> Therefore, in 1987, the rule was relaxed to permit a measure of tolerance to plus or minus two percent from average projected enrolment. Subsequently, the Joint Standing Committee on Electoral Matters concluded that:

*the numerical criteria do not allow "due consideration", in the words of the Act, to be given to the qualitative factors. Rather, the political parties and others attempting to frame electoral boundaries essentially find themselves engaged in a mathematical modelling exercise. In order to relax the enrolment requirements to that extent necessary to allow a realistic degree of flexibility the Committee recommends ... that subsections 66(3)(a) and 73(4)(a) of the Electoral Act be amended, so as to extend the variation from average divisional enrolment allowed three-and-a-half years after a redistribution from two to 3.5 percent.*<sup>87</sup>

The Joint Standing Committee on Electoral Matters also, in the same report, refers to its recommended amendment as one that 'would maintain substantial restrictions on malapportionment [and] would allow other legitimate policy objectives to be more effectively met'.

Paragraph 73(4)(a) of the Electoral Act follows this recommendation. The terms of the recommendation, and the discussion which preceded it, make clear the purpose of paragraph 73(4)(a), as it now stands, and how it was intended to interact with the other criteria set out in the sub-paragraphs of paragraph 73(4)(b) of the Electoral Act, to which also 'due consideration' must be given. The augmented Electoral Commission has considered the objections to the proposed redistribution, comments objections and submissions to the inquiry and made its redistribution on this basis.

In summary, the primary criteria are to:

- endeavour to ensure that the number of electors in the electoral divisions are within a range of 3.5 per cent below or above the projected enrolment quota at the projection time, and
- ensure that current enrolments are within 10 per cent below or above the current enrolment quota.

The secondary criteria are community of interests, means of communication and travel, and physical features and area. The augmented Electoral Commission also considers the boundaries of existing electoral divisions; however this criterion is subordinate to the others.

<sup>86</sup> Joint Standing Committee on Electoral Matters, *Electoral Redistributions: Report on the Effectiveness and Appropriateness of the Redistribution Provisions of Parts III and IV of the Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918*, 1995, paragraph 4.3

<sup>87</sup> *ibid.*, paragraph 4.11

## Appendix C: Regional newspapers in which notices were published

Newspaper	Date of publication
<i>"The Bunyip" Gawler</i>	Wednesday 18 April 2018
<i>Plains Producer</i>	Wednesday 18 April 2018
<i>Southern Times Messenger</i>	Wednesday 18 April 2018
<i>Weekender Herald</i>	Thursday 19 April 2018
<i>Barossa and Light Herald</i>	Wednesday 25 April 2018
<i>Northern Argus</i>	Wednesday 25 April 2018
<i>Northern Weekly</i>	Wednesday 25 April 2018
<i>Coast City Weekly</i>	Wednesday 2 May 2018

# Appendix D: Objections to the proposed redistribution of South Australia

A total of 321 written objections were received.<sup>88</sup> To aid the reader, these objections have been grouped according to major theme where appropriate.

**Table I: Objections which were solely concerned with locating the suburbs of Aberfoyle Park, Flagstaff Hill and Happy Valley in the proposed Division of Boothby**

No.	Submitted by	No.	Submitted by
OB4	Angus Heaton	OB41	Adam Lane
OB5	Ray Ward	OB43	Darrell Wise
OB6	Chris Bunting	OB44	Martin Family
OB7	Brenton Page	OB45	Chris Wise
OB8	Matt Page	OB46	Tricia Kleinig
OB9	Karen Albrechtsen	OB47	Geoffrey Kelly
OB10	Trevor Dunne	OB48	Prudence Rudzinskis
OB11	Mark Halliday	OB50	Ian Barter
OB12	Mervyn and Patricia Roberts	OB51	Eric Heapy
OB13	Paul Heinrich	OB53	Tom Moore
OB14	Barry Herbert	OB55	Maureen Seixo
OB15	Ted and Joy Baker	OB57	William Gough
OB16	Anthony Archer	OB58	Brian Nankivell
OB17	Kian Rafie	OB59	Darren Simmons
OB18	Chris Sentance	OB60	Joe Meuris OAM
OB19	Donna Fey	OB61	Des Till
OB20	Vincent Siddins	OB62	Adam Turner
OB21	Leighton McDonald-Stuart	OB63	Raymond Feller
OB22	Layla Wallace	OB64	Pamela Pahl
OB23	Graeme Armstrong	OB65	Rick Hocking
OB24	Graham Smedley	OB66	Dianne Robertson
OB25	Dawn Smedley	OB67	Andrea Vanzetta
OB26	Simon Malcolm	OB68	George Kozaderov
OB27	Marion Themeliotis	OB69	Neville and Gillian Cunningham
OB28	Oliver Duckworth	OB70	Malcolm and Barbara Uren
OB29	Gregory Keep	OB71	Dianne Furness
OB31	Mark Hayward	OB72	Darren Davis
OB32	Dylan Oliver	OB73	Leanne James
OB33	Kyle Theodoroulakes	OB74	Penny Slape
OB34	Kate Hayward	OB76	Jane Summers
OB36	Matthew Lillis	OB78	N Jeffery
OB38	Emily Williams	OB79	Paul Drinkwater
OB40	Yassamin Rafie	OB80	Geoff Eaton

<sup>88</sup> OB268 was withdrawn prior to the end of the objection period and therefore was not considered by the augmented Electoral Commission.

No.	Submitted by
OB82	Natalie Burwoth
OB84	Shannon James
OB85	Geoffrey Simpson
OB86	Michelle Styles
OB87	Barbara Heaton
OB90	John Cormack
OB91	Marjorie Beard
OB92	Phil Gardner
OB93	Shane and Judy Curran
OB102	John Alvaro
OB105	Norm Franke
OB107	Jane Crisp
OB111	Michelle Watson
OB112	Gwenda James
OB113	Beverley Clark
OB114	Allyson Williams
OB115	Joanne Tate
OB118	Mel Ready
OB119	Tracy Ready
OB120	Pauline Johnston
OB121	Chris Ware
OB122	Melanie Hand
OB124	Paul Frisby
OB126	Christine Hocking
OB127	Murray Fopp
OB128	Frank Kleinig
OB130	Helen Laity
OB132	Rhonda Marshall
OB134	Mr Robert and Mrs Valda Stewart
OB137	Helen Brettig
OB142	Scott Simmons
OB148	Campbell McKnight
OB151	Barbara Fradley and Edna Fradley
OB153	Heather Hargrave
OB154	Roz Tucker
OB155	Wil Tucker
OB156	Cheryll Terpstra
OB159	Ross Duggan
OB160	Susan Duggan
OB161	Aaron Shearer
OB163	Emma Keam
OB164	Mike Cooper

No.	Submitted by
OB165	Sue Coker
OB166	Simon Hornsey
OB167	Merilyn King
OB168	Bianca Nancarrow
OB169	Rachel Markovanovic
OB170	Josh Byerlee
OB171	Andrew Work
OB172	Julie Jayne
OB173	Brandon Williams
OB174	Andrew Francis
OB175	Lyndon Gordon
OB176	Brian Whinfield
OB177	Jitesh Jain
OB178	Jeanette Sowerby
OB179	Dr Peter Dawson
OB180	David Rigg
OB181	Janice Parker
OB182	Sorina Reed
OB183	Susannah Lock
OB185	Fiona Lu
OB186	Min Lu
OB187	Kay Cunningham
OB188	Judith Ayles
OB189	Brett Charlesworth
OB190	Abdulmajid Geyash
OB191	Keith and Gail Eva
OB192	William Raymond Pulford
OB193	Sharon Charlesworth
OB194	R Macdonald
OB195	Keith Charlesworth
OB196	Jade Charlesworth
OB197	Michael Wegener
OB198	Simon Johnson
OB199	Luke Strudwick
OB200	Matt Size
OB201	Linda Broadbent
OB202	Peter McMaster
OB203	Matthew Warren
OB204	Ruth Duffy
OB205	John Storer
OB206	Yvonne Riddell
OB207	Tania Buck

No.	Submitted by
OB208	Tim O'Malley
OB209	Wade Stone
OB212	Ian and Geraldine Langcake
OB213	Robert Prest
OB215	Don Aldridge
OB216	Pamela Withers
OB217	Sharon Smith
OB218	Jo Hiscock
OB219	Angela McGoran
OB220	Andrew Shearer
OB221	Colleen Doggett
OB222	Bruce Norris
OB223	Trent Elder
OB224	Eleanor Randell
OB225	Benjamin Mitchell
OB227	Russell Scutchings
OB229	Brian and Diane Kearvell
OB230	Melanie Minnie
OB231	Samantha Aldridge
OB233	David Aitken
OB234	Sandra Orme
OB235	Yvonne Shinnars
OB236	Susan Branford
OB237	Jacinda Rethus
OB238	Anthony Wood
OB239	Michelle Crisp
OB241	Chloe Meyers
OB242	Russell Elsworth
OB243	Martin Cooper
OB244	Patricia Whitelaw
OB246	Julianne Harrington
OB247	Michael Haslam
OB248	Ashley Slattery
OB249	Wendy Nankervis
OB250	Patricia and George Oram
OB251	Tarnya Hannam-Tasker
OB252	Yvonne Peace

No.	Submitted by
OB253	Adrian Degenaro
OB254	Dianne Bedson
OB256	Carole Edgeworth
OB257	Kym Oxley
OB258	Dr Genevieve Brideson
OB259	Andrew Brideson
OB260	Margaret Burton
OB261	Ian Milne
OB262	Fiona Mitchell
OB263	Lesley Collett
OB264	Dr Dorothy Turner
OB266	Bruce Sparrow
OB267	Kathleen Sparrow
OB269	John Beal
OB270	Jeanette Marshall
OB271	Maryanne Mitchell
OB273	Gail Clark
OB274	Gavin Clark
OB275	David and Deborah Gillard
OB276	Paul Davy
OB281	Denise Ahern
OB282	Andy Partridge
OB283	Matthew Pritchard
OB289	Alistair Atkinson
OB290	Laura Parsons-Smedley
OB291	Malcolm Balkwill
OB292	Jennifer Balkwill and family
OB293	Peter Grace
OB294	Lorraine Mitchell
OB296	Gary James
OB300	Karin Harrison
OB302	Pam McCann
OB304	Gayle Purdy
OB314	Steve Murray
OB316	Rhonda Fielke
OB317	Nicole Kinnear

