



Objection 231

Yarra Ranges Council

3 pages

From: Delaney Kelly
To: [FedRedistribution - VIC](#)
Subject: FW: Yarra Ranges Council
Date: Wednesday, 2 May 2018 4:49:56 PM
Attachments: [image002.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[AEC - Redistrib Casey.pdf](#)

Good Afternoon,

Please find attached a letter from Mr Glenn Patterson (CEO, Yarra Ranges Council) in regard to the proposed boundaries for the Casey electorate.

If you have any difficulty opening the attachment, please don't hesitate to contact me.

Kind regards,
Kelly



Kelly Delaney
Executive Assistant – Mayor & Councillors



[Subscribe to news](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [YouTube](#) | yarraranges.vic.gov.au

The information transmitted is for the use of the intended recipient only and may contain confidential, proprietary or legally privileged information. No confidentiality or privilege is waived or lost by any mistransmission. If you receive this email in error, please immediately delete it and all copies of it from your system, destroy any hard copies and notify the sender. You must not use, disclose, distribute, print or copy any part of this message if you are not the intended recipient. Any views expressed in this email are those of the individual sender, except where the message states otherwise and the sender is authorised to state them on behalf of Yarra Ranges Shire Council (ABN 21 973 226 012).



2 May 2018

Redistribution Secretariat – Victoria
Australian Electoral Commission
GPO Box 768
MELBOURNE VIC 3001

Email: FedRedistribution-VIC@aec.gov.au

Dear Redistribution Secretariat,

Proposed Boundaries for the Seat of Casey

I am writing to you on behalf of Yarra Ranges Council regarding the proposed boundaries for the seat of Casey outlined in your report of 6 April 2018. At the outset, I would like to say that Council believes the AEC has done an excellent job with the proposed Casey boundaries.

I particularly commend you for the outcomes of uniting the councils of Knox, Maroondah and Yarra Ranges within as few electorates as possible. In fact the Yarra Ranges Council is nearly wholly contiguous with the proposed Commonwealth Electoral Division of Casey. This is a fantastic outcome for most of our residents as it ensures they will be more easily able to identify who their local representative is. This allows for the development of strong connections between local members of Parliament and their councillors, council administrators and communities. There are, however, two notable exceptions to the proposal.

Community of Kilsyth, Montrose and Mooroolbark

The first exception falls within Walling Ward of the Yarra Ranges Council which transverses the localities of Kilsyth and Montrose.

The AEC's decision to use the eastern boundary of the Kilsyth locality as the boundary between Deakin and Casey has fundamentally split the community of interest between the localities of Montrose, Mooroolbark and Kilsyth. Given the shared means of transport and communication between these areas this represents a fundamental error. The balance of Kilsyth within the Yarra Ranges Council should be returned to the division of Casey to unify Walling Ward and the Yarra Ranges Council within the division of Casey.

.../2

The assumption made in placing Kilsyth in Deakin is that the proper orientation of Kilsyth is towards Croydon which is incorrect. Dorset Road marks the border between two extremely distinct communities of interest. One is centred on Croydon and its historic and current links to the City of Maroondah, the other is focussed on Mooroolbark, Kilsyth and Montrose and their historic and current links to Yarra Ranges Council. In light of the stated desire of Maroondah City Council to unite as much of their council area in Deakin as possible, Council is convinced it makes sense to continue to recognise the division between these communities of interest as far as possible. We believe the best outcome is to use the boundary between Maroondah City Council and Yarra Ranges Council as the boundary between the seats of Casey and Deakin.

Community of Upper Ferntree Gully

The proposed Casey boundary also sees the inclusion of the Upper Ferntree Gully in the seat of Casey. Upper Ferntree Gully is a part of the City of Knox which is almost covered by the proposed boundaries for the seat of Aston. Council believes that is important to recognise the communities of interest that currently exist between Upper Ferntree Gully and the City of Knox and move this area into the seat of Aston. This would ensure Aston almost covers the entirety of the City of Knox.

In conclusion, Council would request that the Victorian Redistribution Secretariat amend the proposed redistribution to return that part of Kilsyth within the Yarra Ranges Council to Casey and move the Upper Ferntree Gully area, currently part of the City of Knox, to the seat of Aston in the final redistribution for Victoria. This would have the effect of making the Commonwealth Electoral Division of Casey wholly contiguous with the Yarra Ranges Council.

Finally, I would like to extend Council's thanks to the Secretariat for all their work over recent months on the redistribution and for the opportunity to provide feedback on the proposed boundaries.

Yours sincerely



Glenn Patterson
Chief Executive Officer