



Comment on objections 25

Sarah Lean-Jones

1 page

From: sleanjones
To: [FedRedistribution - VIC](#)
Subject: Dunkley redistribution of boundaries
Date: Tuesday, 15 May 2018 11:18:03 AM

To Whom it May Concern,

I'd like to respectfully comment upon the opposing remarks about the proposed changes to the boundaries in the seat of Dunkley.

I believe that the proposed changes are required to ensure that the wards that form part of Frankston City Council municipality are all included. Carrum Downs is intrinsically linked to both the Frankston City Council's region and their Economic Development Plan.

The local region's population has changed significantly within the past few years and the communities within the Frankston City Council should be captured within the same AEC boundary. This inclusion ensures that the individuals of that region are connected to the grassroots of local government which implements community policies and lifestyle changes.

To counteract comments regarding sporting clubs. My children participate in basketball and the proposed new boundaries shall include all the participants that are drawn from which includes Carrum Downs, where previously it has not. Mt Eliza Meteors is one of the biggest clubs involved in the FDBA domestic competition and therefore it makes sense that they too remain in the seat of Dunkley. Sporting clubs at their very essence bring communities together and give people a sense of belonging and community. With 14,000 plus members, FDBA is the largest participated sport in the region. 'Blues' FDBAs representative club, travel throughout Victoria to participate in the VJBL. This promotes community connectivity and pride in their local region rather than any acknowledgement of AEC boundaries.

The Mornington Peninsula is a significant part of our local region and shall remain to be so. Changes in the boundaries shall not impact trade between the seats of Flinders or Dunkley for local businesses, nor shall it impact transportation services to the region.

Political marginal seats is not a justifiable reason not to change boundaries, rather ensuring communities that sit within local government have a local members that represent the people.

I thank you for the opportunity to comment on this matter.

Regards
Sarah Lean-Jones



Sent from my Samsung Galaxy smartphone.