**Table J: Objections which were solely concerned with locating the suburbs of Craighburn Farm and Hawthorndene in the proposed Division of Boothby**

No.	Submitted by	No.	Submitted by
OB30	Dwight Andrews	OB136	Jackie Nelson
OB35	Mrs I Downes	OB138	Mary Proferes
OB37	John Needham	OB139	Kirsty Tippet
OB39	David Hawker	OB140	Paul Gardner
OB42	Jan Porter	OB141	Kate Seinor
OB49	Bernard Green	OB143	John Badger
OB54	Dean Compton	OB144	Jennifer Pearce
OB56	Kevin Bowden	OB145	Margaret Williams
OB75	Bill Dimou	OB146	John Williams
OB77	John Rugless	OB147	Melanie Pfeiler
OB81	Jo Howitt Smith	OB149	Karen van Gorp
OB83	Lori Mulhall	OB150	Kingsley Neumann
OB88	Fiona Dimou	OB152	Robert R Walker
OB89	Brad Milic	OB157	Helen Ronson
OB94	Shelley Williams	OB158	Carol Redford
OB95	Melissa Squire	OB162	Charles and Heather Young
OB96	Janine Eckermann	OB210	Lisa Alexander
OB97	Rebecca Gray	OB211	Andrew Prentice
OB98	Michelle Toone	OB214	Saffron Ronson
OB99	Mark Bowes	OB226	Guy Tippet
OB100	Karlie Bowes	OB228	Craig Hornsey
OB101	Trish Francis	OB232	Mark Stevens
OB103	Keith Rutherford	OB245	Pauline Dodd
OB104	Lou Dunn	OB277	Heather Jackson
OB106	Matt Edwards	OB278	Darryl Pinch
OB108	Margaret Cuthbertson	OB280	Jenni Pinch
OB109	Sam Duluk	OB286	Dale Otten
OB110	Andrew Martin	OB288	Matthew Runciman
OB116	John Jackson	OB299	Blake Watson
OB117	Maxine Fourmy	OB301	Kirily Burton
OB123	Felicity Mcnish	OB305	Lee Walker
OB125	Dorothy Widdas	OB306	Scott Balmer
OB129	Margaret Barry	OB309	Ella Gagolkina
OB131	Ian Howitt-Smith	OB311	Roslyn Edwards
OB133	Chris Stagg	OB313	Peter Bradshaw

**Table K: Objections which were concerned with issues not covered in the previous tables**

No.	Submitted by	Topics referred to	Divisions referred to
OB1	Ian Rohde OAM JP	Division names	Spence
OB2	Luka Rinaldi	Division boundaries	Adelaide, Boothby and Sturt
OB3	Domenic Barrett	Division boundaries	Barker, Grey, Mayo, Port Adelaide and Sturt
OB52	Daniel Hughes	Division boundaries	Boothby and Kingston
OB135	Yvonne Fourmy	Division boundaries	Boothby and Kingston
OB184	Electoral Reform Society of South Australia	Division names	Hindmarsh and Spence
OB240	Darren McSweeney	Division names and division boundaries	All South Australian electoral divisions
OB255	Richard Vear	Division boundaries	Boothby, Kingston and Mayo
OB265	Henrik Wallgren	Division boundaries	Boothby and Mayo
OB272	Archbishop John Hepworth	Division boundaries	Boothby and Mayo
OB279	Raymond Mercure	Division boundaries	Boothby and Mayo
OB284	Robyn Hudson	Division boundaries	Boothby
OB285	Dr Mark Mulcair	Division boundaries	All South Australian electoral divisions
OB287	Jeff Waddell	Division names and division boundaries	All South Australian electoral divisions
OB295	Port of Adelaide Branch of the National Trust SA	Division names	Hindmarsh and Port Adelaide
OB297	Mrs H M Robertson	Division boundaries	Boothby
OB298	Craigburn Farm petition of 87 people	Division boundaries	Boothby and Mayo
OB303	Sam Duluk MP	Division boundaries	Boothby and Mayo
OB307	D Haywood	Other	
OB308	Stephen Patterson MP	Division boundaries	Boothby and Hindmarsh
OB310	Laura Coppola	Division boundaries	Boothby and Hindmarsh
OB312	Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch)	Division names and division boundaries	All South Australian electoral divisions
OB315	City of Port Adelaide Enfield	Division names	Port Adelaide
OB318	Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division)	Division boundaries	All South Australian electoral divisions
OB319	Darryl Parslow	Division boundaries	Boothby, Kingston and Mayo
OB320	Hon J N Andrew AO	Division names	Wakefield
OB321	Charles Richardson	Division boundaries	All South Australian electoral divisions

The following objections were submitted by individuals who were identified as not being residents of South Australia at the time of lodgement:

- OB240 – Darren McSweeney
- OB285 – Dr Mark Mulcair
- OB287 – Jeff Waddell
- OB307 – D Haywood
- OB321 – Charles Richardson

## Appendix E: Comments on objections to the proposed redistribution of South Australia

A total of 42 written comments on objections were received. To aid the reader, these comments on objections have been grouped according to major theme where appropriate.

**Table L: Comments on objections which were solely concerned with locating the suburbs of Aberfoyle Park, Flagstaff Hill and Happy Valley in the proposed Division of Boothby**

No.	Submitted by	Topics referred to	Divisions referred to	Objections referred to in comment
COB1	David Beaton	Division boundaries	Boothby and Kingston	
COB2	Lauren Knight	Division boundaries	Boothby and Kingston	
COB4	Richard O'Donnell	Division boundaries	Boothby and Kingston	
COB6	Robyn Burns-Witham	Division boundaries	Boothby and Kingston	
COB9	Hannah Marsland	Division boundaries	Boothby and Kingston	
COB10	Denise Ahern	Division boundaries	Boothby and Kingston	OB312
COB11	Deborah McInnes	Division boundaries	Boothby and Kingston	
COB12	Brian Whinfield	Division boundaries	Boothby and Kingston	OB312
COB13	Phil Gardner	Division boundaries	Boothby and Kingston	OB312
COB14	Ted and Joy Baker	Division boundaries	Boothby and Kingston	
COB16	Russell Scutchings	Division boundaries	Boothby and Kingston	OB312
COB17	Murray Fopp	Division boundaries	Boothby and Kingston	OB312
COB18	Ted Baker	Division boundaries	Boothby and Kingston	
COB20	Betty D Armstrong	Division boundaries	Boothby and Kingston	OB312
COB21	Chris Sentance	Division boundaries	Boothby and Kingston	OB312
COB23	Patricia Whitelaw	Division boundaries	Boothby and Kingston	OB312
COB24	Simon Whitelaw	Division boundaries	Boothby and Kingston	OB312
COB25	George Kozaderov	Division boundaries	Boothby and Kingston	
COB26	Malcolm and Jennifer Balkwill	Division boundaries	Boothby and Kingston	OB312

**Table M: Comments on objections which were concerned with issues not covered in Table L**

No.	Submitted by	Topics referred to	Divisions referred to	Objections referred to in comment
COB3	Malcolm Mackerras AO	Division names	Spence	
COB5	Martin Gordon	Division names and division boundaries	All South Australian electoral divisions	OB285, OB312 and OB318
COB7	Kim Kelly	Division names	Spence	
COB8	Neil McNish	Division boundaries	Boothby and Mayo	
COB15	Andy Partridge	Division boundaries	Boothby	OB312
COB19	MA Roberts and PM Roberts	Division boundaries	Boothby	OB312
COB22	Carolyn Hemer	Division boundaries	Boothby and Mayo	
COB27	Yvonne Riddell	Division boundaries	Boothby	OB312
COB28	Hon. David Hawker AO	Division names	Wakefield	OB320
COB29	Dr Mark Mulcair	Division names and division boundaries	All South Australian electoral divisions	OB3, OB285, OB287, OB312, OB318 and OB321
COB30	Rhys Roberts OAM	Division boundaries	Boothby and Kingston	
COB31	Mr Robert A Baker	Division boundaries	Boothby	OB312
COB32	Dr Dorothy Turner	Division boundaries	Boothby, Kingston and Mayo	OB312
COB33	Michael Burke	Division names	Spence	OB1, OB184, OB240, OB312 and OB320
COB34	Ivan Venning	Division names	Spence	
COB35	The Hon Christopher Pyne MP	Division names	All South Australian electoral divisions	OB320
COB36	Hugh Sutton	Division boundaries	Adelaide	OB312 and OB318
COB37	Meredith Sutton	Division boundaries	Adelaide	OB312 and OB318
COB38	Steve Murray MP	Division boundaries	Boothby and Kingston	OB318
COB39	Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch)	Division names and division boundaries	Boothby, Kingston, Mayo and Spence	OB272, OB312, OB318 and OB320
COB40	Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division)	Division names and division boundaries	All South Australian electoral divisions	OB312 and OB318
COB41	William Gough	Division boundaries	Boothby and Kingston	OB312
COB42	Charles Richardson	Division boundaries	All South Australian electoral divisions	OB318

The following comments on objections were submitted by individuals who were identified as not being residents of South Australia at the time of lodgement:

- COB3 – Malcolm Mackerras AO
- COB5 – Martin Gordon
- COB28 – Hon. David Hawker AO
- COB29 – Dr Mark Mulcair
- COB42 – Charles Richardson

## Appendix F: Augmented Electoral Commission's response to themes contained in objections, comments on objections and in submissions to the inquiry into objections

**Table N: Objections, comments on objections and submissions to the inquiry relating to the abolition of an electoral division**

