



Comment on objection 16

Russell Scutchings

2 pages

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, 22 May 2018 9:25 AM
To: FedRedistribution - SA
Subject: [SA REDISTRIBUTION COMMENTS ON OBJECTIONS] Russell Scutchings *WWW*
[SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]
Attachments: vic-Russell Scutchings-.docx

South Australian Redistribution comment on objections uploaded from the AEC website.

Name: Russell Scutchings

Organisation:

Address: ,

Phone number:

Additional information: Comments regarding the ALP Objections to re-distribution of Flagstaff Hill out of Boothby. I totally disagree with the ALP comments that my previous submission to the AEC was a 'robo-submission' and not in the community interest. Flagstaff Hill should remain in Boothby. Please see attached.

Dear AEC,

I am writing with regard to the proposal to re-allocate Flagstaff Hill, Aberfoyle Park and Happy Valley into the electorate of Kingston and out of Boothby as part of the re-distribution within South Australia, and the ALP (SA Branch) comments relating to my submission to you about the re-allocation of Flagstaff Hill in particular.

The ALP said in their Objections to redistribution paper dated 11 May 2018, that the member of Boothby had solicited “*robo-submissions as a shameless attempt to preserve political interests rather than broader community interests*” which is entirely untrue, and offensive to think that my submission is nothing but robotic and that I am putting my time aside in some other interest than the community in which I live. The ALP is obviously out of touch with members of the community, and do not understand the geographic and cultural reasons why it is necessary for Flagstaff Hill, Aberfoyle Park and Happy Valley to remain part of the Boothby electorate. These reasons I stated in my previous submission, and refer to my transport linkages to Adelaide, Marion and the Blackwood area, and my interest and relationship with the Southern Hills suburbs through schooling, mountain bike riding and many sporting interactions.

I would like to re-iterate that my previous submission remains extant, and I congratulate Ms Nicolle Flint MP on seeking community input into this important issue. It is evident that Ms Flint has significant support within the community and the ALP’s accusations that our submissions regarding this issue were robotic are unfounded. I seek your support in considering previous submissions as an accurate reflection of the wider community’s thoughts on the re-distribution, and take those opinions into consideration when deciding on the outcome, above the obvious political motivations of the ALP.

Kind regards

Non-robotic community member of [REDACTED]

Russell Scutchings

22 May 18