



Comment on objection 21

Chris Sentance

2 pages

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, 22 May 2018 12:10 PM
To: FedRedistribution - SA
Subject: [SA REDISTRIBUTION COMMENTS ON OBJECTIONS] Chris Sentance *WWW*
[SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]
Attachments: vic-Chris Sentance-.pdf

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

South Australian Redistribution comment on objections uploaded from the AEC website.

Name: Chris Sentance

Organisation:

Address: [REDACTED],

Phone number: [REDACTED]

Additional information: Please find attached my comments on the South Australian Labor Party's Objection 312 to the submissions to the AEC by myself and other Boothby voters.

I strongly disagree with Objection 312 by the Australian Labor Party (South Australian Branch regarding moving Flagstaff Hill, Aberfoyle Park and Happy Valley from Boothby electorate into a southern electorate. Their argument is that it is not viable, necessary or preferable to keep these suburbs in Boothby. Given the commonality of lifestyle and interests of electors in these suburbs with other electors in Boothby and the opposite lifestyle and interests with those in a more southern electorate, this argument has no substance.

The Labor Party Objection also claims that the current Member for Boothby has been soliciting objections to the proposed boundaries from residents of these suburbs. I see the actions of the current Member simply being one of acting responsibly to bring to the attention of electors the potential for future change that may be of concern to us. A responsible action in my opinion. I find the Australian Labor Party's view that this "method of generating robo-submissions as a shameless attempt to preserve political interests rather than broader community interests" as laughable given the obsessive robo-activities by the Labor Party prior to the last Federal and State elections. I would ask that the AEC see the Boothby Members activities in the responsible light that they were given and the Labor Party's objection as the obvious baseless whingeing that it is.

As stated in my previous objection to the AEC, our demography, geography, economic structure and interests vary widely from the southern sector to which Labour proposes that we be moved. I reject their proposition out of hand and request that the AEC do so too. I believe that our suburbs will not receive adequate or appropriate representation if this move goes ahead. I believe that this position is fundamental to the AEC's decision.

I appreciate your consideration of my views.
Chris Sentance