Objections, comments on objections and submissions to the inquiry recommend ...	Submission		The augmented Electoral Commission has concluded ...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Port Adelaide should not be abolished	OB3	Domenic Barrett	the Division of Port Adelaide will be abolished, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	OB312	Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch)	
	IA1	Anton van Bavel – Australian Labor Party	
support for the abolition of the Division of Port Adelaide	OB240	Darren Sweeney	the Division of Port Adelaide will be abolished, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	OB318	Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division)	
	COB5	Martin Gordon	
	COB40	Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division)	
	IA8	Martin Gordon	
the Division of Sturt should be abolished	OB3	Domenic Barrett	the Division of Sturt will not be abolished, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	IA1	Anton van Bavel – Australian Labor Party)	

OB = objection, COB = comment on objection and IA = inquiry participant at the inquiry into objections held in Adelaide (refer to Appendix D, Appendix E or Appendix G for full list)

**Table O: Objections, comments on objections and submissions to the inquiry relating to the name of electoral divisions**

Objections, comments on objections and submissions to the inquiry recommend ...	Submission		The augmented Electoral Commission has concluded ...
	No.	Submitted by	
support for retiring the name 'Port Adelaide'	OB240	Darren McSweeney	the name Port Adelaide will be retired, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	OB287	Jeff Waddell	
	IA8	Martin Gordon	
there should be an electoral division named 'Port Adelaide'	OB184	Electoral Reform Society of South Australia	there will be no electoral division named Port Adelaide, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	OB315	City of Port Adelaide Enfield	
	IA2	Gary Johanson	
support for retention of the name 'Adelaide'	OB312	Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch)	the name of the Division of Adelaide will be retained, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	COB35	The Hon Christopher Pyne MP	
support for retention of the name 'Barker'	OB312	Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch)	the name of the Division of Barker will be retained, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	COB35	The Hon Christopher Pyne MP	
support for retention of the name 'Boothby'	OB312	Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch)	the name of the Division of Boothby will be retained, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	COB35	The Hon Christopher Pyne MP	
support for retention of the name 'Grey'	OB312	Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch)	the name of the Division of Grey will be retained, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	COB35	The Hon Christopher Pyne MP	
the Division of Grey should be renamed 'Spence'	IA8	Martin Gordon	the name of the Division of Grey will be retained, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
the Division of Hindmarsh should be renamed 'Port Adelaide'	OB184	Electoral Reform Society of South Australia	the name of the Division of Hindmarsh will be retained, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	IA2	Gary Johanson	
the Division of Hindmarsh be renamed to reflect the strong Aboriginal links with Port Adelaide and the Le Fevre Peninsula	OB295	Port of Adelaide Branch of the National Trust SA	the electoral division will be known as the Division of Hindmarsh, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
the Division of Hindmarsh should be renamed 'Port of Adelaide'	OB295	Port of Adelaide Branch of the National Trust SA	the electoral division will be known as the Division of Hindmarsh, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
a restructured Division of Hindmarsh should be renamed 'Port Adelaide'	COB29	Dr Mark Mulcair	the electoral division will be known as the Division of Hindmarsh, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee

Objections, comments on objections and submissions to the inquiry recommend ...	Submission		The augmented Electoral Commission has concluded ...
	No.	Submitted by	
support for retention of the name 'Hindmarsh'	OB312	Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch)	the name of the Division of Hindmarsh will be retained, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	COB5	Martin Gordon	
	COB35	The Hon Christopher Pyne MP	
	IA1	Anton van Bavel – Australian Labor Party	
	IA8	Martin Gordon	
support for retention of the name 'Kingston'	OB312	Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch)	the name of the Division of Kingston will be retained, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	COB35	The Hon Christopher Pyne MP	
support for retention of the name 'Makin'	OB312	Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch)	the name of the Division of Makin will be retained, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	COB35	The Hon Christopher Pyne MP	
support for retention of the name 'Mayo'	OB312	Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch)	the name of the Division of Mayo will be retained, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	COB35	The Hon Christopher Pyne MP	
the name of the Division of Wakefield should be retained and not renamed 'Spence'	OB1	Ian Rohde OAM JP	the electoral division will be known as the Division of Spence, in recognition of Catherine Helen Spence, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	OB312	Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch)	
	OB320	Hon J N Andrew AO	
	COB7	Kim Kelly	
	COB28	Hon. David Hawker AO	
	COB34	Ivan Venning	
	COB39	Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch)	
	IA1	Anton van Bavel – Australian Labor Party	
	IA8	Martin Gordon	
a single member electorate should not be named after Catherine Helen Spence as she was an advocate of proportional representation	OB184	Electoral Reform Society of South Australia	the electoral division will be known as the Division of Spence, in recognition of Catherine Helen Spence, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	IA10	Hon Neil Andrew AO	

Objections, comments on objections and submissions to the inquiry recommend ...	Submission		The augmented Electoral Commission has concluded ...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Wakefield should be renamed 'Spence'	OB240	Darren McSweeney	the Division of Wakefield will be renamed 'Spence', in recognition of Catherine Helen Spence, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	OB287	Jeff Waddell	
	COB3	Malcolm Mackerras AO	
	COB5	Martin Gordon	
	COB33	Michael Burke	
	COB35	The Hon Christopher Pyne MP	
	COB40	Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division)	
the name 'Sturt' should be retired	OB312	Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch)	the electoral division will be known as the Division of Sturt, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
the Division of Sturt should be renamed 'Spence'	OB312	Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch)	the electoral division will be known as the Division of Sturt, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	COB39	Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch)	
support for retention of the name 'Sturt'	COB35	The Hon Christopher Pyne MP	the name of the Division of Sturt will be retained, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	COB40	Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division)	
support for retiring the name 'Wakefield'	OB240	Darren McSweeney	the name Wakefield will be retired, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee

OB = objection, COB = comment on objection and IA = inquiry participant at the inquiry into objections held in Adelaide (refer to Appendix D, Appendix E or Appendix G for full list)

**Table P: Objections, comments on objections and submissions to the inquiry relating to the placement of electoral divisions and divisional boundaries**

Objections, comments on objections and submissions to the inquiry recommend ...	Submission		The augmented Electoral Commission has concluded ...
	No.	Submitted by	
the population figures used to calculate the entitlement to members of the House of Representatives for each state and territory should be altered	OB307	D Haywood	the objection was not based on a ground that could be considered by the augmented Electoral Commission in making a redistribution of electoral divisions. The augmented Electoral Commission came to this conclusion because subsection 46(1B) of the Electoral Act requires the Electoral Commissioner to use statistics that the Australian Statistician has compiled and published in a regular series under the <i>Census and Statistics Act 1905</i> when determining the number of members of the House of Representatives each state and territory is entitled to
the Division of Adelaide should be an east-west electoral division rather than a north-south electoral division	OB285	Dr Mark Mulcair	to ensure the Division of Adelaide will continue to meet the community of interest requirement of the Electoral Act, the orientation of the Division of Adelaide will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	OB287	Jeff Waddell	
	COB5	Martin Gordon	
the entire suburb of Millswood and the suburbs south of Mitchell Street through to Fullarton Road, Fullarton should be located in the Division of Boothby and not in the Division of Adelaide	OB2	Luka Rinaldi	to ensure the Divisions of Adelaide and Boothby meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act, the boundaries of the two electoral divisions will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
the suburbs within the City of West Torrens to the east of Marion Road, excluding the suburbs of Underdale and Thebarton, and the remainder of the City of Unley should be transferred from the proposed Division of Adelaide to the Division of Boothby	OB321	Charles Richardson	to ensure the Divisions of Adelaide and Boothby meet the requirements of the Electoral Act, the boundaries of the two electoral divisions will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
the portion of the City of Unley located to the east of Fullarton Road should be transferred to the Division of Boothby from the Division of Adelaide	OB285	Dr Mark Mulcair	to ensure the Divisions of Adelaide and Boothby meet the requirements of the Electoral Act, the boundaries of the two electoral divisions will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee

Objections, comments on objections and submissions to the inquiry recommend ...	Submission		The augmented Electoral Commission has concluded ...
	No.	Submitted by	
some or all of the suburbs of Black Forest, Clarence Park, and Kings Park and the parts of the suburbs of Forestville and Millswood should be located in the Division of Adelaide and not in the Division of Boothby	OB285	Dr Mark Mulcair	to ensure the Divisions of Adelaide and Boothby meet the requirements of the Electoral Act, the boundaries of the two electoral divisions will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	OB318	Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division)	
	COB36	Hugh Sutton	
	COB37	Meredith Sutton	
	IA4	Hugh Sutton	
support, or broad support, for the boundary of the proposed Division of Adelaide, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee	OB312	Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch)	the boundary of the Division of Adelaide will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	COB40	Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division)	
	IA1	Anton van Bavel – Australian Labor Party	
the suburbs of College Park, Hackney, Joslin, Royston Park and St Peters should be transferred from the proposed Division of Sturt to the Division of Adelaide	OB321	Charles Richardson	to ensure the Divisions of Adelaide and Sturt meet the requirements of the Electoral Act, the boundaries of the two electoral divisions will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
the northern boundary of the proposed Division of Adelaide should be moved to Montague Road between South Road and the western boundary of the suburb of Modbury	OB321	Charles Richardson	to ensure the Divisions of Adelaide and Makin meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act, northern boundary of the Division of Adelaide will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
support, or broad support, for the boundary of the proposed Division of Barker, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee	OB3	Domenic Barrett	the boundary of the Division of Barker will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	OB318	Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division)	
	COB5	Martin Gordon	
	COB40	Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division)	
	IA1	Anton van Bavel – Australian Labor Party	
support for locating most of the Barossa Council in the Division of Barker, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee	OB240	Darren McSweeney	the boundary of the Division of Barker will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
the Division of Barker should gain electors from the Division of Mayo	OB312	Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch)	to ensure that each of South Australia's 10 electoral divisions meet all of the requirements of the Electoral Act, the boundaries of the Divisions of Barker and Mayo will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee

