



Objection 171

Dr Debra Manning
3 pages

From: Debra Manning
To: FedRedistribution - VIC

Subject: Submission regarding the renaming of the McMillan electorate

Date: Monday, 30 April 2018 3:27:13 PM
Attachments: Joint objection to AEC May 2018.pdf

ATT00001.txt

To whom it may concern,

Please accept my submission in support of the renaming of the McMillan electorate. As a resident and rate payer in the currently named McMillan electorate, I fully endorse our representative, Mr. Russell Broadbent's request to have our electorate renamed. I am a non-Aboriginal person and have been mortified to learn about the horrors, in which Angus McMillan participated, perpetrated against the traditional owners of our lands.

I do not, however, support the suggestion that Monash be the new name of the electorate. While I fully acknowledge the importance of Sir John Monash to Australia, I believe there are sufficient institutions and areas named in his honour.

The impetus to change the name of electorate is to acknowledge the negative impact of Angus McMillan's actions on Aboriginal people across Gippsland. It seems only right and just that the new name be chosen to continue in this spirit of reconciliation. The courage, trust and hope that is reflected in the collaboration between the Gunnai-Kurnai and Bunurong peoples and non-Aboriginal Reconciliation group that has resulted in their recommendation of Bunjileene-Purrine as the new name of our electorate, I believe, must be acknowledged and supported. Their achievement is living proof of the possibilities of the future, that we can listen respectfully to and learn from each other.

I respectfully submit to you that supporting the name Bunjileene-Purrine, as recommended in the attached submission, shows our trust in future possibilities for meaningful reconciliation through relationships that value Aboriginal people's voices. Rejecting the choice of an Aboriginal name, chosen through a collaborative process, is to continue to privilege non-Aboriginal people's ideas and decisions.

Your decision is not simply about a name, it is about a tangible expression of reconciliation. Please be courageous and take a step into the future.

Yours sincerely,

Dr. Debra Manning



Bass Coast/South Gippsland Reconciliation Group

An affiliated group of ANTAR and Reconciliation Victoria

Objection to the Victorian Redistribution Committee Proposal Regarding the Electorate of McMillan and its Re-naming of Monash May 2018

From the Gunaikurnai Land and Waters Aboriginal Corporation, Bunurong Land Council and Bass Coast South Gippsland Reconciliation Group

The Gunaikurnai Land and Waters Aboriginal Corporation, Bunurong Land Council and Bass Coast South Gippsland Reconciliation Group thanks the AEC for taking the decision to remove the name of McMillan from the electorate.

It was notable that the volume of opposition to his name was considerable, with 27 initial submissions uniquely dedicated to McMillan, mostly protesting the name on the grounds of McMillan's murderous behaviour towards Aboriginal People. We are happy that this stain has been removed from our federal seat.

As representatives of the traditional owners of much of Gippsland we are however very disappointed that the Aboriginal choice of name has not been selected.

This is not to diminish the worth of Sir John Monash who is an outstanding candidate for honours, and is associated with Gippsland through his founding the State Electricity Commission nearly one hundred years ago. Monash is a revered figure to many Australians, and has thus been appropriately recognised already with the naming of a University, a scholarship, a hospital, a freeway, a municipality, and now the Sir John Monash Centre at Villers-Bretonneux, and, inappropriately, in a new political forum! While confusion may be created in whether a new Monash civic centre is in Glen Waverley or in Moe, that is not really our concern.

Our concern at the overlooking of the Aboriginal name is two-fold. First, that the enduring trauma associated with McMillan that has been imposed on Aboriginal People deserves recognition and a just response. Secondly, that the collaborative and truly representative process undertaken by Gunnaikurnai and Bunurong People to find a name was an act of reconciliation offered to the Gippsland community, and has been declined.

We are also confused that the naming criteria indicate a desire to consider an Aboriginal name and yet the AEC has not contacted us to discuss the proposal.

As the Redistribution Committee Report is silent on its reasons, we do not know if the Committee is satisfied that the removal of the name is sufficient change, or whether the choice of name is problematic. While the length of 'Bunjileene-Purrine' may pose a difficulty, we observe that the electorate of Carpentaria is equally long, with five syllables. If there is concern that the biographical detail is very brief, that is inevitable under the circumstances. McMillan and his colleagues did not record the life of those they captured or murdered. Purrine was known as a mighty warrior but lived before European entry to the land and therefore knowledge was orally and scantily preserved. If Monash was a pre-determined choice then we express our further disappointment with the process and the game we have been encouraged to play.

We would ask that the Gunnaikurnai-Bunurong Naming Committee be requested to reconvene and negotiate an Aboriginal name that will meet criteria as articulated by the AEC.

Roger Fenwick CEO, GLaWAC Dan Turnbull CEO, BLC

Dr Marg Lynn Secretary, BCSGRG