Objections, comments on objections and submissions to the inquiry recommend ...	Submission		The augmented Electoral Commission has concluded ...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Barossa Council should be entirely in the Division of Barker	OB287	Jeff Waddell	to retain the communities of interest between the localities of Concordia and Kalbeeba with the Town of Gawler, the boundary of the Division of Barker will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	OB312	Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch)	
the Alexandrina Council (except for the localities surrounding Strathalbyn), Kangaroo Island Council, City of Victor Harbor and District Council of Yankalilla should be transferred from the proposed Division of Mayo to the Division of Barker	OB321	Charles Richardson	to ensure that each of South Australia's 10 electoral divisions meet all of the requirements of the Electoral Act, the boundaries of the Divisions of Barker and Mayo will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
the Town of Gawler should be located in the Division of Barker	COB5	Martin Gordon	to ensure that each of South Australia's 10 electoral divisions meet all of the requirements of the Electoral Act, the boundaries of the Divisions of Barker and Spence will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
the Town of Gawler should be located in an electoral division which has a regional focus	IA8	Martin Gordon	to ensure that each of South Australia's 10 electoral divisions meet all of the requirements of the Electoral Act, the boundaries of the Divisions of Barker and Spence will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee

Objections, comments on objections and submissions to the inquiry recommend ...	Submission		The augmented Electoral Commission has concluded ...
	No.	Submitted by	
some or all of the suburbs of Aberfoyle Park, Flagstaff Hill and Happy Valley should be located in the Division of Boothby and not the Division of Kingston	Objections advocating this are displayed in Table I		to ensure that the Divisions of Boothby and Kingston meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act, and to keep the suburbs of Aberfoyle Park, Flagstaff Hill and Happy Valley together in the same electoral division, the boundaries of the Divisions of Boothby and Kingston will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	OB135	Yvonne Fourmy	
	OB255	Richard Vear	
	OB284	Robyn Hudson	
	OB297	Mrs H M Robertson	
	OB318	Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division)	
	OB319	Darryl Parslow	
	Comments on objections advocating this are displayed in Table L		
	COB5	Martin Gordon	
	COB30	Rhys Roberts OAM	
	COB32	Dr Dorothy Turner	
	COB38	Steve Murray MP	
	COB40	Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division)	
	COB41	William Gough	
	COB42	Charles Richardson	
	IA3	Nicolle Flint MP	
	IA5	Helen Ronson	
	IA7	Yvonne Riddell	
IA8	Martin Gordon		
the suburbs of Aberfoyle Park, Flagstaff Hill and Happy Valley should be located in the Division of Kingston, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee	OB52	Daniel Hughes	the suburbs of Aberfoyle Park, Flagstaff Hill and Happy Valley will remain in the Division of Kingston, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	OB312	Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch)	
	COB29	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	COB39	Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch)	
	IA1	Anton van Bavel – Australian Labor Party	

Objections, comments on objections and submissions to the inquiry recommend ...	Submission		The augmented Electoral Commission has concluded ...
	No.	Submitted by	
some or all of the suburbs of Aberfoyle Park, Flagstaff Hill or Happy Valley should be transferred from the proposed Division of Kingston to the Division of Mayo	OB287	Jeff Waddell	to ensure that the Divisions of Boothby and Kingston meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act, and to keep the suburbs of Aberfoyle Park, Flagstaff Hill and Happy Valley together in the same electoral division, the boundaries of the Divisions of Boothby and Kingston will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	COB5	Martin Gordon	
	COB29	Dr Mark Mulcair	
noting that the proposed alteration to the Division of Boothby also affects the suburb of Coromandel Valley	IA6	Blake Watson	the boundary of the Division of Boothby will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
the suburbs of either Craighburn Farm and/or Hawthorndene should be located in the Division of Boothby and not the Division of Mayo	Objections advocating this are displayed in Table J		to ensure that each of South Australia's 10 electoral divisions meet all of the requirements of the Electoral Act, the boundaries of the Divisions of Boothby and Mayo will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	OB135	Yvonne Fourmy	
	OB265	Henrik Wallgren	
	OB298	Craighburn Farm petition of 87 people	
	OB318	Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division)	
	COB5	Martin Gordon	
	COB8	Neil McNish	
	COB22	Carolyn Hemer	
	COB30	Rhys Roberts OAM	
	IA3	Nicolle Flint MP	
	IA5	Helen Ronson	
IA9	Karen Hockley		
the suburb of Craighburn Farm should be located in the same electoral division as the suburb of Blackwood	OB303	Sam Duluk MP	the arguments offered were not substantive enough to warrant making consequential adjustments to surrounding communities of interest in order to meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act. The boundaries of the Divisions of Boothby and Mayo will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee

Objections, comments on objections and submissions to the inquiry recommend ...	Submission		The augmented Electoral Commission has concluded ...
	No.	Submitted by	
some or all of the suburbs of Hawthorndene and Glenalta, and that part of Belair located on the same side of the Hills railway as these two suburbs, should be located in the Division of Mayo	OB272	Archbishop John Hepworth	to ensure that each of South Australia's 10 electoral divisions meet all of the requirements of the Electoral Act, the boundaries of the Divisions of Boothby and Mayo will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	OB303	Sam Duluk MP	
	OB318	Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division)	
	COB5	Martin Gordon	
	COB42	Charles Richardson	
	IA8	Martin Gordon	
the suburbs of Belair and Blackwood should be located in the Division of Boothby	OB279	Raymond Mercure	the suburbs of Belair and Blackwood will remain in the Division of Boothby, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
the entire City of Mitcham should be located in the Division of Boothby	OB287	Jeff Waddell	to ensure that the Divisions of Boothby and Mayo meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act, the boundaries of the Divisions of Boothby and Mayo will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
additional suburbs in the City of Mitcham should be located in the Division of Mayo and not in the Division of Boothby	OB312	Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch)	to ensure that each of South Australia's 10 electoral divisions meet all of the requirements of the Electoral Act, the boundaries of the Divisions of Boothby and Mayo will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	OB321	Charles Richardson	
	COB5	Martin Gordon	
	COB39	Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch)	
	COB42	Charles Richardson	
the boundary of the Division of Boothby in place at the commencement of the redistribution should not be changed	OB284	Robyn Hudson	to ensure that each of the 10 electoral divisions meets the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act, the boundary of the Division of Boothby will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	COB15	Andy Partridge	
	COB19	MA Roberts and PM Roberts	
	COB27	Yvonne Riddell	
	COB31	Mr Robert A Baker	
support for uniting the City of Holdfast Bay in the Division of Boothby	OB287	Jeff Waddell	the City of Holdfast Bay will remain in the Division of Boothby, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	OB308	Stephen Patterson MP	
	OB318	Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division)	
	COB40	Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division)	
	IA5	Helen Ronson	

Objections, comments on objections and submissions to the inquiry recommend ...	Submission		The augmented Electoral Commission has concluded ...
	No.	Submitted by	
Novar Gardens should be located in the Division of Boothby and not in the Division of Hindmarsh	OB285	Dr Mark Mulcair	to ensure that each of South Australia's 10 electoral divisions meet all of the requirements of the Electoral Act, the boundaries of the Divisions of Boothby and Hindmarsh will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	OB308	Stephen Patterson MP	
	OB310	Laura Coppola	
	OB312	Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch)	
	OB318	Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division)	
	COB5	Martin Gordon	
	COB40	Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division)	
support for the southern boundary of the proposed Division of Boothby, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee	OB240	Darren McSweeney	the southern boundary of the Division of Boothby will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
support for the boundaries between the Division of Boothby and the Divisions of Adelaide and Hindmarsh, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee	OB240	Darren McSweeney	the boundaries of the Divisions of Adelaide, Boothby and Hindmarsh will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
Stonehouse Avenue, Morphett Road and Brownhill Creek be used as the northern boundary of the Division of Boothby	OB318	Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division)	to ensure that each of South Australia's 10 electoral divisions meet all of the requirements of the Electoral Act, the boundaries of the Divisions of Boothby and Hindmarsh will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	COB5	Martin Gordon	
	IA8	Martin Gordon	
the boundary between the Divisions of Boothby and Hindmarsh should be the southern boundary of the Adelaide Airport	OB312	Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch)	to ensure that the Divisions of Boothby and Hindmarsh meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act, the boundaries of the two electoral divisions will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
support, or broad support, for the boundary of the proposed Division of Grey, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee	OB3	Domenic Barrett	the boundary of the Division of Grey will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	OB240	Darren McSweeney	
	OB318	Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division)	
	COB5	Martin Gordon	
	COB40	Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division)	
	IA1	Anton van Bavel – Australian Labor Party	

Objections, comments on objections and submissions to the inquiry recommend ...	Submission		The augmented Electoral Commission has concluded ...
	No.	Submitted by	
the Division of Grey should gain electors in the Light Regional Council from the proposed Division of Barker	OB312	Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch)	to ensure the Divisions of Barker, Grey and Mayo meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act, the boundaries of the three electoral divisions will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
the Division of Grey expand south-east to gain the Mid Murray Council and part of the District Council of Loxton Waikerie from the proposed Division of Barker	OB321	Charles Richardson	to ensure that each of South Australia's 10 electoral divisions meet all of the requirements of the Electoral Act, the boundaries of the Divisions of Barker and Grey will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
the Division of Hindmarsh should be an east-west electoral division rather than a north-south electoral division	OB285	Dr Mark Mulcair	to ensure the Division of Hindmarsh will continue to meet the community of interest requirement of the Electoral Act, the orientation of the Division of Hindmarsh will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	OB287	Jeff Waddell	
	COB5	Martin Gordon	
the suburbs between Richmond Road, South Road, Cross Road and Marion Road be located in the Division of Hindmarsh	OB318	Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division)	to ensure the Divisions of Adelaide, Boothby and Hindmarsh meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act, the boundaries of the three electoral divisions will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
support, or broad support, for the boundary of the proposed Division of Hindmarsh, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee	COB40	Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division)	the boundary of the Division of Hindmarsh will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	IA1	Anton van Bavel – Australian Labor Party	
some or all of the suburbs of Aldinga, Aldinga Beach, Port Willunga and Sellicks Beach should be retained in the Division of Kingston and not transferred to the Division of Mayo	OB255	Richard Vear	to ensure that each of South Australia's 10 electoral divisions meet all of the requirements of the Electoral Act, the boundaries of the Divisions of Kingston and Mayo will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	OB318	Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division)	
	OB319	Darryl Parslow	
	COB5	Martin Gordon	
	COB29	Dr Mark Mulcair	
	COB40	Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division)	
	COB42	Charles Richardson	
support, or broad support, for the boundary of the proposed Division of Kingston, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee	IA8	Martin Gordon	the boundary of the Division of Kingston will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	OB240	Darren McSweeney	
	IA1	Anton van Bavel – Australian Labor Party	

Objections, comments on objections and submissions to the inquiry recommend ...	Submission		The augmented Electoral Commission has concluded ...
	No.	Submitted by	
support for the southern boundary of the Division of Kingston south of Maslin's Beach	OB287	Jeff Waddell	the boundary of the Division of Kingston will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
the proposed Division of Kingston boundary should be moved south to the Willunga escarpment	COB5	Martin Gordon	to ensure that the Division of Kingston meets the requirements of the Electoral Act, the boundary of the electoral division will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	COB29	Dr Mark Mulcair	
support, or broad support, for the boundary of the proposed Division of Makin, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee	OB287	Jeff Waddell	the boundary of the Division of Makin will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	OB318	Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division)	
	COB40	Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division)	
	IA1	Anton van Bavel – Australian Labor Party	
support for the boundary of the Division of Makin from Port Wakefield Road and the Adelaide to Gawler railway line to the Little Para River and Gulf St Vincent	OB312	Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch)	the boundary of the Division of Makin will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
the boundary of the proposed Division of Makin should be moved north to take all of the City of Salisbury, with the exception of the suburbs of Brahma Lodge, Edinburgh and Salisbury Plain and part of the suburb of Salisbury, from the Division of Spence	OB321	Charles Richardson	to ensure that each of South Australia's 10 electoral divisions meet all of the requirements of the Electoral Act, the boundaries of the Divisions of Makin and Spence will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
the localities of Brahma Lodge, One Tree Hill, Salisbury Heights and Salisbury Park should be transferred from the proposed Division of Spence to the Division of Makin	COB5	Martin Gordon	to ensure that the Divisions of Makin and Spence meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act, the localities of Brahma Lodge, One Tree Hill, Salisbury Heights and Salisbury Park will remain in the Division of Spence, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
the proposed Division of Makin boundary should be moved in the west to align with Port Wakefield Road and the Adelaide-Gawler railway line, as per the existing Division of Makin boundary	COB5	Martin Gordon	to ensure that the Divisions of Makin and Spence meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act, the boundary of the Division of Makin will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee

Objections, comments on objections and submissions to the inquiry recommend ...	Submission		The augmented Electoral Commission has concluded ...
	No.	Submitted by	
support, or broad support, for the boundaries of the proposed Division of Mayo, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee	OB3	Domenic Barrett	the boundaries of the Division of Mayo will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	OB240	Darren McSweeney	
	OB318	Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division)	
	COB40	Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division)	
	IA1	Anton van Bavel – Australian Labor Party	
support, or broad support, for the boundary of the proposed Division of Spence, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee	OB240	Darren McSweeney	the boundary of the Division of Spence will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	OB312	Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch)	
	OB318	Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division)	
	COB40	Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division)	
	IA1	Anton van Bavel – Australian Labor Party	
expanding the proposed Division of Spence north-east to gain the Adelaide Plains Council, the Barossa Council and Light Regional Council from the Division of Barker	OB321	Charles Richardson	to ensure that the Divisions of Barker, Grey and Spence meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act, the boundaries will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
support for the unification of the City of Norwood Payneham and St Peters in the proposed Division of Sturt	OB287	Jeff Waddell	the City of Norwood Payneham and St Peters be located in the Division of Sturt, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
support for uniting the suburb of Rostrevor in its entirety in the proposed Division of Sturt	OB287	Jeff Waddell	the suburb of Rostrevor be located in the Division of Sturt, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
the western parts of the suburbs of Teringie and Woodforde should not be transferred from the Division of Mayo to the proposed Division of Sturt	OB287	Jeff Waddell	to retain the communities of interest between the urban parts of the suburbs of Teringie and Woodforde with the urban areas in the suburbs of Magill and Rostrevor, the suburbs of Teringie and Woodforde will remain in the Division of Sturt, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	OB318	Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division)	
the part of the suburb of Rostrevor located in the Adelaide Hills Council should be transferred from the Division of Mayo to the proposed Division of Sturt	OB318	Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division)	to retain the community of interest for the suburb of Rostrevor, the boundary of the Division of Sturt will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee

Objections, comments on objections and submissions to the inquiry recommend ...	Submission		The augmented Electoral Commission has concluded ...
	No.	Submitted by	
support, or broad support, for the boundary of the proposed Division of Sturt, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee	OB318	Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division)	the boundary of the Division of Sturt will remain as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
	COB5	Martin Gordon	
	COB40	Liberal Party of Australia (SA Division)	
	IA1	Anton van Bavel – Australian Labor Party	
that portion of the City of Port Adelaide Enfield located in the proposed Division of Sturt should be transferred to the Division of Adelaide	OB321	Charles Richardson	to ensure that each of South Australia's 10 electoral divisions meet all of the requirements of the Electoral Act, the portion of the City of Port Adelaide Enfield located in the proposed Division of Sturt will remain in the Division of Sturt, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
the suburbs of Ridgehaven, St Agnes, Tea Tree Gully and Vista, and parts of the suburbs of Hope Valley, Houghton, Modbury, Valley View and Upper Hermitage located in the Division of Makin should be transferred to the Division of Sturt	OB321	Charles Richardson	to ensure that each of South Australia's 10 electoral divisions meet all of the requirements of the Electoral Act, those portions of the suburbs of Ridgehaven, St Agnes, Tea Tree Gully and Vista, and parts of the suburbs of Hope Valley, Houghton, Modbury, Valley View and Upper Hermitage, located in the Division of Makin will remain in the Division of Makin, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee
the suburbs of Hampstead Gardens, Manningham and Vale Park should be transferred from the Division of Adelaide to the Division of Sturt	OB285	Dr Mark Mulcair	to ensure that the Divisions of Adelaide and Sturt meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act, the suburbs of Hampstead Gardens, Manningham and Vale Park will remain in the Division of Adelaide, as proposed by the Redistribution Committee

OB = objection, COB = comment on objection and IA = inquiry participant at the inquiry into objections held in Adelaide (refer to Appendix D, Appendix E or Appendix G for full list)

## Appendix G: Inquiry into objections

Eleven submissions were made at the inquiry held by the augmented Electoral Commission in Adelaide on Thursday 7 June 2018.

No.	Participant
IA1	Anton van Bavel – Australian Labor Party
IA2	Gary Johanson <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ Mayor, City of Port Adelaide Enfield</li></ul>
IA3	Nicolle Flint MP
IA4	Hugh Sutton
IA5	Helen Ronson
IA6	Blake Watson
IA7	Yvonne Riddell
IA8	Martin Gordon
IA9	Karen Hockley
IA10	Hon Neil Andrew AO
IA11	Robert Prest

The following submission to the inquiry held in Adelaide was submitted by an individual who was identified as not being a resident of South Australia at the time of the inquiry:

- IA8 – Martin Gordon

## Appendix H: Constructing electoral divisions

The AEC maintains the electoral roll on the basis of alignment to SA1s, and is able to provide data on enrolments and projected enrolments at this level. Accordingly, in formulating its proposals, the augmented Electoral Commission used SA1s as its basic building blocks. The SA1s have defined boundaries and are of differing sizes and shapes. In cases where the augmented Electoral Commission considered that a particular SA1 boundary was inappropriate for use as an electoral division boundary, the SA1 was split to provide a more meaningful boundary.

The indicative area of electoral divisions in South Australia has been calculated by aggregating the area of:

- all land-based SA1s;
- any parts of land-based SA1s; and
- any lakes, ponds, rivers, creeks, wetlands or marshes not already included in land-based SA1s, that are contained within the divisional boundary of each electoral division.

Areas are calculated using the AEC's Electoral Boundary Mapping System (EBMS), developed within the 'MapInfo Professional' software package.

The augmented Electoral Commission used EBMS as an aid to modelling various boundary options.

# Appendix I: Announcement of the augmented Electoral Commission's proposed redistribution

The text of the augmented Electoral Commission's public announcement of their proposed redistribution, issued on Tuesday 26 June 2018, is reproduced below.

## **Names and boundaries of federal electoral divisions in South Australia decided**

The augmented Electoral Commission for South Australia today announced the outcome of its deliberations on the names and boundaries of the ten federal electoral divisions in South Australia.

Mr Tom Rogers, the presiding member and Electoral Commissioner, thanked the individuals and organisations who contributed to the redistribution of South Australia throughout the redistribution process.

"All written objections and comments, as well as the information presented at the inquiry in Adelaide on 7 June have been thoroughly considered," Mr Rogers said.

"After a comprehensive consideration of these contributions, the augmented Electoral Commission has unanimously decided to adopt the redistribution proposed by the Redistribution Committee for South Australia without change," Mr Rogers said.

The redistribution of South Australian federal electoral divisions was required as South Australia's resident population relative to that of other states and territories means that it is now entitled to 10 federal electoral divisions.

### Final boundaries

The Redistribution Committee's full proposal is detailed on the proposed redistribution for South Australia page, along with a full overview of the augmented Electoral Commission's conclusions on objections to the Redistribution Committee's proposed redistribution.

The Division of Port Adelaide will be abolished and changes will be made to the boundaries of all of South Australia's remaining electoral divisions.

"The final boundaries have resulted principally from the need to reduce the number of electoral divisions from 11 to 10. Abolishing an electoral division, together with the need to ensure that all of South Australia's 10 electoral divisions then meet the numerical requirements of the Electoral Act, means that changes have been made to the boundaries of all electoral divisions in South Australia," Mr Rogers said.

### Final names

The augmented Electoral Commission has retained the names of the Divisions of Adelaide, Barker, Boothby, Grey, Hindmarsh, Kingston, Makin, Mayo and Sturt.

The previous Division of Wakefield will become the Division of Spence. This is in recognition of Catherine Helen Spence (1825–1910) for her work as an advocate for female suffrage and electoral reform.

Once the redistribution is formally determined on Friday 20 July 2018, the number of South Australia's electoral divisions named after women will double from one to two.

## Next steps

The augmented Electoral Commission notes this proposal is not significantly different from the proposal of the Redistribution Committee and therefore no further input from members of the public will be sought.

The names and boundaries of the federal electoral divisions for South Australia will apply from Friday 20 July 2018 when a notice of determination is published in the *Commonwealth Government Notices Gazette*.

**Note:** Electoral events will not be contested on these new federal electoral divisions until a writ is issued for a general election following the expiry or dissolution of the House of Representatives.

The Mayo by-election is being conducted on the boundaries in place for the Division of Mayo at the 2016 federal election.

Overview maps will be available on the AEC website on Friday 20 July 2018. Detailed maps and a report outlining the augmented Electoral Commission's reasons for its formal determination will be tabled in the Federal Parliament and will subsequently be made publicly available.

### Editor's notes:

- More information about South Australia federal redistribution
- Further information about the redistribution process, including the members of the Redistribution Committee and augmented Electoral Commission.
- Victorian redistribution: The announcement of the final names and boundaries of the 38 federal electoral divisions in Victoria
- ACT redistribution: The augmented Electoral Commission for the Australian Capital Territory is currently deliberating on the names and boundaries of the three federal electoral divisions in the Australian Capital Territory.

## Appendix J: Determination of electoral divisions in South Australia by the augmented Electoral Commission

The text of the augmented Electoral Commission's determination of electoral divisions in South Australia, published in the Gazette on Friday 20 July 2018, is reproduced below.

### Determination of names and boundaries of federal electoral divisions in South Australia

As determined by the Electoral Commissioner on 31 August 2017, South Australia is entitled to 10 members of the House of Representatives.

Pursuant to sub-section 73(1) of the *Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918* (the Electoral Act), the augmented Electoral Commission for South Australia has determined the names of the 10 electoral divisions are:

- Adelaide
- Barker
- Boothby
- Grey
- Hindmarsh
- Kingston
- Makin
- Mayo
- Spence
- Sturt

Pursuant to sub-section 73(1) of the Electoral Act, the augmented Electoral Commission for South Australia has determined that the boundaries of these electoral divisions are as shown on the maps displayed on the Australian Electoral Commission website at [www.aec.gov.au/Electorates/Redistributions](http://www.aec.gov.au/Electorates/Redistributions) and lodged in file number 18/406 at the National Office of the Australian Electoral Commission in Canberra.

The augmented Electoral Commission for South Australia has made decisions in accordance with the requirements of sub-sections 73(3), 73(4) and 73(4A) of the Electoral Act.

As provided for by sub-section 73(1) of the Electoral Act, and subject to the provisions of the Electoral Act, the electoral divisions determined by this notice will apply from 20 July 2018 until the next determination of names and boundaries of electoral divisions in South Australia is published in the *Commonwealth Government Notices Gazette* pursuant to sub-section 73(1) or sub-section 76(6) of the Electoral Act.

Until the next following expiration or dissolution of the House of Representatives, the redistribution does not affect the election of a new member to fill a vacancy happening in the House of Representatives.

Tom Rogers  
Presiding Member  
Augmented Electoral Commission for South Australia

## Appendix K: Comparison of South Australian electoral division names

Category	South Australian electoral divisions in this category as at Monday 20 November 2017	South Australian electoral divisions in this category as at Friday 20 July 2018
Electoral division is named after one or more people	9 of 11 (81.82%)	9 of 10 (90.00%)
Electoral division is named after a man	8 of 11 (72.73%)	7 of 10 (70.00%)
Electoral division is named after a woman	1 of 11 (9.09%)	2 of 10 (20.00%)
Electoral division is jointly named	0 of 11 (0.00%)	0 of 10 (0.00%)
Electoral division is named after a geographical feature	2 of 11 (18.18%)	1 of 10 (10.00%)
Electoral division is named for an aboriginal person or word	0 of 11 (0.00%)	0 of 10 (0.00%)
Electoral division is named for an aboriginal person	0 of 11 (0.00%)	0 of 10 (0.00%)
Electoral division is named for an aboriginal word	0 of 11 (0.00%)	0 of 10 (0.00%)

Note: 1) Jointly named electoral divisions are those which have been named for a husband and wife or for a family.

2) Electoral divisions named for an aboriginal word include those named for an anglicised version of an aboriginal word.

# Appendix L: Guidelines for naming federal electoral divisions

Determining the names of federal electoral divisions is part of the process of conducting a federal redistribution within a state or territory.

The criteria used by redistribution committees to propose the names of electoral divisions, and used by augmented electoral commissions to determine the names of electoral divisions, have previously been the subject of recommendations from the Joint Standing Committee on Electoral Matters. From these recommendations, a set of guidelines were developed as a point of reference only.

It should be noted that redistribution committees and augmented electoral commissions are in no way bound by the guidelines.

## **Naming after persons**

In the main, electoral divisions should be named after deceased Australians who have rendered outstanding service to their country.

When new electoral divisions are created the names of former Prime Ministers should be considered.

## **Federation Divisional names**

Every effort should be made to retain the names of original federation electoral divisions.

## **Geographical names**

Locality or place names should generally be avoided, but in certain areas the use of geographical features may be appropriate (e.g. Perth).

## **Aboriginal names**

Aboriginal names should be used where appropriate and as far as possible existing Aboriginal divisional names should be retained.

## **Other criteria**

The names of Commonwealth electoral divisions should not duplicate existing state districts.

Qualifying names may be used where appropriate (e.g. Melbourne Ports, Port Adelaide).

Names of electoral divisions should not be changed or transferred to new areas without very strong reasons.

When two or more electoral divisions are partially combined, as far as possible the name of the new electoral division should be that of the old electoral division which had the greatest number of electors within the new boundaries. However, where the socio-demographic nature of the electoral division in question has changed significantly, this should override the numerical formula.

# Appendix M: General description of how electoral divisions are constituted

The following tables show how each electoral division has been constructed and are intended to assist electors to identify if their electoral division has been altered as a result of this redistribution.

The unit to display this construction is SA2.<sup>89</sup> Each SA2 comprises a number of SA1s. The SA1s and SA2s which applied at the 2011 Census of Population and Housing have been used.

Electoral divisions are displayed in alphabetical order.

## Division of Adelaide

Division make up	Enrolment as at Monday 4 September 2017	Projected enrolment as at Thursday 20 January 2022
<b>Electors retained from the former Division of Adelaide</b>		
Adelaide	6,958	7,549
Enfield – Blair Athol	13,792	14,353
Goodwood – Millswood	8,111	8,245
Hindmarsh – Brompton	5,776	6,115
Nailsworth – Broadview	4,418	4,478
North Adelaide	4,645	4,671
Northgate – Oakden – Gilles Plains	5,820	7,296
Plympton	1,070	1,122
Prospect	10,225	10,283
Richmond (SA)	2,931	2,961
The Parks	3,729	3,761
Toorak Gardens	625	646
Unley – Parkside	10,759	10,887
Walkerville	5,553	5,795
Windsor Gardens	3,616	3,824
<b>Total electors retained from the former Division of Adelaide</b>	<b>88,028</b>	<b>91,986</b>
<b>Electors transferred from another electoral division into the Division of Adelaide</b>		
<b>Electors transferred from the former Division of Hindmarsh</b>		
Flinders Park	658	676
Hindmarsh – Brompton	1,348	1,352
Lockleys	844	854
Plympton	6,418	6,628
Richmond (SA)	8,127	8,244
<b>Total transferred from the former Division of Hindmarsh</b>	<b>17,395</b>	<b>17,754</b>
<b>Electors transferred from the former Division of Port Adelaide</b>		
Hindmarsh – Brompton	5,678	5,631
The Parks	7,472	7,489
Woodville – Cheltenham	1,220	1,254
<b>Total transferred from the former Division of Port Adelaide</b>	<b>14,370</b>	<b>14,374</b>

<sup>89</sup> SA2s are an area defined in the Australian Statistical Geography Standard, and consist of one or more whole SA1s. Wherever possible, SA2s are based on officially gazetted state/territory suburbs and localities. In urban areas SA2s largely conform to whole suburbs and combinations of whole suburbs, while in rural areas they define functional zones of social and economic links. Geography is also taken into account in SA2 design.

Division make up	Enrolment as at Monday 4 September 2017	Projected enrolment as at Thursday 20 January 2022
Electors transferred from the former Division of Sturt		
Windsor Gardens	0	0
<b>Total transferred from the former Division of Sturt</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Total electors transferred from another electoral division into the Division of Adelaide</b>	<b>31,765</b>	<b>32,128</b>
<b>Total for Division of Adelaide</b>	<b>119,793</b>	<b>124,114</b>
Electors transferred from the former Division of Adelaide to another electoral division		
Electors transferred to Division of Boothby		
Goodwood – Millswood	4,742	4,709
<b>Total transferred to Division of Boothby</b>	<b>4,742</b>	<b>4,709</b>
Electors transferred to Division of Sturt		
Norwood (SA)	5,221	5,332
Payneham – Felixstow	414	412
St Peters – Marden	7,848	7,668
Toorak Gardens	4,098	4,161
<b>Total transferred to Division of Sturt</b>	<b>17,581</b>	<b>17,573</b>
<b>Total electors transferred from the former Division of Adelaide to another electoral division</b>	<b>22,323</b>	<b>22,282</b>

## Division of Barker

Division make up	Enrolment as at Monday 4 September 2017	Projected enrolment as at Thursday 20 January 2022
<b>Electors retained from the former Division of Barker</b>		
Barmera	4,479	4,445
Barossa – Angaston	3,076	3,143
Berri	2,831	2,832
Grant	3,670	3,884
Karoonda – Lameroo	2,056	2,032
Kingston – Robe	2,846	2,857
Loxton	3,928	3,985
Loxton Region	1,191	1,175
Lyndoch	54	60
Mannum	4,646	4,836
Millicent	3,901	3,911
Mount Gambier	21,042	21,571
Murray Bridge	11,971	12,355
Murray Bridge Region	2,478	2,607
Naracoorte	4,062	4,172
Naracoorte Region	1,703	1,641
Nuriootpa	4,806	5,106
Outback	18	15
Penola	2,160	2,197
Renmark	3,172	3,319
Renmark Region	3,255	3,263
Tanunda	3,494	3,617
Tatiara	4,496	4,570
The Coorong	3,769	3,704
Waikerie	4,538	4,700
Wattle Range	2,367	2,386
<b>Total electors retained from the former Division of Barker</b>	<b>106,009</b>	<b>108,383</b>
<b>Electors transferred from another electoral division into the Division of Barker</b>		
Electors transferred from the former Division of Mayo		
Barossa – Angaston	1,299	1,316
Lyndoch	6	6
<b>Total transferred from the former Division of Mayo</b>	<b>1,305</b>	<b>1,322</b>
Electors transferred from the former Division of Wakefield		
Barossa – Angaston	2	2
Gawler – North	41	41
Light	5,566	5,968
Lyndoch	4,182	4,443
Nuriootpa	289	309
<b>Total transferred from the former Division of Wakefield</b>	<b>10,080</b>	<b>10,763</b>
<b>Total electors transferred from another electoral division into the Division of Barker</b>	<b>11,385</b>	<b>12,085</b>
<b>Total for Division of Barker</b>	<b>117,394</b>	<b>120,468</b>

## Division of Boothby

Division make up	Enrolment as at Monday 4 September 2017	Projected enrolment as at Thursday 20 January 2022
<b>Electors retained from the former Division of Boothby</b>		
Belair	3,615	3,608
Bellevue Heights	5,181	5,235
Blackwood	4,829	4,908
Brighton (SA)	10,810	10,841
Colonel Light Gardens	11,222	11,377
Glenelg (SA)	1,620	1,613
Hallett Cove	63	63
Marino – Seaview Downs	7,128	7,180
Mitcham (SA)	12,072	12,083
Mitchell Park	10,626	10,992
Panorama	5,972	5,995
Warradale	10,582	11,541
<b>Total electors retained from the former Division of Boothby</b>	<b>83,720</b>	<b>85,436</b>
<b>Electors transferred from another electoral division into the Division of Boothby</b>		
Electors transferred from the former Division of Adelaide		
Goodwood – Millswood	4,742	4,709
<b>Total transferred from the former Division of Adelaide</b>	<b>4,742</b>	<b>4,709</b>
Electors transferred from the former Division of Hindmarsh		
Edwardstown	9,644	10,037
Glenelg (SA)	13,883	13,835
Morphettville	10,766	11,340
Plympton	146	145
<b>Total transferred from the former Division of Hindmarsh</b>	<b>34,439</b>	<b>35,357</b>
Electors transferred from the former Division of Sturt		
Belair	0	0
<b>Total transferred from the former Division of Sturt</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Total electors transferred from another electoral division into the Division of Boothby</b>	<b>39,181</b>	<b>40,066</b>
<b>Total for Division of Boothby</b>	<b>122,901</b>	<b>125,502</b>
<b>Electors transferred from the former Division of Boothby to another electoral division</b>		
Electors transferred to Division of Kingston		
Aberfoyle Park	8,777	8,614
Coromandel Valley	75	75
Flagstaff Hill	7,808	7,809
Happy Valley	1,455	1,452
Happy Valley Reservoir	0	0
<b>Total transferred to Division of Kingston</b>	<b>18,115</b>	<b>17,950</b>
Electors transferred to Division of Mayo		
Blackwood	4,358	4,689
Coromandel Valley	1,746	1,760
<b>Total transferred to Division of Mayo</b>	<b>6,104</b>	<b>6,449</b>
<b>Total electors transferred from the former Division of Boothby to another electoral division</b>	<b>24,219</b>	<b>24,399</b>

## Division of Grey

Division make up	Enrolment as at Monday 4 September 2017	Projected enrolment as at Thursday 20 January 2022
<b>Electors retained from the former Division of Grey</b>		
APY Lands	1,448	1,404
Ceduna	1,527	1,498
Cooper Pedy	977	977
Eyre Peninsula	4,739	4,798
Flinders Ranges	1,569	1,483
Goyder	2,971	2,956
Jamestown	3,360	3,366
Kadina	3,926	3,998
Kimba – Cleve – Franklin Harbour	3,006	3,009
Le Hunte – Elliston	1,523	1,528
Moonta	3,869	4,237
Outback	1,229	973
Peterborough – Mount Remarkable	3,978	3,975
Port Augusta	9,261	9,221
Port Lincoln	11,259	11,474
Port Pirie	10,426	10,448
Port Pirie Region	2,272	2,349
Roxby Downs	2,167	1,908
Waikerie	0	0
Wakefield – Barunga West	3,265	3,331
Walleroo	3,233	3,425
West Coast (SA)	2,378	2,397
Western	52	47
Whyalla	15,111	15,119
Whyalla – North	0	0
Yorke Peninsula – North	5,602	5,575
Yorke Peninsula – South	3,116	3,116
<b>Total electors retained from the former Division of Grey</b>	<b>102,264</b>	<b>102,612</b>
<b>Electors transferred from another electoral division into the Division of Grey</b>		
Electors transferred from the former Division of Wakefield		
Clare	2,978	2,972
Gawler – North	877	883
Gilbert Valley	3,544	3,604
Goyder	7	7
Lewiston – Two Wells	3,767	3,899
Light	679	752
Mallala	2,082	2,083
Wakefield – Barunga West	3,484	3,519
<b>Total transferred from the former Division of Wakefield</b>	<b>17,418</b>	<b>17,719</b>
<b>Total electors transferred from another electoral division into the Division of Grey</b>	<b>17,418</b>	<b>17,719</b>
<b>Total for Division of Grey</b>	<b>119,682</b>	<b>120,331</b>

## Division of Hindmarsh

Division make up	Enrolment as at Monday 4 September 2017	Projected enrolment as at Thursday 20 January 2022
<b>Electors retained from the former Division of Hindmarsh</b>		
Adelaide Airport	0	0
Flinders Park	5,618	5,708
Fulham	2,059	2,073
Glenelg (SA)	0	0
Henley Beach	11,387	11,774
Lockleys	8,313	8,428
Plympton	8,860	9,020
Richmond (SA)	689	698
Seaton – Grange	8,248	8,338
West Beach	3,754	3,923
West Lakes	11,749	11,643
<b>Total electors retained from the former Division of Hindmarsh</b>	<b>60,677</b>	<b>61,605</b>
<b>Electors transferred from another electoral division into the Division of Hindmarsh</b>		
Electors transferred from the former Division of Port Adelaide		
Beverly	5,475	5,791
Dry Creek – South	0	0
Flinders Park	4,317	4,452
Largs Bay – Semaphore	11,289	11,110
North Haven	11,136	11,122
Port Adelaide	8,176	8,076
Royal Park – Hendon – Albert Park	4,384	4,460
Seaton – Grange	4,078	4,226
The Parks	348	345
Torrens Island	7	4
Woodville – Cheltenham	10,700	11,443
<b>Total transferred from the former Division of Port Adelaide</b>	<b>59,910</b>	<b>61,029</b>
<b>Total electors transferred from another electoral division into the Division of Hindmarsh</b>	<b>59,910</b>	<b>61,029</b>
<b>Total for Division of Hindmarsh</b>	<b>120,587</b>	<b>122,634</b>
<b>Electors transferred from the former Division of Hindmarsh to another electoral division</b>		
Electors transferred to Division of Adelaide		
Flinders Park	658	676
Hindmarsh – Brompton	1,348	1,352
Lockleys	844	854
Plympton	6,418	6,628
Richmond (SA)	8,127	8,244
<b>Total transferred to Division of Adelaide</b>	<b>17,395</b>	<b>17,754</b>
Electors transferred to Division of Boothby		
Edwardstown	9,644	10,037
Glenelg (SA)	13,883	13,835
Morphettville	10,766	11,340
Plympton	146	145
<b>Total transferred to Division of Boothby</b>	<b>34,439</b>	<b>35,357</b>
<b>Total electors transferred from the former Division of Hindmarsh to another electoral division</b>	<b>51,834</b>	<b>53,111</b>

## Division of Kingston

Division make up	Enrolment as at Monday 4 September 2017	Projected enrolment as at Thursday 20 January 2022
<b>Electors retained from the former Division of Kingston</b>		
Christie Downs	6,577	6,879
Christies Beach	7,460	7,538
Clarendon	0	0
Hackham – Onkaparinga Hills	4,700	4,570
Hackham West – Huntfield Heights	5,479	5,442
Hallett Cove	9,200	9,207
Happy Valley	7,200	7,144
Happy Valley Reservoir	0	0
Lonsdale	34	34
Marino – Seaview Downs	0	0
Morphett Vale – East	10,097	10,130
Morphett Vale – West	7,139	7,311
Reynella	7,635	7,753
Seaford (SA)	15,795	17,518
Sheidow Park – Trott Park	7,183	7,415
Woodcroft	8,503	8,678
<b>Total electors retained from the former Division of Kingston</b>	<b>97,002</b>	<b>99,619</b>
<b>Electors transferred from another electoral division into the Division of Kingston</b>		
Electors transferred from the former Division of Boothby		
Aberfoyle Park	8,777	8,614
Coromandel Valley	75	75
Flagstaff Hill	7,808	7,809
Happy Valley	1,455	1,452
Happy Valley Reservoir	0	0
<b>Total transferred from the former Division of Boothby</b>	<b>18,115</b>	<b>17,950</b>
Electors transferred from the former Division of Mayo		
Happy Valley	1,586	1,555
McLaren Vale	144	144
<b>Total transferred from the former Division of Mayo</b>	<b>1,730</b>	<b>1,699</b>
<b>Total electors transferred from another electoral division into the Division of Kingston</b>	<b>19,845</b>	<b>19,649</b>
<b>Total for Division of Kingston</b>	<b>116,847</b>	<b>119,268</b>
<b>Electors transferred from the former Division of Kingston to another electoral division</b>		
Electors transferred to Division of Mayo		
Aldinga	10,616	11,149
Clarendon	25	25
<b>Total transferred to Division of Mayo</b>	<b>10,641</b>	<b>11,174</b>
<b>Total electors transferred from the former Division of Kingston to another electoral division</b>	<b>10,641</b>	<b>11,174</b>

## Division of Makin

Division make up	Enrolment as at Monday 4 September 2017	Projected enrolment as at Thursday 20 January 2022
<b>Electors retained from the former Division of Makin</b>		
Enfield – Blair Athol	419	449
Golden Grove	7,805	8,231
Greenwith	6,626	6,599
Hope Valley – Modbury	6,932	7,068
Ingle Farm	10,805	10,846
Modbury Heights	14,275	14,023
Northgate – Oakden – Gilles Plains	5,250	5,473
Para Hills	10,514	10,284
Parafield	12	14
Pooraka	13,119	14,187
Redwood Park	12,069	11,996
Salisbury East	10,305	10,461
St Agnes – Ridgehaven	9,457	9,569
<b>Total electors retained from the former Division of Makin</b>	<b>107,588</b>	<b>109,200</b>
<b>Electors transferred from another electoral division into the Division of Makin</b>		
Electors transferred from the former Division of Port Adelaide		
Dry Creek – North	0	0
Enfield – Blair Athol	156	173
Parafield Gardens	11,295	12,300
Pooraka	409	459
The Parks	3	3
<b>Total transferred from the former Division of Port Adelaide</b>	<b>11,863</b>	<b>12,935</b>
<b>Total electors transferred from another electoral division into the Division of Makin</b>	<b>11,863</b>	<b>12,935</b>
<b>Total for Division of Makin</b>	<b>119,451</b>	<b>122,135</b>
<b>Electors transferred from the former Division of Makin to another electoral division</b>		
Electors transferred to Division of Mayo		
Adelaide Hills	0	0
<b>Total transferred to Division of Mayo</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Electors transferred to Division of Spence		
One Tree Hill	7	7
Salisbury	41	46
<b>Total transferred to Division of Spence</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>Total electors transferred from the former Division of Makin to another electoral division</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>53</b>

## Division of Mayo

Division make up	Enrolment as at Monday 4 September 2017	Projected enrolment as at Thursday 20 January 2022
<b>Electors retained from the former Division of Mayo</b>		
Aberfoyle Park	88	88
Adelaide Hills	5,175	5,145
Aldgate – Stirling	13,397	13,428
Aldinga	70	79
Clarendon	2,067	2,026
Coromandel Valley	1,344	1,333
Goolwa – Port Elliot	9,196	9,566
Hahndorf – Echunga	3,308	3,318
Happy Valley	101	107
Kangaroo Island	3,366	3,537
Lobethal – Woodside	6,691	6,657
McLaren Vale	4,470	4,467
Mount Barker	12,646	13,935
Mount Barker Region	4,432	4,663
Nairne	3,327	3,673
Strathalbyn	5,268	5,543
Strathalbyn Region	5,209	5,551
Uraidla – Summertown	3,304	3,318
Victor Harbor	11,754	12,188
Willunga	2,618	2,678
Yankalilla	4,366	4,790
<b>Total electors retained from the former Division of Mayo</b>	<b>102,197</b>	<b>106,090</b>
<b>Electors transferred from another electoral division into the Division of Mayo</b>		
Electors transferred from the former Division of Boothby		
Blackwood	4,358	4,689
Coromandel Valley	1,746	1,760
<b>Total transferred from the former Division of Boothby</b>	<b>6,104</b>	<b>6,449</b>
Electors transferred from the former Division of Kingston		
Aldinga	10,616	11,149
Clarendon	25	25
<b>Total transferred from the former Division of Kingston</b>	<b>10,641</b>	<b>11,174</b>
Electors transferred from the former Division of Makin		
Adelaide Hills	0	0
<b>Total transferred from the former Division of Makin</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Total electors transferred from another electoral division into the Division of Mayo</b>	<b>16,745</b>	<b>17,623</b>
<b>Total for Division of Mayo</b>	<b>118,942</b>	<b>123,713</b>
<b>Electors transferred from the former Division of Mayo to another electoral division</b>		
Electors transferred to Division of Barker		
Barossa – Angaston	1,299	1,316
Lyndoch	6	6
<b>Total transferred to Division of Barker</b>	<b>1,305</b>	<b>1,322</b>
Electors transferred to Division of Kingston		
Happy Valley	1,586	1,555
McLaren Vale	144	144
<b>Total transferred to Division of Kingston</b>	<b>1,730</b>	<b>1,699</b>

Division make up	Enrolment as at Monday 4 September 2017	Projected enrolment as at Thursday 20 January 2022
Electors transferred to Division of Sturt		
Rostrevor – Magill	278	281
Uraidla – Summertown	669	668
<b>Total transferred to Division of Sturt</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>949</b>
Electors transferred to Division of Spence		
One Tree Hill	12	12
<b>Total transferred to Division of Spence</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>Total electors transferred from the former Division of Mayo to another electoral division</b>	<b>3,994</b>	<b>3,982</b>

## Division of Spence

Division make up	Enrolment as at Monday 4 September 2017	Projected enrolment as at Thursday 20 January 2022
<b>Electors retained from the former Division of Wakefield</b>		
Craigmore – Blakeview	12,472	13,152
Davoren Park	10,694	11,342
Elizabeth	6,631	6,758
Elizabeth East	9,113	9,456
Gawler – North	5,658	5,873
Gawler – South	14,741	16,032
Munno Para West – Angle Vale	7,688	10,039
One Tree Hill	1,844	1,939
Salisbury	4,524	4,653
Salisbury East	2,487	2,524
Salisbury North	231	286
Smithfield – Elizabeth North	8,283	8,191
Virginia – Waterloo Corner	2,669	2,806
<b>Total electors retained from the former Division of Wakefield</b>	<b>87,035</b>	<b>93,051</b>
<b>Electors transferred from another electoral division into the Division of Spence</b>		
Electors transferred from the former Division of Makin		
One Tree Hill	7	7
Salisbury	41	46
<b>Total transferred from the former Division of Makin</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>53</b>
Electors transferred from the former Division of Mayo		
One Tree Hill	12	12
<b>Total transferred from the former Division of Mayo</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>
Electors transferred from the former Division of Port Adelaide		
Dry Creek – North	1	1
Paralowie	11,266	11,800
Pooraka	0	0
Salisbury	6,833	7,321
Salisbury North	10,744	11,475
Virginia – Waterloo Corner	240	241
<b>Total transferred from the former Division of Port Adelaide</b>	<b>29,084</b>	<b>30,838</b>
<b>Total electors transferred from another electoral division into the Division of Spence</b>	<b>29,144</b>	<b>30,903</b>
<b>Total for Division of Spence</b>	<b>116,179</b>	<b>123,954</b>
<b>Electors transferred from the former Division of Wakefield to another electoral division</b>		
Electors transferred to Division of Barker		
Barossa – Angaston	2	2
Gawler – North	41	41
Light	5,566	5,968
Lyndoch	4,182	4,443
Nuriootpa	289	309
<b>Total transferred to Division of Barker</b>	<b>10,080</b>	<b>10,763</b>

Division make up	Enrolment as at Monday 4 September 2017	Projected enrolment as at Thursday 20 January 2022
Electors transferred to Division of Grey		
Clare	2,978	2,972
Gawler – North	877	883
Gilbert Valley	3,544	3,604
Goyder	7	7
Lewiston – Two Wells	3,767	3,899
Light	679	752
Mallala	2,082	2,083
Wakefield – Barunga West	3,484	3,519
<b>Total transferred to Division of Grey</b>	<b>17,418</b>	<b>17,719</b>
<b>Total electors transferred from the former Division of Wakefield to another electoral division</b>	<b>27,498</b>	<b>28,482</b>

## Division of Sturt

Division make up	Enrolment as at Monday 4 September 2017	Projected enrolment as at Thursday 20 January 2022
<b>Electors retained from the former Division of Sturt</b>		
Athelstone	7,123	6,998
Burnside – Wattle Park	13,618	13,790
Glenside – Beaumont	6,867	7,238
Highbury – Dernancourt	7,885	7,884
Hope Valley – Modbury	4,858	4,918
Northgate – Oakden – Gilles Plains	4,938	5,163
Norwood (SA)	2,024	2,078
Paradise – Newton	13,335	13,544
Payneham – Felixstow	8,005	8,030
Rostrevor – Magill	14,484	14,857
St Peters – Marden	1,567	1,534
Toorak Gardens	6,603	6,721
Unley – Parkside	3,782	3,816
Windsor Gardens	9,638	10,098
<b>Total electors retained from the former Division of Sturt</b>	<b>104,727</b>	<b>106,669</b>
<b>Electors transferred from another electoral division into the Division of Sturt</b>		
Electors transferred from the former Division of Adelaide		
Norwood (SA)	5,221	5,332
Payneham – Felixstow	414	412
St Peters – Marden	7,848	7,668
Toorak Gardens	4,098	4,161
<b>Total transferred from the former Division of Adelaide</b>	<b>17,581</b>	<b>17,573</b>
Electors transferred from the former Division of Mayo		
Rostrevor – Magill	278	281
Uraidla – Summertown	669	668
<b>Total transferred from the former Division of Mayo</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>949</b>
<b>Total electors transferred from another electoral division into the Division of Sturt</b>	<b>18,528</b>	<b>18,522</b>
<b>Total for Division of Sturt</b>	<b>123,255</b>	<b>125,191</b>
<b>Electors transferred from the former Division of Sturt to another electoral division</b>		
Electors transferred to Division of Adelaide		
Windsor Gardens	0	0
<b>Total transferred to Division of Adelaide</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Electors transferred to Division of Boothby		
Belair	0	0
<b>Total transferred to Division of Boothby</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Total electors transferred from the former Division of Sturt to another electoral division</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>